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# 2600-01 PURPOSE

To establish the policy for the fuel cycle facility inspection program.

# 2600-02 OBJECTIVES

02.01 To define the minimum core inspection effort to be performed at each type of fuel cycle facility.

02.02 To provide guidance for developing Principal Inspection Plans (PIPs) for each facility.

02.03 To establish an inspection program to determine whether licensed fuel cycle facilities are operated safely in accordance with U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) regulations.

02.04 To determine the causes of declining performance before such performance reaches a level that may result in an undue risk to public health and safety.

02.05 To identify those safety or safeguards significant issues that might have generic applicability.

02.06 To provide guidance for assessing facility performance in real time, interfacing with the Licensee Performance Review (LPR) process, and preparing for the annual Agency Action Review Meeting (AARM).

02.07 To provide guidance for adjusting inspection effort on the basis of facility performance.

# 2600-03 APPLICABILITY

The fuel cycle inspection program applies to operating fuel cycle facilities licensed by the NRC including nuclear fuel fabrication facilities, uranium enrichment plants, and uranium conversion plants.

Inspection and assessment activities for facilities undergoing construction, pre-operation, startup, major modifications, or having ceased operations in preparation for decommissioning should be handled on a case-by-case basis. Fuel cycle facilities in non-operating status generally do not pose the same levels of risk as operating facilities. Certain inspection procedures (IPs) may not be applicable in these cases, and others may need to be adjusted to the given situation to reflect the actual level of risk attached to each situation.

Facilities with approved decommissioning plans, or for which project management responsibility has been transferred out of the Division of Fuel Management (DFM), are not addressed in this chapter.

# 2600-04 DEFINITIONS OF INSPECTION FREQUENCIES

04.01 As Needed (AN)

The inspection effort should be performed when the activity or event occurs at the facility, as specified in the guidance section of specific inspection procedures (e.g., outages).

04.02 Core Inspection Program Completion and Deviations

Core Inspection Program completion for an annual inspection cycle is defined to be completion of each core IP listed in Appendix B for each facility, as detailed in the PIP, except where deviations are approved in advance by the Director, DFM.

Deviations are defined as changes to the inspection program that result in not meeting the inspection completion as defined in the individual IP, including not completing the inspection at the frequency specified in Appendix B.

# 2600-05 RESPONSIBILITIES AND AUTHORITIES

05.01 Director, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS)

Provides overall program direction for the fuel cycle inspection program.

05.02 Regional Administrator, Region II

1. Provides direction for management and implementation of the inspection program elements.
2. Ensures, within budget limitations, that the regional office staff includes adequate numbers of inspectors in the various disciplines necessary to carry out the inspection program described in this chapter, including that which may be needed for regional supplemental and reactive inspections.
3. Directs the implementation of the supplemental inspection program.
4. Applies inspection resources, as necessary, to address significant issues and problems at specific facilities.

05.03 Director, Division of Fuel Management (DFM)

Develops and directs the implementation of policies, programs, and procedures for inspecting applicants, licensees, and other entities subject to NRC jurisdiction.

1. Assesses the effectiveness, uniformity, and completeness of the fuel cycle inspection program.
2. Approves changes to the fuel cycle facility inspection program.
3. Approves changes to the PIP that involve proposed deviations from the inspection program described herein.

05.04 Director, Division of Fuel Facility Inspection (DFFI)

1. Manages the implementation of the inspection program elements.
2. Develops and updates the PIPs for fuel cycle inspections.
3. Coordinates with DFM to obtain specialized technical expertise, as necessary.
4. Communicates significant changes to the core inspection program hours to DFM, submits deviations from the core inspection program to DFM, and coordinates with DFM on inspections of high visibility.

05.05 Director, Nuclear Security and Incident Response (NSIR)

1. Oversees the implementation of the safeguards portion of the fuel cycle inspection program (information security/physical security).
2. Applies inspection resources, as necessary, to deal with significant issues and problems at specific facilities.

05.06 Chief, Regional Fuel Facility Inspection Branch

1. Implements the fuel cycle inspection program.
2. Develops the Branch PIP input.
3. Coordinates with other appropriate inspection organizations in assessing facility performance.

05.07 Chief, Inspection and Oversight Branch (IOB), Division of Fuel Management

1. Proposes changes to the fuel cycle inspection program.
2. Coordinates with Region II and DFM in assessing facility performance.

05.08 Chief, Material Control & Accounting Branch (MCAB), Division of Fuel Management

Proposes changes to the material control and accounting (MC&A) portion of the fuel cycle inspection program.

# 2600-06 PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

## 06.01 General

The program described herein is designed to determine whether licensed fuel cycle facilities are operated safely and securely and in accordance with regulations and their license. The program defines the minimum core inspection effort to be performed at each type of fuel cycle facility and provides guidance for reactive, supplemental, and generic safety issue inspections.

This inspection program also provides guidance on responding to events at licensee facilities. Depending on the significance of an event, additional guidance for determining the level of Agency response to an event is contained in NRC Management Directive (MD) 8.3, “Incident Investigation Program.”

## 06.02 Material Control and Accounting Inspection Program

This inspection program is described in Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 2683, “Material Control and Accounting Inspection of Fuel Cycle Facilities.” The associated inspections are included in the PIP.

## 06.03 Physical Protection and Transport of Special Nuclear Material (SNM) Program

This inspection program is described in IMC 2681, “Physical Protection and Transport of Special Nuclear Material and Irradiated Fuel Inspections of Fuel Facilities.”

# 2600-07 FUEL CYCLE FACILITY INSPECTION PROGRAM ELEMENTS

## 07.01 Program Elements

The inspection program described in this IMC is comprised of the following major program elements:

1. Core Inspections, including Resident Inspections where applicable.
2. Plant Specific Reactive Inspections.
3. Plant Specific Supplemental Inspections.
4. Generic Safety Issue Inspections.
5. Licensee Performance Reviews (LPRs).

Inspection procedures identify requirements that the inspectors must consider while evaluating the associated area. These requirements may not be the same as NRC requirements placed on a specific licensee. As such, it is not implied or intended that inspection program requirements are to be levied on the licensee.

## 07.02 Core Inspection Program

Each IP is complete when the applicable inspection requirements of that procedure are satisfied. The resource estimate in each procedure is an estimate for planning purposes; it is not an expected level of effort. Inspections of different licensees will require different levels of effort to complete the objectives. The resource estimate is an estimate of the nominal effort to meet the objectives; more or less hours may be appropriate depending on specific site circumstances such as the amount of change in licensee programs.

(In some cases, where inspections draw from a suite of available IPs, rather than a single IP, the suite will be considered as a single procedure for resource planning.) The core inspections for each type of facility are specified in Tables 1 and 2 of Appendix B. Inspectors shall obtain branch chief approval for increases or decreases greater than 10 percent of individual IP hours. The basis for the approval shall be documented in the “Notes” section of the Replacement Reactor Program System (RRPS) software program, or other program containing the PIP, for the applicable procedure. Any decreases in IP hours that result in the inability to meet the inspection objectives are considered deviations and should be documented in a memorandum from the Director, DFFI, to Director, DFM. Any significant increases in IP hours should be documented and communicated to Director DFM.

Resident inspectors are assigned to certain fuel cycle facilities that require such oversight because of their complexity of operation, risk, or other significant factors. However, a resident inspector may occasionally perform inspections other than resident inspections in coordination with regional management if he or she is qualified to do so. The resident inspection program is described in more detail in Appendix C.

## 07.03 Plant-specific Reactive Inspections

Reactive inspections include follow-up for events through additional inspections, Special Inspection Teams (SITs), Augmented Inspection Teams (AITs), and Incident Investigation Teams. A graded approach to reactive inspections is taken depending on the actual or potential risk-significance of an event or conditions. As more information is developed during an inspection, management may change the type of inspection, for example from an AIT to a SIT. In addition to events, reactive inspections may also be conducted for a significant change in the conditions involving licensed activities, such as a threatened or actual strike, a major layoff of plant personnel, or the occurrence (or pending occurrence) of a natural phenomenon or off site event.

## 07.04 Plant-specific Supplemental Inspections

The plant-specific Supplemental Inspections provide diagnostic inspections of identified problems and issues beyond the Core Inspections. Supplemental Inspections are performed as a result of performance issues that are identified by Core Inspections, reactive inspections, or during the LPR. The depth and breadth of specific Supplemental Inspections chosen for implementation will depend upon the risk, safety, or safeguards significance. Supplemental inspections might also be conducted due to allegations. Depending on the risk significance and breadth of the identified performance issues, the supplemental inspections provide a graded response, which includes oversight of the licensee’s root cause evaluation of the issues, expansion of core inspection reviews to increase depth and/or breadth of review, or a focused team inspection (as necessary to evaluate extent of condition); or a broad scope, multi-disciplined team inspection, which would include inspection of areas that appear to be root cause contributors such as a Problem Identification and Resolution system. The decision to conduct a Supplemental Inspection should be made through the assessment process, as further discussed in Section 8.0.

## 07.05 Generic Safety Issue Inspections

Concerns with generic safety or safeguards issues that arise may be addressed solely through the NMSS or NSIR review processes and the use of regulatory communications issued to licensees. Some issues are of such safety or safeguards significance that it is appropriate to perform a one‑time inspection under the generic safety issues program element.

These inspections may be established by Temporary Instructions (TIs). For example, when it is determined that an issue addressed in a bulletin, generic letter, Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) initiative, NEI program, or identified by operating experience requires inspection verification or follow-up, requirements and guidance for the inspection will be developed and issued in a TI. Unless such a TI is issued, inspection follow-up is not required to verify completion of licensees’ actions discussed in a bulletin, generic letter, or NEI program. The plants to be inspected will be designated in the TIs.

Specific criteria for closing a TI will be addressed in the TI itself. In general, TIs should not be closed until all relevant safety or safeguards issue items have been completed by the licensee and verified by inspection. However, exceptions may be considered when the licensee’s schedule for completing items remaining is acceptable, properly documented, and is not a critical element to resolving the safety or safeguards issue.

In addition, the need may arise for specific inspections to address major evolutions limited to one or a few licensees, such as adding new process lines or changing the assay of material processed in a facility. The need for these inspections will also be assessed on a case-by-case basis, and they can be conducted under the guidance of a TI or by using existing IPs in a customized inspection plan as Supplemental Inspections.

## 07.06 Licensee Performance Reviews

LPRs are conducted in accordance with IMC 2604, “Licensee Performance Review,” as part of the overall licensee performance oversight.

## 07.07 Backshift Inspections

There is not a specific goal for performing backshift inspections. Backshift inspections should be performed for safety and safeguards activities that are ongoing on backshift and whenever required to complete the intended scope of the inspection. (See Appendix C for resident inspector backshift guidance.)

## 07.08 Inspections During the Construction, Preoperational, and Startup Phases

Inspections for the startup of new or modified facilities are handled on a case-by-case basis through the implementation of a project-specific inspection plan or IMC.

# 2600-08 PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

## 08.01 Principal Inspection Plan

Prior to the start of each calendar year, a PIP will be developed for each of the facilities covered by this IMC. The details of inspections within the PIP will be based on the core inspection program, specific performance issues from the LPR, and ongoing or planned activities at each facility. Inspections that deviate from the core inspections in Appendix B will be approved by the Director, DFM, as defined in Section 04.02 of this IMC, “Core Inspection Completion.” For each facility, the PIP will identify all planned inspections to be performed, including Core, Supplemental, or Generic Safety Issue inspections. The estimated staff hours to complete each item on the PIP will also be included. Note that advanced planning for certain activities, such as supplemental and reactive inspections, may not be possible.

The PIP shall be maintained by Region II. These inspections will be coordinated to ensure that: (1) inspections are performed as defined in Appendix B, or modified in writing in accordance with this manual chapter; (2) inspections do not overlap in such a way as to cause undue adverse impacts on normal operations at the facility; and (3) major fuel facility inspection program activities, such as LPRs, can be scheduled with minimum interruption of scheduled inspections. Any inspections that are not to be conducted with the periodicity in Appendix B must be addressed as a program adjustment to the PIP (see Section 08.03).

Inspections normally should be announced, with adequate advance notice given to the licensee to ensure that appropriate licensee personnel can be made available and inspectors can arrange to observe certain activities not conducted on a routine basis. However, inspection staff retain the prerogative to conduct inspections on an unannounced basis where appropriate. Resident inspections for those sites with an assigned resident inspector are considered unannounced.

Most inspections are conducted onsite using direct observations and by performing onsite reviews of documentation and interviews with personnel. Direct observation shall be regarded as the preferred method of inspection. Where appropriate, supplementing onsite activities with remote inspection techniques may be used when warranted (e.g., document reviews, interviews). Remote inspection of activities that are normally directly observed should be approved by management.

## 08.02 Establishment of the Core Inspection Program

The annual core inspection program for a specific facility is complete when the inspections in Appendix B are completed for that facility. The Agency’s ability to assess the adequacy of facility performance is the controlling factor in determining the inspection effort necessary to complete the Core Inspections. Appendix B provides an estimate of the hours associated with each IP.

1. The core IPs are contained in Tables 1 and 2 of Appendix B. The inspection effort is expressed for six different facility types:
	1. Category I Fuel Fabrication Facilities
	2. Category II Fuel Fabrication Facilities
	3. Category III Uranium Fuel Fabrication Facilities
	4. Uranium Conversion Facilities
	5. Gas Centrifuge Facilities
	6. Laser Enrichment Facilities
2. Appendix B shows a list of IPs required to be used in the Core Fuel Cycle Facility Inspection Program. They are grouped by Function and Program Area.
3. For the MC&A Program Area, inspectors select the appropriate procedures to use from the suite of procedures listed in IMC 2683. Estimated hours for planning are determined using the guidance in the IMC.
4. For the Physical Protection Program Area, inspectors conduct the IPs contained in the IP suites described in IMC 2681. The estimated hours for each suite are contained in Appendix B of this IMC.

## 08.03 Program Adjustments and Deviations

The program provides Region II flexibility to adjust the frequency, focus, and intensiveness of inspections for different functional areas at facilities. Periodic adjustments will be based on LPR results, and will take into account the complexity, risk level, and previous operating history of the facility. Occasional adjustments may also occur in response to other events or activities as determined by DFFI or DFM management. These adjustments should be coordinated between the DFFI and DFM Branch Chiefs and documented in a memorandum. If the change impacts the approved PIP, then the change must be approved by the Director, DFM, and the Director, DFFI, or their designee. Minor adjustments involving the exact timing of an inspection within the calendar year may be performed at the discretion of DFFI management. It is the intent of the program that line management use the built-in flexibility to make the most effective and efficient use of NRC resources to address changes in plant status and licensee operations.

A reasonable allowance for responding to events or special licensee activities should normally be included in resource planning. In some cases, necessary adjustments may be difficult to implement within the constraints imposed by limited inspection resources. In such cases, implementation may involve a shift in the focus of already scheduled inspection resources for the subject facility, or a shift in allocated inspection resources from other facilities that are not exhibiting performance problems. Changes in inspections at a facility that will reduce the planned inspections below that of the PIP must be approved by the PIP change process with appropriate management approvals.

Program deviations, as defined in Section 04.02, should be submitted via memorandum from Director, DFFI, to the Director, DFM, and should include how reasonable assurance will be maintained after implementation of the change. Where practicable, the deviation should be submitted in advance of the change being implemented.

# 2600-09 EVENT REVIEW AND RESPONSE

Region II is responsible for determining the seriousness of reported events and whether an inspection (either reactive or core follow-up) is necessary. This determination is performed in coordination with NMSS and, when appropriate, NSIR. Reported events that are determined to require inspection will be addressed in the inspection report in accordance with IMC 0616. Information related to industry operating experience, that describes recent events or conditions at a facility, can be useful to NRC inspectors during their review of licensee activities and should be considered in inspection planning. Therefore, all events, including events that do not require any inspection (e.g., a non-safety related concurrent report), should be entered for tracking in the Reactor Program System, Fuel Cycle Operating Experience Database, or equivalent tracking database with the appropriate detail and justification.

Non‑reportable events are those events that fall outside of the NRC’s reporting criteria. Although these events are not reported formally to NRC, licensees occasionally contact NRC staff informally to describe the event. Licensees are often required, through license conditions, to maintain records of off-normal events on site. Inspections should examine non‑reportable events and associated licensee responses for the particular program area being inspected, in order to obtain a perspective on emerging problems or declining performance. Technical details of the issue may provide useful insight on equipment, system reliability, or human performance.

The agency’s response to significant events is described in NRC MD 8.3, “NRC Incident Response Program.”

# 2600-10 PLANNING FOR INSPECTIONS

## 10.01 Inspection Planning

Prior to conducting an inspection, inspectors shall prepare an inspection plan. The inspector will do sufficient coordination to identify commitments contained in confirmatory action letters (CALs) or confirmatory orders, including Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) confirmatory orders, as described in IP 92702, “Follow-Up on Traditional Enforcement Actions Including Violations, Deviations, Confirmatory Action Letters, and Orders.” Planning should address the creation of tracking numbers for action items identified in CALs and confirmatory orders, if this has not already been done. As a minimum, the inspection plan should state the facility to be inspected (including docket number and report number); the dates of the inspection; names of inspectors conducting the inspection; what procedures or suites of procedures will be used; the events, open items, orders, or any special issues that will be reviewed as part of the inspection; and should include an approval block showing that the plan was approved by the cognizant supervisor.

Where possible, inspectors should seek to maximize efficiencies in inspection planning and preparation with the use of technology, including the use of electronic sharing platforms to facilitate accessibility of key facility documentation and the use of electronic reading rooms for in-office review and to inform sample selection.

## 10.02 Review of Open Allegations

Inspectors shall review all open allegations pertaining to areas that they will be inspecting as part of their inspection preparation. The purpose of this review is to allow inspectors to become aware of concerns in the areas they may be inspecting. Inspectors shall not document performance of their allegation review in inspection reports. Inspectors shall contact the NMSS or regional allegation coordinator when issues similar to the ones identified in the open allegations are found, in order to determine what additional inspections, if any, should be performed.

# 2600-11 CONDUCTING INSPECTIONS

## 11.01 Entrance and Exit Meetings

Inspectors are required to meet with licensee management as part of every inspection. Formal entrance and exit meetings are not required if both licensee management and the team lead agree they are not needed. Regardless, the inspectors must discuss the details of any open items that changed or minor violations as a result of the inspection with licensee points of contact. At a minimum, the team lead should hold an exit meeting whenever a more-than-minor violation is (preliminarily) identified, or an Unresolved Item (URI) is opened. Non-routine entrance meetings, such as for reactive inspections, should also be held. Entrance and exit meetings can be in-person, hybrid or virtual. The exit meeting can also be held virtually after the end of the inspection (e.g., sometime the next week), but this must not result in additional direct inspection hours being charged after the end of the inspection, and the inspectors must inform the licensee of the end of the inspection prior to leaving the site. An exception can exist for cases where, with branch chief approval, the inspection has been held open to allow for resolution of an issue (e.g., in a case where the licensee can’t provide needed documents until after the inspection week).

An example outline for an entrance and exit meeting is shown in Appendix D. Inspectors should hold an entrance meeting with the senior licensee representative who has responsibility for the areas to be inspected. At the conclusion of an inspection, inspectors must discuss their preliminary findings with the licensee’s management at a scheduled exit meeting. Potential safety or safeguards significant findings should be promptly communicated to the licensee so that appropriate corrective actions or compensatory measures can be initiated. Management entrance and exit meetings with licensee personnel should be scheduled to have the minimum impact on other licensee activities necessary to ensure the safe operation of the facility.

Time spent on scheduled and periodic entrance and exit meetings (including preparing for the meetings) is considered inspection time and should be divided among the procedures being performed for the entire inspection (except as discussed above for exit meetings occurring after the end of the inspection). Daily communications with licensee management are considered to be an integral part of every IP and the time used for such routine communications should be charged to the IPs used.

Communicating inspection observations is also an integral and important part of every inspection, whether done daily during the course of an inspection, or periodically with status meetings. Licensees have expressed the desire to hear inspectors' insights or other operation experience items related to safety/regulatory performance even in instances where they do not reach the threshold for documentation in an inspection report, such as deviations from regulatory guidance. When deciding which observations and insights to pass on to the licensee, inspectors should consider the following:

1. Inspectors should share the same insights with their regional managers and the senior resident inspector prior to the exit meeting.
2. The insights must relate to areas within NRC’s jurisdiction and responsibilities.
3. Comments should be objective and supported with examples when possible. Avoid generalizations such as “procedure adherence was good.” Instead, just state the objective facts: “Procedures were followed in each case we observed.” Negative observations or insights must be supported with specific examples.
4. Inspectors should not express an expectation for actions taken by licensee managers. The inspectors may comment on whether or not the actions comply with NRC requirements.
5. Inspectors should determine before the exit if the licensee wants to hear the observations and insights at the exit meeting. If the licensee does not want the observations or insights at the exit meeting, the inspectors should not discuss them.

Inspectors may choose to provide the licensee with a pre-exit meeting to communicate inspection results and informal observations to the licensee, if desired by the inspection team and the licensee.

1. Inspectors must avoid “consulting” for the licensee and not advise them on how to improve draft documents or in-process work or pass on to licensees how others do the same thing.

## 11.02 Findings Related to Non-NRC Regulations

Inspections might uncover safety issues or other problems outside the scope of NRC regulatory authority. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has authority and responsibilities regarding plant conditions that result in an occupational risk, but do not affect the safety of licensed radioactive materials. For example, there might be exposure to toxic non-radioactive materials and other industrial hazards in the workplace. NRC supports OSHA by reporting any such conditions it learns about to the licensee, NRC, and OSHA so appropriate action(s) can be initiated. Additional actions are described in IMC 1007, “Interfacing Activities Between Regional Offices of NRC and OSHA.” Follow-up inspections are not required on the part of the NRC unless the potential hazard directly involves radiological health or safety and is a regulatory issue.

NRC has authority and responsibilities regarding radiation risk or chemical risk produced by radioactive materials and for plant conditions that affect the safety of radioactive materials and thus present an increased radiation risk to workers. In all cases where the finding involves a potential effect on the safety of radioactive material, the inspector should ask what actions the licensee plans to take. Findings associated with safety issues that could impact the safety of radioactive materials should be reviewed during subsequent inspections until the licensee has satisfactorily addressed the concern.

## 11.03 Performance-based Inspection Focus

Inspectors should focus their attention on activities important to safety using a performance-based, risk-informed approach. Performance-based inspection emphasizes observing activities and the results of licensee programs over reviewing procedures or records. For example, an inspector might identify an issue by observing a plant activity in progress, monitoring equipment performance, or the in-plant results of an activity (e.g., an engineering calculation), and then let the observed discrepancy or uncertainty lead to evaluation of other associated areas. Discussions with plant personnel and document reviews should be used to enhance or verify performance-based observations. These techniques are designed to emphasize observation of activities or those portions that are most risk-significant in terms of safety or safeguards.

Potential risk-significant inspection findings and regulatory noncompliances will be handled in accordance with the ‘NRC Enforcement Policy.’ Findings not covered by the current license will be forwarded to the appropriate NRC branch for resolution.

Although inspectors are primarily focused on their scheduled inspection areas, the inspectors should be cognizant of any “targets of opportunity” that may arise during the course of their inspection. Due to the limited amount of inspection hours spent on site, the inspectors should attempt to witness any significant testing or maintenance activities that occur during that time, even if the area is outside the current inspection. These may include observing significant testing or maintenance activities associated with other core inspection areas. For example, observing annual criticality accident alarm system testing, cleanouts of major pieces of equipment, live fire testing, etc. The inspectors can identify these activities during their walkdowns or by asking the licensee prior to and during the inspection if any maintenance and testing activities of risk-significant operations are scheduled to be performed while they are on site. The inspectors should communicate with the lead inspector for that facility regarding the specific item observed for follow-up or documenting the witnessed activity in the current inspection report.

## 11.04 Third Party Assistance

On occasion, licensees ask inspectors for recommendations for obtaining help solving programmatic problems. Inspectors are prohibited from recommending the services of individuals or organizations for a project under NRC regulatory jurisdiction. Providing such a recommendation violates Title 5 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) 2635.702, which prohibits federal employees from using public office for endorsement of any product, service, or enterprise.

## 11.05 Findings Outside of Inspector’s Qualifications

Inspectors sometimes identify issues or violations outside of their qualifications or expertise. In these cases, the inspector is responsible for: (1) determining if an immediate threat to public or worker health or safety exists, and if one does exist to notify licensee management immediately; and (2) determining if the issue is better addressed by an inspector with different qualifications. Inspectors may follow issues outside of their qualifications or expertise with the concurrence of an NRC manager responsible for the area associated with the issue and the inspector’s supervisor.

The inspector’s time associated with the issue is charged to the baseline procedure that best corresponds to the issue.

## 11.06 Review of Potential Issues Involving Lack of Clarity in the Licensing Basis

In some exceptional cases, an inspector may identify a concern where there is a lack of clarity in the licensing basis that results in a question as to whether the licensee needs to take an action to be in compliance with its licensing basis. In these cases, the inspector should consider the risk significance of the concern, as well as the response to and resolution of that concern, when determining the appropriateness of increased inspection effort.

If the inspector is unable to reach a conclusion following a reasonable period of review that is commensurate with the overallperceived significance of the concern, the inspector should consult with their management to determine if suspending the review is appropriate. Any determination should be documented in the inspection report. Similarly, if the licensee can resolve the concern (i.e., provide information that shows the concern is not within the licensing basis or provide other measures of confidence to demonstrate safety), no further inspection effort may be necessary.

If an inspector is unsure of the appropriate level of effort to expend, they should consult with management. Together, they should weigh the perceived significance of the concern (e.g., considering the kinds and quantities of material, potential for exposure or contamination, resolution of the issue by the licensee, programmatic impact, etc.) with the estimated level of effort required to determine if there is a violation and the actual significance of that violation (age of the issue, availability of records, potential outcome, etc.) to determine whether additional effort is warranted.

If the review of the concern is suspended, the inspector should still discuss the concern with licensee management to ensure that any necessary actions have been taken to address the potential for recurrence, and should document the concern and the determination in the inspection report, so that the matter may be reviewed again in the future if the NRC receives new information about the concern (e.g., information that it is within the licensing basis or is more significant than initially perceived).

## 11.07 Coordination of Headquarters Technical Support for Regional Inspection Activities

Regional inspectors are technically qualified in the areas in which they inspect, though there are times that inspectors may seek different levels of technical guidance or assistance in inspection activities. This may include seeking support from headquarters (HQ) technical staff with specific areas of expertise.

It is important that the inspector and the technical support staff communicate clearly the context for the questions along with the questions themselves, and the level of support the inspector is seeking. Whatever the level of support, the inspectors must make it clear what the expectations are for the support they are seeking. The following guidelines are for regional requests to HQ which are seeking to understand and to inform regionally developed documents finalized and approved by the region.

1. Minimal Effort Needed (< approximately 4 hours): Inspectors have a question in a technical area they are inspecting (or preparing to inspect) and contact a technical point of contact in the region or HQ with specific questions. These occur frequently and typically take minutes to a couple of hours to respond. The time and level of support efforts are self-managed between the regional inspector and HQ staff. A response from HQ staff to the inspector is typically verbal or via email.
2. Slightly More Effort Needed (approximately 4 – 8 hours): Inspectors have a complex question or set of questions that may take more than a few hours for the technical staff to respond to. The inspector and HQ technical staff should discuss the level of effort needed for a response. The time and level of support efforts are managed by the regional and HQ staff with HQ branch chief permission (inspectors must respect the technical staff’s time and work priorities). A response from HQ staff to the inspector is verbal or via email.
3. Request for Direct Support Throughout an Inspection (> approximately 8 hours up to the duration of the inspection): Occasionally, an inspection team leader determines the need for HQ technical experts to support their inspection, either remotely or directly on site throughout the inspection. When this occurs, the inspectors must request the technical staff participation through their regional branch chief to the HQ technical branch chief, due to the significant amount of time needed. The requesting inspectors must inform the relevant facility project manager for their awareness. A response from HQ staff to the inspector is verbal or via email.

Occasionally, when routine channels are exhausted for resolving an issue, or the issue has become protracted or progress has stalled, or for requests that may take more than a reasonable amount of time (as agreed between the inspector/technical staff and their supervisors), then the inspectors must use a formal process such as the one described in NMSS Policy & Procedure 7-05, “Procedures for Processing of Technical Assistance Requests” or a formal request memorandum, since this is expected to be a significant resource commitment. Examples are to provide a basis to dispute a licensee position, or a technical determination stemming from the inspection efforts to make final inspection determination, or requires other expertise (e.g., legal, etc.).

## 11.08 Inspection Documentation

Inspections shall be documented in accordance with the requirements of IMC 0616, “Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards Inspection Reports.”

## 11.09 Independent Inspection Effort

As a general rule, inspections should be conducted in accordance with IPs. However, it is not possible to anticipate all the unique circumstances that might be encountered during the course of a particular inspection. Therefore, individual inspectors are expected to exercise initiative in conducting inspections, based on their expertise, experience, and risk insights, as needed to ensure that all the inspection objectives are met.

## 11.10 Open Item Guidance

Inspection findings can be tracked as one of the following types of open items:

URI: An Unresolved Item (URI) involves an issue that requires more information to determine whether a violation has occurred. Because each URI is a potential safety or safeguards issue, every effort must be made to obtain the required information. As such, the inspector should identify what information is required to close the URI to the licensee at the exit meeting and a schedule for obtaining that information should be obtained. Additionally, the inspector should obtain the licensee’s rationale for why the process is safe prior to leaving the site (e.g., a compensatory measure is in place, the equipment is shut down, etc.).

NOV: A Notice of Violation (NOV) is a citation of noncompliance with NRC requirements. A licensee response is required when corrective actions have not yet been completed by the licensee. The inspector should not leave the site until understanding why the process is safe at that time. NOVs should be closed upon verification that the licensee has implemented the corrective actions that they committed to perform in their response to the NOV as soon as practicable after the date when implementation is scheduled to be complete. The inspectors should review the licensee’s evaluation of the cause of the violation and short-term and long-term corrective actions.

DEV: A Deviation (DEV) is a licensee’s failure to satisfy a written commitment or conform to the provisions of code, standard, guide, or accepted industry practice when the code, standard, guide, or practice involved has not been made a legally binding requirement by the Commission, but is expected to be implemented. Examples of licensee’s commitments include responses to bulletins, generic letters, or 10 CFR 70.22(d) requests. These items should be handled in the same manner as a URI.

Open items will be entered in the item tracking system. Closeout of items is the responsibility of the organization that opened them or by another organization by agreement between management of those organizations. If closure occurs in an inspection report issued by another organization, it remains the responsibility of the issuing organization to ensure that the item is closed in a timely manner in the item tracking system.

## 11.11 Witnessing Unsafe Situations

If NRC inspectors identify unsafe work practices or violations that could lead to an unsafe situation, they shall make every reasonable attempt to prevent them from occurring in their presence. When such situations are identified, the inspector shall promptly notify a licensee representative so that corrective preventive measures can be taken.

## 11.12 Inspector Functions During Period of Lapsed Appropriation

NRC Management Directive 4.5, “Contingency Plan for Periods of Lapsed Appropriations,” has defined the resident and selected region-based inspector function as an excepted NRC activity that will continue during the period of restricted NRC operations. Both resident and region-based inspectors will continue with their respective functions defined below.

1. Region-based inspection function:
	1. Includes event response requiring regional specialist expertise.
	2. Does not include the approval or issuance of inspection reports.
2. Resident inspection function includes the following activities:
	1. Completion of all activities that are normally assigned to a resident inspector; including the performance of this manual chapter and its appendices.
	2. Completion of reactive inspection activities pursuant to NRC MD 8.3, “NRC Incident Investigation Program.” The decision to initiate a reactive inspection shall be made in consultation with the “excepted function” Regional and Program Office managers.
	3. Completion of core, reactive and supplemental inspection activities not covered above that had been or are approved by regional management as being within the technical expertise of the residents at the site and that are scheduled for completion during the period of lapsed appropriation.
	4. Emergency response, incident response, allegation, enforcement, public communication, and support for emergency licensing action activities that are typically performed by resident inspectors.
3. The ‘resident inspection function’ does not include program activities that require substantial support or approval from the Regional Office or Program Office. This exclusion includes the issuance of inspection reports under IMC 0616, “Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards Inspection Reports.” The resident inspectors do no inherit signature authority unless it is covered by separate authorization.

# 2600-12 ASSESSING FACILITY PERFORMANCE

## 12.01 Licensee Performance Review (LPR)

LPRs are conducted in accordance with IMC 2604 as part of the overall licensee performance oversight.

## 12.02 Agency Action Review Meeting (AARM)

The information and performance assessments arising from the inspection program should be the primary inputs to management discussions in preparation for the annual AARM, which are conducted per MD 8.14, “Agency Action Review Meeting.”

## 12.03 Ongoing Assessments of Facility Performance

NRC inspectors perform a basic mission in determining whether a licensee operates the facility safely and securely and meets current regulatory requirements. Limiting inspection to the identification of specific instances where a licensee fails to meet such requirements and commitments could result in the correction of symptoms rather than the correction of underlying causes of licensee problems. Thus, the inspection program requires that inspectors and their managers evaluate problems to determine if follow-up inspections are necessary to diagnose whether a safety concern represents an isolated case or may signify a broader, more serious problem based on the evaluated significance of the issues.

# 2600-13 ASSESSING PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

NMSS expects inspectors to identify problems in implementing the fuel cycle facility inspection program, and to recommend changes to the program for NMSS’ consideration. Any such feedback and recommendations should be submitted to NMSS/IOB.

# 2600-14 TRANSITION FROM OPERATIONS TO DECOMMISSIONING

When a licensee discontinues operations involving radioactive material, it may choose to immediately cease operations, suspend operations for extended periods of time, allow the license to expire, or enter bankruptcy. When transitioning from operations to decommissioning, licensees may be required to implement the reporting and decommissioning timeliness requirements provided in 10 CFR 40.42 and 70.38 regarding the expiration and termination of licenses and decommissioning of sites, buildings, or outdoor areas. These regulations also require licensees to submit decommissioning plans to the NRC for review and approval prior to the start of remediation.

When a facility discontinues operations, a licensee may decide to deactivate systems and equipment, reduce site staffing, and store, transfer, or dispose of radioactive material. Until the license is revised by the NRC, the licensee must continue to implement all license conditions and regulatory requirements related to operations, including the performance requirements for chemical, radiological, and criticality safety; material security and control; emergency preparedness; and financial assurance requirements, as appropriate.

In general, overall responsibility for the decommissioning program rests with the Division of Decommissioning, Uranium Recovery, and Waste Programs (DUWP). The overall objectives of the NMSS decommissioning program are to ensure that decommissioning activities adequately protect the health and safety of workers and the public, protect the environment, and are conducted in a timely and effective manner, consistent with all pertinent regulatory requirements. NMSS/DFM maintains the expertise necessary to perform licensing, safety reviews and inspections related to fuel cycle sites. A site is not typically transferred from NMSS/DFM (and IMC 2600) to NMSS/DUWP (and IMC 2602) until (1) the licensee has permanently ceased all principal activities and (2) there are no issues (e.g., criticality concerns) that would warrant NMSS/DFM maintaining oversight and project management. This transfer process is set forth in an April 23, 2003, memorandum of understanding (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML030900195) and explained in SECY-06-0106, “Consolidation of U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s Decommissioning Program in the Division of Waste Management and Environmental Protection, Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards.”

Once a site or a portion of a site enters decommissioning, the inspection program may shift from IMC 2600 to IMC 2602, “Decommissioning Fuel Cycle, Uranium Recovery, and Materials Inspection Program.” In these situations, the inspection of decommissioning-related activities may be the responsibility of qualified decommissioning inspectors rather than materials inspectors.

During each inspection involving loose radioactive material, materials inspectors should inquire about the licensee’s plans or schedule for discontinuing operations at the various locations of use. All operating licensees must adhere to the decommissioning recordkeeping requirements provided in 10 CFR 40.36 and 70.25. The inspector should review the licensee’s implementation of these recordkeeping requirements during the inspection.

Some facilities may undergo partial site decommissioning, for example, removing and releasing a building from the license. In these situations, the inspector should work with the license reviewer and decommissioning inspectors to ascertain what types of actions and records are needed to allow the NRC to release that location from the license. As part of the transition process, the license reviewer may have to revise the license due to changes in financial assurance, and/or emergency response requirements. The license reviewer may also request support from a decommissioning inspector for reviews of proposed license amendment requests; for example, to determine whether the licensee conducted a sufficient radiological survey and whether the licensee has disposed of or transferred all radioactive material.

Details about the NRC’s decommissioning process are provided in NUREG-1757, “Consolidated Decommissioning Guidance,” Volumes 1-3. Details about radiological surveys that are acceptable to the NRC to support decommissioning are provided in NUREG-1575, “Multi‑Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual (MARSSIM).”

END
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