

Protecting People and the Environment

# Molybdenum-99 Production and Its Impact on the Medical Community

## Christopher J. Palestro, M.D. ACMUI Nuclear Medicine Physician April 14, 2015

# Molybdenum-99 (<sup>99</sup>Mo)

## Parent of technetium-99m **Technetium-99m** Modern nuclear medicine imaging workhorse Worldwide 80% of 30 million diagnostic nuclear medicine procedures performed annually<sup>1</sup> **United States** 50,000 procedures daily<sup>2</sup>

# **Technetium-99m (<sup>99m</sup>Tc)** 30 Million Procedures Annually<sup>3</sup>

Region	Number of Procedures Performed Annually
North America	12-15 million (40-50%)
Europe	6-7 million (20-23%)
Asia/Pacific	6-8 million (20-27%)
Other world regions	0.5 million (2%)

(Russian Federation, China, Central Asian countries not included because of a lack of data)

\*Estimated worldwide growth through 2020: 1%-2% annually<sup>3</sup>

## **Global Molybdenum-99 Production & Consumption**<sup>4</sup>

<b>Country/Region</b>	Production	Consumption
<b>European Union</b>	45%	22%
Canada	40%	4%
South Africa	10%	
Australia	2%	1%
Other		12%
Russia	1%	1%
Japan	0%	14%
USA	0%	<b>46%</b>

# <sup>99</sup>Mo/<sup>99m</sup>Tc Supply Chain

## **1. Nuclear Reactor**

Neutron bombardment of uranium target produces numerous daughter isotopes including <sup>99</sup>Mo

## **2. Isotope production**

<sup>99</sup>Mo extraction & purification

**3.** <sup>99</sup>Mo/<sup>99m</sup>Tc Generator manufacture

## **4.** <sup>99</sup>Mo/<sup>99m</sup>Tc Generator distribution Hospitals Radiopharmacies

# <sup>99</sup>Mo/<sup>99m</sup>Tc Supply Chain is Fragile

#### **Entire worldwide production**

< 10 sites (NONE in the United States)

## **Reactor Age**

> 45 yrs. old: NRU in Canada, HFR, Osiris, & BR2 in Europe, & Safari in South Africa account for 95% of world <sup>99</sup>Mo production

> Decommissioning (2017-2020) Extensive downtime (2008-2010) NRU: 15 months HFR: 13 months

## Highly enriched uranium (HEU) availability US to stop exporting HEU

## <sup>99</sup>Mo/<sup>99m</sup>Tc Supply Chain Interruption Consequences

## Potentially wreak havoc on patient care

## Effects on diagnostic testing\*

**Postponed/cancelled studies** 

Alternative, less desirable radiopharmaceuticals

Alternative, more expensive procedures

#### Effects on patient care Delays in diagnosis Delays in treatment

\*United States 2008-2012: 16 million  $\rightarrow$  14.5 million (-9%)<sup>5</sup>

# Coping with <sup>99</sup>Mo/<sup>99m</sup>Tc Supply Chain Interruptions (2008-2010)

## **Short Term Solutions**

#### More frequent generator elution Maximizes <sup>99m</sup>Tc activity extracted, improving yield

#### Revised examination schedules Maximizes amount of <sup>99m</sup>Tc available Provides greater access to patients in most need Results in cancelled studies

# Coping with <sup>99</sup>Mo/<sup>99m</sup>Tc Supply Chain Interruptions (2008-2010)

## **Short Term Solutions**

## **Decrease administered activity**

Longer imaging times  $\rightarrow$  loss of image quality

#### **Alternative radiopharmaceuticals**

#### **Nuclear cardiology** (60% of <sup>99m</sup>Tc studies)

#### Thallium-201

Inferior image quality Increased patient radiation exposure Increased downstream testing<sup>6</sup> Increased cost<sup>6</sup>

# Coping with <sup>99</sup>Mo/<sup>99m</sup>Tc Supply Chain Interruptions (2008-2010)

## **Short Term Solutions**

## **Alternative radiopharmaceuticals**

Nuclear cardiology (60% of <sup>99m</sup>Tc studies) Nitrogen-13, Rubidium-82 Limited number of PET imaging systems vs. SPECT imaging systems

**Bone scintigraphy** (20% of <sup>99m</sup>Tc studies)

Fluorine-18

Limited number of PET imaging systems vs. SPECT imaging systems

Not yet reimbursable

## What is Needed?

# Readily available consistent supply of <sup>99</sup>Mo (<sup>99m</sup>Tc) to facilitate performance of nuclear medicine procedures necessary for patient care

## **Long Term Solutions**

## Decentralize <sup>99</sup>Mo production Entire worldwide production < 10 sites (NONE in the US)

## Develop reliable domestic <sup>99</sup>Mo source

# **Long Term Solutions**

## Develop reliable domestic <sup>99</sup>Mo source Two companies currently active

NorthStar Medical Technologies (WI/MO) Neutron capture technology Phase I groundbreaking: 2014 Applied for FDA approval Operational: ? 2015 Shine Medical Technologies (WI) LEU technology ? up to 1/3 world's <sup>99</sup>Mo needs Construction approval pending Operational: ? end of 2017 Major obstacle: Financial

## References

- **1** Van Noorden R. Nature. 2033;504:202-204.
- **2** Cutler CS et al. J Nucl Med. 2014;55:1208–1213.
- **3** Report on Molybdenum-99 Production for Nuclear medicine 2010-2020 (APIES 2008)
- 4 Khlopkov A, et al. Ending HEU Use in Medical Isotope Production:Options for US – Russian Cooperation. NTI Report February 14, 2014.
- 5 IMV Market Report Dec. 26, 2013
- 6 Small GR, et al. Lessons from the Tc-99m shortage: implications of substituting TI-201 for Tc-99m single photon emission computed tomography. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging. 2013;6:683-691.

## Acronyms

- **BR2** Belgian Reactor 2
- **FDA** US Food and Drug Administration
- **HEU** highly enriched uranium
- **HFR** High Flux Reactor
- **LEU** low enriched uranium
- <sup>99</sup>Mo Molybdenum-99
- NRU National Research Universal Reactor
- 99mTc Technetium-99m