

# ***Source Supplier's Perspective on Proposed Part 37***

***19 April 2011***

***Kate Roughan***

***Director, Regulatory Affairs/Quality  
Assurance***

***ISSPA and QSA Global***

# ***ISSPA Mission***

***To ensure that the beneficial use of radioactive sources continues to be regarded by the public, the media, legislators, and regulators as a safe, secure, viable technology for medical, industrial, and research applications.***

# ***Orders vs. Regulation***

***ISSPA strongly supports moving the security requirements associated with Category 1 and 2 quantities of Radioactive Material from NRC Order space and into Regulation space.***

- Provides an opportunity for Stakeholders to engage in the rule making process.***
- Achieves desired level of transparency***

***In addition; ISSPA appreciates the development of implementation guidance in parallel with the rule.***

- Ease implementation***

# ***Current Controls***

- ***The current controls in place have been demonstrated to be robust and effective***
- ***Increased controls along with other NRC initiatives (NSTS) provide a multi layered comprehensive approach to security***
- ***There are no indications that current controls are not adequate to prevent a malevolent act***

# ***Economic Burden vs. Benefit***

- ***Additional economic burden on licensees is significantly underestimated and rule will have a substantial financial impact on both large and small businesses***
- ***There is not sufficient justification to impose additional and more stringent security measures, when the perceived benefits are only described as qualitative and there are no identified quantitative benefits***

# ***One Size Fits All***

- ***The security Orders were developed and issued to licensees using a graded approach – they considered the nature of the business and quantity of material authorized to possess***
- ***Proposed rule attempts to envelop the byproduct community and other communities as a whole and this is not effective***

# ***Graded Approach***

- ***Recommend using the graded approach so requirements are appropriate to the license type***
- ***Use NUREG 1556 series approach and add the security requirements to specific regulations (Part 34, 35, 39) based on type of license***

# ***Prescriptive Requirements***

- ***Proposed changes are very prescriptive and not easily implemented – deviates from NRC's normal policy of risk informed and performance based regulation***



# ***Background Checks***

- Trustworthiness and Reliability determinations are a critical security aspect yet the current Orders and proposed rule fail to provide definitive criteria that would qualify or disqualify an individual from obtaining unescorted access to materials.***
- Lack of criteria makes the T&R assessment arbitrary and an inspection against the requirements will be subjective and inconsistent.***

# ***Transportation***

- Concerned with the unrealistic expectations regarding planning and coordination of shipments***
- Potential for Agreement State additions to the NRC Proposed Rules – unreasonable permitting fees – port of entry restrictions – additional package tracking***

# ***Specific Issues***

- ***Credit history***
- ***Notification of local LLEA at temporary job sites***
- ***Weekly verifications of sources***
- ***Pre-planning and follow-up***
- ***LVS***
- ***T&R on reviewing official***
- ***Documentation and audits***

# ***Conclusions***

- ***Re-assess the needs vs. real risks vs. costs vs. benefits***
- ***Need to assure can actually implement the rules otherwise they are ineffective***
- ***Identify the roles and authorities of other Agencies such as the DOT***
- ***Continue to maintain an open dialogue with Stakeholders during the rule making process***