NRC Stakeholder Meeting Topic #10: Effectiveness of Current Regulatory Framework



Dale Atkinson

Chief Operating Officer and Chief Nuclear Officer NuScale Power, LLC

July 26, 2016



Acknowledgement and Disclaimer

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy under Award Number DE-NE0000633.

This presentation was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.



Level of Detail in Applications and Review Efficiency

- Incorporate recent plant licensing lessons learned
- Risk-inform DCA review for graded level of detail
 - NRO-trained technical branch staff to risk-inform NuScale DCA review (more detail for safety and risk significant SSCs—less detail for nonsafety or non risk-significant SSCs)
 - expected positive outcome for a focused and efficient review
- Level of detail in applications
 - size of DCA grows AP1000 (6,900 pages) to KHNP (11,000 pages) to NuScale (estimated 12,000 pages)
 - KHNPAPR-1400 is **not** the example for appropriate level of design completeness (already constructed design)
 - not limited to DCAs
 - TVA ESP 8,800 pages following in-depth readiness assessment, and acceptance review not completed in 60 days



Small Modular Reactor/Advanced Reactor Security

- Use of security by design
- Physical security framework suitable and predictable for SMR/AR designs
- NEI white paper, consequence-based approach, encourages security by design
 - consistent with the concepts that form the basis for the consequence-based EP rulemaking currently underway
 - efficiencies will be realized by clarifying the regulatory basis upfront in order to support a rulemaking and near-term exemptions

Off-Site Power

- Public health and safety are protected by some SMR/AR designs without reliance on off-site power (GDC 17)
- Basis of GDC 17 is large LWR operating fleet which requires electric power for safety functions—not the case of SMR/AR designs
- DOE and DOD national security power require, for mission critical activities, no reliance on off-site power
- Regulatory guidance and acceptance criteria needed for these designs

Tier 2*

- Original intention for Tier 2* has not been realized in its application
- Tier 2* implementation has caused excessive regulatory burdens and LARs with no safety benefit
- Tier 2* should be eliminated
- Elimination of Tier 2* should not result in expansion of Tier 1
- NRC should adhere to Tier 1 first principles





6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 210 Portland, OR 97224 503.715.2222

1100 NE Circle Blvd., Suite 200 Corvallis, OR 97330 541.360.0500

11333 Woodglen Ave., Suite 205 Rockville, MD 20852 301.770.0472

6060 Piedmont Row Drive South, Suite 600 Charlotte, NC 28287 704.526.3413

1933 Jadwin Ave., Suite 205 Richland, WA 99354

http://www.nuscalepower.com

