

Minnesota Department of Public Safety

Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management

**Kevin C Leuer, Director
Preparedness Branch**

May 3, 2011



Comment Overview




- Implementation of NUREG 0654 Supplement 3.
- Hostile Action Based (HAB) planning and response.
- New regulation impacts.
- Implementation of new requirements.



NUREG 0654 Supplement 3



- Site specific implementation of staged evacuation requirements.
- One size does not fit all.
- Predetermined PARs.
- Coordination between on and off-site for PARs.



HAB Planning and Response



- Synchronization of security response and off-site radiological response plans is needed for an effective hostile action based response.
- Plant security response plans must be integrated with the rest of the response.




HAB Planning Assumptions



- Prepare for design basis events or beyond design basis events?
- With a radioactive release or without?





Regulation Impacts



- Hostile Action Response
 - Many new players becoming involved.
 - Need federal agency participation in planning and response.
- REP Program Manual Changes
 - New exercise cycle but unknown exercise criterion frequency demonstration requirements.
 - Unknown HAB demonstration requirements.
 - HSEEP implementation requirements.

Regulation Impacts




- Response Impact
 - Response to a crisis at the site while responding to the radiological emergency.
- Jurisdiction Impacts
 - New law enforcement agency impacts.
 - New fire and EMS agency impacts.
 - Tribal jurisdiction impacts.



Rule Implementation



- Site specific implementation plan.
- Priority on hostile action response implementation.
- Consideration for states with multiple sites.
- Consideration of budget cycles.
- CY 2015 completion date.



Thank You
for the opportunity to present today

Kevin C Leuer, Director
Preparedness Branch

kevin.leuer@state.mn.us

