POLICY ISSUE

(Notation Vote)

October 29, 2014 SECY-14-0118

FOR: The Commissioners

FROM: Mark A. Satorius

Executive Director for Operations

<u>SUBJECT</u>: REQUEST BY DUKE ENERGY FLORIDA, INC., FOR EXEMPTIONS

FROM CERTAIN EMERGENCY PLANNING REQUIREMENTS

PURPOSE:

The purpose of this paper is to seek Commission approval for the staff to grant Duke Energy Florida, Inc.'s (DEF's) request for exemptions from certain emergency planning (EP) requirements of Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," of Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR). DEF's proposed exemptions would result in elimination of the requirements placed by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on the licensee for formal offsite radiological emergency plans at the Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) site, but would require the maintenance of certain onsite capabilities to communicate and coordinate with offsite response authorities. This paper does not address any new commitments or resource implications.

SUMMARY:

The EP requirements of 10 CFR 50.47, "Emergency Plans," and Appendix E, "Emergency Planning and Preparedness for Production and Utilization Facilities," to 10 CFR Part 50 continue to apply to a nuclear power reactor after permanent cessation of operations and removal of fuel from the reactor vessel. There are no explicit regulatory provisions distinguishing EP requirements for a power reactor that has been shut down from those for an operating power reactor.

CONTACTS: Michael Norris, NSIR/DPR

(301) 287-3754

To modify their emergency plans to reflect the risk commensurate with power reactors that have been permanently shut down, power reactor licensees transitioning to decommissioning must seek exemptions from certain EP regulatory requirements before amending these plans.

The staff has reviewed the technical basis for DEF's requested exemptions and is recommending the Commission approve the staff's proposal to grant the requested EP exemptions, as detailed in the enclosure.

BACKGROUND:

The regulations in 10 CFR 50.12(a)(2)(ii) provide that the NRC may, on application by a licensee or on its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of the regulations in circumstances in which application of the regulation would not serve the underlying purpose of the rule or is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule¹. The risk of an offsite radiological release is significantly lower, and the types of possible accidents are significantly fewer, at a nuclear power reactor that has permanently ceased operations and removed fuel from the reactor vessel than at an operating power reactor.

On this basis, the NRC has previously granted similar exemptions from EP requirements for 12 permanently shut down and defueled power reactor licensees. The staff provided an exemption request for the Kewaunee Power Station to the Commission in SECY-14-0066 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML14072A257), which was approved by the Commission in the staff requirements memorandum (SRM) to SECY-14-0066 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14219A366). Before the Kewaunee Power Station, the last approved exemptions that eliminated the requirements for formal offsite radiological EP were for the Zion facility in 1999 (ADAMS Legacy Accession No. 9908260192). The underlying technical basis for the approval of the Zion facility exemptions was based on demonstrating that the radiological consequences of design-basis accidents (DBAs) would not exceed the limits of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Protective Action Guides (PAGs) at the exclusion area boundary and that the spent fuel stored in the spent fuel pool (SFP) would not reach the zirconium ignition temperature in fewer than 10 hours based on analysis that assumes no water or air cooling of the fuel. The staff concluded that if 10 hours was available to initiate mitigative actions or, if needed, offsite protective actions using a comprehensive emergency management plan (CEMP)² formal offsite radiological emergency plans are not necessary for permanently defueled nuclear power reactor licensees. In addition to CR-3, San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station and Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station have also applied for exemptions from certain EP requirements. The exemption requests by CR-3, as described in

¹ Notwithstanding the special circumstances of the exemption request, 10 CFR 50.12(a)(1) requires that the exemption must be authorized by law, not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and be consistent with the common defense and security.

² A CEMP, in this context, also referred to as an emergency operations plan (EOP), is addressed in the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Comprehensive Preparedness Guide (CPG) 101, "Developing and Maintaining Emergency Operations Plans." CPG 101 is the foundation for State, territorial, Tribal, and local EP in the United States. It promotes a common understanding of the fundamentals of risk-informed planning and decisionmaking and helps planners at all levels of government in their efforts to develop and maintain viable, all-hazards, all-threats emergency plans. An EOP is flexible enough for use in all emergencies. It describes how people and property will be protected; details who is responsible for carrying out specific actions; identifies the personnel, equipment, facilities, supplies and other resources available; and outlines how all actions will be coordinated. A CEMP is often referred to as a synonym for "all hazards planning."

this paper, are consistent with those approved by the Commission in the SRM to SECY-14-0066.

The NRC requires a level of licensee EP commensurate with the potential consequences to public health and safety and common defense and security at the licensee's site. Under the current safety analysis in NUREG-1738, "Technical Study of Spent Fuel Pool Accident Risk at Decommissioning Nuclear Power Plants" (ADAMS Accession No. ML010430066), the event sequences important to risk at a decommissioning power reactor are limited to a large earthquake and cask-drop events. This is an important difference relative to an operating power reactor where typically a large number of different initiating events make significant contributions to risk. Additionally, physical security for special nuclear material at fixed sites, including decommissioning power reactors, is required by 10 CFR Part 73, "Physical Protection of Plants and Materials." Decommissioning power reactor licensees are required by 10 CFR 73.55(f) to develop target sets for use in the development and implementation of security strategies that protect against spent fuel sabotage. While both operating and decommissioning power reactors are required to develop target sets, the number of target sets at a decommissioning reactor is significantly reduced. Implementation of the protective strategy at a decommissioning reactor takes into account this reduction in target sets. With the significant reduction in radiological risk for a power reactor undergoing decommissioning, the NRC has historically approved exemptions to EP and security requirements based on site-specific evaluations and the objectives of the regulations.

The NRC prepared NUREG-1738 to provide a technical basis for SECY-00-0145, "Integrated Rulemaking Plan for Nuclear Power Plant Decommissioning" (ADAMS Accession No. ML003721626). The proposed rulemaking was later deferred in light of higher priority work after the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. Nonetheless, NUREG-1738 provides insights that the staff continues to find helpful for the evaluation of exemption requests regarding EP requirements. Specifically, NUREG-1738 identified a zirconium fire resulting from a substantial loss-of-water inventory in the SFP as the only postulated scenario at a decommissioning power reactor that, while highly unlikely, might result in a significant offsite release.

Previously granted exemptions from EP regulations reduced EP requirements for decommissioning power reactors to those consistent with the standards of: (1) 10 CFR 50.47(d), which states the requirements for a license authorizing fuel loading and low power testing only; and (2) 10 CFR 72.32(a), which establishes the information required in an emergency plan for an independent spent fuel storage installation. Examples of previously granted exemptions from EP regulations for decommissioning power reactors include: setting the highest emergency plan classification as an "Alert"; extending the timing requirements for notification of offsite authorities; requiring only onsite exercises with the opportunity for offsite response organization participation; and only maintaining arrangements for offsite response organizations (i.e., law enforcement, fire and medical services) that may respond to onsite emergencies. The existence of formal offsite radiological emergency plans is no longer a binding requirement on the licensee.

While the staff considers the exemptions from certain EP requirements, as requested by DEF and described above, to be reasonable for a power reactor that has been permanently shut down and defueled, the resulting set of EP requirements could be viewed as a reduction in effectiveness when compared to the operating reactor emergency plan currently in effect at

CR-3. In the SRM to SECY-08-0024, "Delegation of Commission Authority to Staff to Approve or Deny Emergency Plan Changes That Represent a Decrease in Effectiveness," dated May 19, 2008 (ADAMS Accession No. ML081400510), the Commission directed that the staff should request Commission approval for any reduction in effectiveness of a licensee's emergency plan that requires an exemption from the requirements of 10 CFR 50.47(b) and Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50. In a manner consistent with the SRM's direction, this paper seeks Commission approval for the staff to process and grant, as appropriate, DEF's requested exemptions from the EP requirements as detailed in the enclosure, which provides a summary of DEF's exemption request and a brief description of the staff's basis for recommending approval.

DISCUSSION:

DEF is the holder of Operating License No. DPR-72, issued under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and 10 CFR Part 50, which authorizes the licensee to possess and store spent nuclear fuel and greater-than-class C radioactive waste at the CR-3 facility, which has been permanently shut down and defueled. On September 26, 2009, the CR-3 reactor permanently ceased power generation. All fuel assemblies were removed from the reactor vessel and placed in the SFP on May 28, 2011.

By letter dated February 20, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML13056A005), DEF submitted certifications to the NRC of permanent cessation of power operations at CR-3 and that fuel has been permanently removed from the CR-3 reactor vessel, under 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1)(i) and 10 CFR 50.82(a)(1)(ii). Upon docketing of these certifications, the 10 CFR Part 50 license for CR-3 no longer authorized operation of the reactor or emplacement or retention of fuel into the reactor vessel, as specified in 10 CFR 50.82(a)(2).

By letter dated September 26, 2013, "Request for Exemption to Certain Radiological Emergency Response Plan Requirements Defined by 10 CFR 50" (ADAMS Accession No. ML13274A584), DEF requested exemptions from specific EP requirements of 10 CFR Part 50 for CR-3. The staff issued a request for additional information (RAI) in an e-mail dated February 20, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14118A287). In a letter dated March 28, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14098A072), DEF provided a response to the RAI. The exemptions requested by DEF and the staff's review are detailed in the enclosure.

The staff also sent RAIs for an associated proposed change to technical specifications, specifically in reference to the license condition for 10 CFR 50.54(h)(h) mitigation strategies, in an e-mail dated April 10, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14114A279). In a letter dated May 7, 2014, DEF provided a response to those RAIs (ADAMS Accession No. ML14139A006), which contained information applicable to the SFP inventory makeup strategies for mitigating the loss-of-water inventory. In an e-mail, also dated April 10, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14113A363), the staff delivered RAIs in reference to the proposed permanently defueled emergency plan and emergency action level scheme change. In a letter dated May 23, 2014 (ADAMS Accession No. ML14154A408), DEF provided responses to those RAIs. The information provided by DEF included justifications for each exemption requested. The staff found the application complete and the licensee's associated technical justification provides a basis for the Commission's consideration of the requested exemption.

In Enclosure 6 to the September 26, 2013, letter, "Crystal River Unit 3 – License Amendment

Request #315, Revision 0, Permanently Defueled Emergency Plan and Emergency Action Level Scheme, and Request for Exemption to Certain Radiological Emergency Response Plan Requirements Defined by 10 CFR 50" (ADAMS Accession No. ML13274A584), DEF provided the accident analyses associated with DBAs and beyond DBAs as a basis for justifying the request for approval of the CR-3 Permanently Defueled Emergency Plan. DEF's exemption request included radiological analyses to show that the radiological consequences of DBAs will not exceed the limits of the EPA PAGs at the exclusion area boundary. Additionally, DEF performed analyses for beyond DBA loss-of-coolant inventory events for the SFP. These analyses show that for events in which the SFP is drained, air cooling will currently prevent the fuel from reaching the lowest temperature at which incipient cladding failure may occur (565 degrees Celsius (C)).

In the unlikely event that air cooling is not possible, 19.7 hours is available, from the time the fuel is uncovered until it reaches a temperature of 900 degrees C, to initiate mitigative actions consistent with plant conditions and, if necessary, for offsite authorities to employ their CEMP to take protective actions. In addition, significant decay of short-lived radionuclides has occurred since the September 2009 shutdown. As indicated by the results of research conducted for NUREG-1738 and more recently NUREG-2161, "Consequence Study of a Beyond-Design-Basis Earthquake Affecting the Spent Fuel Pool for a U.S. Mark I Boiling Water Reactor" (ADAMS Accession No. ML14255A365), while other consequences can be extensive, accidents from SFPs with significant decay time have little potential to cause offsite early fatalities.

As noted above, DEF furnished information in its exemption request concerning its SFP inventory makeup strategies. Several sources of makeup to the pool are available, such as the fire service system, using the diesel driven fire service pump for loss of electrical power. If available fresh water sources are depleted, salt water sources with inexhaustible inventory from the CR-3 intake and discharge canal, using portable diesel powered pumps, is available. Pool inventory addition can be implemented without accessing the elevation of the pool deck. In a letter dated May 7, 2014, "Crystal River Unit 3 - Response to Requests for Additional Information and Supplement 1 to License Amendment Request #316, Revision 0" (ADAMS Accession No. ML14139A006), DEF withdrew its request to remove License Condition 2.C.(14), "Mitigation Strategy License Condition", from its Facility Operating License. This license condition requires CR-3 to maintain its SFP inventory makeup strategies as discussed above.

In the unlikely situation that a radiological release is expected, elements of the revised emergency plan would facilitate the ability of offsite authorities to take protective actions under a CEMP. The licensee must still maintain an ability to determine if a radiological release is occurring, and if a release is occurring or expected to occur, promptly communicate that information to offsite authorities. The licensee staff uses the State Hot Ring Down (SHRD) telephone system to notify the State Watch Office, who will assume the responsibility for notifying the State Emergency Management Department and County agencies of a declared emergency. The Florida Nuclear Plant Emergency Notification Form was developed to facilitate timely notifications, and contains information that identifies the station, date and time of the event, emergency classification, emergency action level, weather data, release status/significance and SFP status.

The staff reviewed DEF's exemption request against the requirements in 10 CFR 50.47, Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50, and 10 CFR 72.32, "Emergency Plan." The review considered the status of the facility, which is permanently shut down and defueled, and the low likelihood of

any credible accident resulting in radiological releases requiring offsite protective measures. The staff based its evaluation of the DEF request for exemptions from EP requirements on site-specific analyses provided. The staff verified DEF's analyses and its calculations. The analysis provides reasonable assurance that in granting the requested exemption to DEF: (1) an offsite radiological release will not exceed the EPA PAGs at the site boundary for a DBA; and (2) in the unlikely event of a beyond DBA resulting in a loss of all SFP cooling, there is sufficient time to initiate appropriate mitigating actions; and if a release is projected to occur, there is sufficient time for offsite agencies to take protective actions using a CEMP to protect the health and safety of the public.

Consistent with the June 17, 1993, memorandum of understanding between the NRC and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), contained in Appendix A to 44 CFR 353, the staff has discussed and coordinated our review of requests for exemptions to EP regulations with FEMA. As part of the staff's evaluation of the recent EP exemption request for the Kewaunee Power Station, the staff provided FEMA with a copy of SECY-14-0066 and the opportunity to ask questions, obtain clarification, and comment on the paper prior to the Commission receiving it for review. FEMA provided the following comments in response to the EP exemption proposed in SECY-14-0066:

FEMA is not taking a position on the technical arguments presented by the licensee or the NRC's assessments. FEMA recognizes the NRC's role to analyze the possibility of incidents that could result in offsite dose impacts. FEMA acknowledges that individual states and local governments have the primary authority and responsibility to protect their citizens and respond to disasters and emergencies. The exemption, if issued, could create a transitional environment for off-site emergency planners in how they consider radiological hazards. FEMA will continue to support offsite organizations as they adjust their plans, capabilities, and resources to the changing radiological threat. Among the resources available to support FEMA stakeholders during the transition process include, but are not limited to, the National Preparedness System guidance materials, the Federal Radiological Preparedness Coordinating Committee, and assistance from FEMA Headquarters and Regional Staff.

The NRC staff considered FEMA's comments as part of SECY-14-0066 and believes that the technical and safety basis for the exemption demonstrates reasonable assurance in the two areas mentioned above.

The staff offered FEMA an opportunity to review a copy of this paper prior to the Commission receiving it for review. FEMA advised the staff that their review was not necessary since the evaluation of the CR-3 EP exemption request was consistent with that used in SECY-14-0066 for Kewaunee. As such, FEMA's comments as described above for Kewaunee are applicable to CR-3.

The decommissioning facility, at the time the exemption is granted, would pose significantly less of a radiological risk to public health and safety than an operating power reactor, which should result in a straightforward transition to a more streamlined CEMP. Aspects of existing offsite radiological emergency preparedness plans may remain in place, at the State's discretion, prior to completion of any adjustments to State and local CEMPs that are appropriate for the reduced radiological risk and can be adopted to minimize burden on the State and local governments. DEF will still be required to maintain an onsite emergency plan, which would provide for the

notification of, and coordination with, offsite organizations commensurate with the approved exemptions.

The staff's exemption recommendation, if approved by the Commission, would not affect the authority that FEMA has under its regulations in 44 CFR Chapter I for overall emergency management and assistance to State and local response organizations, nor would it affect the responsibilities of State and local governments to establish and maintain CEMPs. The NRC would base its finding of reasonable assurance on its review of licensee onsite emergency preparedness and would not require a finding from FEMA on the adequacy of State and local CEMPs. Under its role as described in the National Response Framework, the NRC remains ready to support FEMA by providing it and State and local governments technical advice related to the safety and security of operations at the plant.

In the September 26, 2013, letter referenced above, DEF also requested a license amendment to approve its emergency plan implementing changes that reflect the permanently shut down and defueled status of CR-3. The revised emergency plan also includes changes consistent with the proposed exemptions discussed in this paper. The staff is awaiting a decision on this paper before issuing a decision on the amendment request.

CONCLUSION:

The NRC staff concludes that granting the exemption request, as provided in the enclosure, would provide: (1) an adequate basis for an acceptable state of emergency preparedness; and (2) in conjunction with arrangements made with offsite response agencies, reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken in the event of a radiological emergency at CR-3.

The NRC has determined that pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12,"Specific Exemptions," the exemptions described in the enclosure are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and will be consistent with the common defense and security, and special circumstances are present.

RECOMMENDATION:

The exemption request is consistent with previously granted exemptions and SECY-14-0066 for the Kewaunee Power Station, and is commensurate with the risk associated with the facility. The changes in regulatory requirements are appropriate because the traditional accident sequences that dominate operating reactor risk are no longer applicable. Continued application of the regulation to the licensee, to maintain its current level of EP, is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the regulation. Therefore, the staff recommends that the Commission:

<u>Approve</u>: The staff's proposal to grant DEF's requested EP exemptions from certain requirements of 10 CFR 50.47(b) and Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50 consistent with the discussion above.

COORDINATION:

The Office of the General Counsel reviewed this paper and has no legal objection. The Office of the Chief Financial Officer reviewed this paper for resource implications and has no objection.

/RA/

Mark A. Satorius Executive Director for Operations

Enclosure:

Exemptions to Rule Language

Exemptions to Rule Language Strikethrough text indicates requested exemptions to rule language.

10 CFR 50.47

(b) The onsite and, except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, offsite emergency response plans for nuclear power reactors must meet the following standards:

Staff Review of Licensee Justification

In the Statement of Considerations (SOC) for the final rule for emergency planning (EP) requirements for independent spent fuel storage installations (ISFSIs) and for monitor retrievable storage (MRS) facilities (60 FR [Federal Register] 32430; June 22, 1995), the Commission responded to comments concerning offsite EP for ISFSIs or an MRS and concluded that, "the offsite consequences of potential accidents at an ISFSI or an MRS would not warrant establishing Emergency Planning Zones [EPZs]." In a nuclear power reactor's permanently defueled state, the accident risks are more similar to an ISFSI than an operating nuclear power plant. The EP program would be similar to that required for an ISFSI under Section 72.32(a) of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) when fuel stored in the spent fuel pool (SFP) and would not change substantially when all the fuel is transferred from the SFP to an onsite ISFSI. Exemptions from offsite EP requirements have previously been approved when the site-specific analyses show that at least 10 hours is available from a partial drain-down event where cooling of the spent fuel is not effective until the hottest fuel assembly reaches 900°C. The technical basis that underlied the approval of the exemption request is based partly on the analysis of a time period that spent fuel stored in the SFP is unlikely to reach the zirconium ignition temperature in less than 10 hours. This time period is based on a heat-up calculation which uses several simplifying assumptions. Some of these assumptions are conservative (adiabatic conditions), while others are non-conservative (no oxidation below 900°C). Weighing the conservatisms and nonconservatisms, the staff judges that this calculation reasonably represents conditions which may occur in the event of an SFP accident.

Staff Review of Licensee Justification 10 CFR 50.47 The staff concluded that if 10 hours is available to initiate mitigative actions or, if needed, offsite protective actions using a comprehensive emergency management plan (CEMP), formal offsite radiological emergency plans are not necessary for these permanently defueled nuclear power reactor licensees. As supported by the licensee's SFP analysis, the staff believes an exemption to the requirements for formal offsite radiological emergency plans is justified for a zirconium fire scenario considering the low likelihood of this event together with time available to take mitigative or protective actions between the initiating event and before the onset of a postulated fire. The Duke Energy Florida (DEF) analysis has demonstrated that due to the considerable time since shutdown, approximately 4 years, the radiological consequences of design-basis accidents will not exceed the limits of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Protective Action Guides (PAGs) at the exclusion area boundary. These analyses also show that, for beyond design basis events where the SFP is drained, air cooling will prevent the fuel from reaching the lowest temperature where incipient cladding failure may occur (565°C). In the event that air cooling is not possible, 19.7 hours is available to take mitigative or, if needed, offsite protective actions using a CEMP from the time the fuel is uncovered until it reaches the autoignition temperature of 900°C. DEF has also furnished information on its SFP inventory makeup strategies for mitigating the loss of water inventory. Several sources of makeup to the pools are available, such as the fire service system, using the diesel driven fire service pump for loss of electrical power. If available fresh water sources are depleted, salt

pumps are available.

water sources with inexhaustible inventory from the Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) intake and discharge canal, using portable diesel powered

10 CFR 50.47	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
(1) Primary responsibilities for emergency response by the nuclear facility licensee and by State and local organizations within the Emergency Planning Zones have been assigned, the emergency responsibilities of the various supporting organizations have been specifically established, and each principal response organization has staff to respond and to augment its initial response on a	Pool inventory addition can be implemented without accessing the elevation of the pool deck. In a letter dated May 7, 2014, "Crystal River Unit 3 - Response to Requests for Additional Information and Supplement 1 to License Amendment Request #316, Revision 0" (ADAMS Accession No. ML14139A006), DEF withdrew its request to remove License Condition 2.C.(14), "Mitigation Strategy License Condition," from its Facility Operating License. This license condition requires CR-3 to maintain its SFP inventory makeup strategies as discussed above Refer to basis for 50.47(b).
continuous basis. (3) Arrangements for requesting and effectively using assistance resources have been made, arrangements to accommodate State and local staff at the licensee's Emergency Operations Facility-have been made, and other organizations capable of augmenting the planned response have been identified.	Decommissioning power reactors present a low likelihood of any credible accident resulting in a radiological release together with the time available to take mitigative or, if needed, offsite protective actions using a CEMP between the initiating event and before the onset of a postulated fire. As such, an emergency operations facility would not be required. The "nuclear island," control room, or other onsite location can provide for the communication and coordination with offsite organizations for the level of support required. Also refer to basis for 50.47(b).

10 CFR 50.47	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
(4) A standard emergency classification and action level scheme, the basis of which include facility system and effluent parameters, is in use by the nuclear facility licensee, and State and local response plans call for reliance on information provided by facility licensees for determinations of minimum initial offsite response measures.	Decommissioning power reactors present a low likelihood of any credible accident resulting in a radiological release together with the time available to take mitigative or, if needed, offsite protective actions using a CEMP between the initiating event and before the onset of a postulated fire. As such, formal offsite radiological emergency response plans are not required.
	The Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) document NEI 99-01, "Development of Emergency Action Levels for Non-Passive Reactors" (Revision 6), was found to be an acceptable method for development of emergency action levels (EALs) and was endorsed by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in a letter dated March 28, 2013 (ADAMS Accession No. ML12346A463). NEI 99-01 provides EALs for non-passive operating nuclear power reactors, permanently defueled reactors and ISFSIs.
(5) Procedures have been established for notification, by the licensee, of State and local response organizations and for notification of emergency personnel by all organizations; the content of initial and follow up messages to response organizations and the public has been established; and means to provide early notification and clear instruction to the populace within the plume exposure pathway Emergency Planning Zone have been established.	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b).
(6) Provisions exist for prompt communications among principal response organizations to emergency personnel and to the public.	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b).

10 CFR 50.47	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
(7) Information is made available to the public on a periodic basis on how they will be notified and what their initial actions should be in an emergency (e.g., listening to a local broadcast station and remaining indoors), [T]he principal points of contact with the news media for dissemination of information during an emergency (including the physical location or locations) are established in advance, and procedures for coordinated dissemination of information to the public are established.	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b).
(9) Adequate methods, systems, and equipment for assessing and monitoring actual or potential offsite consequences of a radiological emergency condition are in use.	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b).
(10) A range of protective actions has been developed for the plume exposure pathway EPZ for emergency workers and the public. In developing this range of actions, consideration has been given to evacuation, sheltering, and, as a supplement to these, the prophylactic use of potassium iodide (KI), as appropriate. Evacuation time estimates have been developed by applicants and licensees. Licensees shall update the evacuation time estimates on a periodic basis. Guidelines for the choice of protective actions during an emergency, consistent with Federal guidance, are developed and in place, and protective actions for the ingestion exposure pathway EPZ appropriate to the locale have been developed.	In the unlikely event of an SFP accident, the iodine isotopes, which contribute to an off-site dose from an operating reactor accident, are not present, so potassium iodide distribution would no longer serve as an effective or necessary supplemental protective action. The Commission responded to comments in its SOC for the final rule for EP requirements for ISFSIs and MRS facilities (60 FR 32435), and concluded that, "the offsite consequences of potential accidents at an ISFSI or an MRS would not warrant establishing emergency planning zones." Additionally, in the SOC for the final rule for EP requirements for ISFSIs and for MRS facilities (60 FR 32430), the Commission responded to comments concerning site-specific EP that includes evacuation of surrounding population for an ISFSI not at a reactor site, and concluded that, "The Commission does not agree that as a general matter emergency plans for an ISFSI must include evacuation planning." Also refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b).

10 CFR 50.47	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
(c)(2) Generally, the plume exposure	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b)(10).
pathway EPZ for nuclear power plants	
shall consist of an area about 10 miles	
(16 km) in radius and the ingestion-	
pathway EPZ shall consist of an area	
about 50 miles (80 km) in radius. The	
exact size and configuration of the EPZs	
surrounding a particular nuclear power-	
reactor shall be determined in relation to-	
local emergency response needs and	
capabilities as they are affected by such-	
conditions as demography, topography,	
land characteristics, access routes, and	
jurisdictional boundaries. The size of the	
EPZs also may be determined on a case-	
by-case basis for gas-cooled nuclear	
reactors and for reactors with an	
authorized power level less than 250 MW	
thermal. The plans for the ingestion	
pathway shall focus on such actions as	
are appropriate to protect the food	
ingestion pathway.	

1. The applicant's emergency plans shall contain, but not necessarily be limited to, information needed to demonstrate compliance with the elements set forth below, i.e., organization for coping with radiological emergencies, assessment actions, activation of emergency organization, notification procedures, emergency facilities and equipment, training, maintaining emergency preparedness, and recovery, and onsiteprotective actions during hostile action. In addition, the emergency response plans submitted by an applicant for a nuclear power reactor operating license under this Part, or for an early site permit (as applicable) or combined license under 10 CFR Part 52, shall contain information needed to demonstrate compliance with the standards described in § 50.47(b), and they will be evaluated against those standards.

Staff Review of Licensee Justification

The EP rule published in the *Federal Register* (76 FR 72560; November 23, 2011) amended certain requirements in 10 CFR Part 50. Among the changes, the definition of "hostile action" was added as an act directed toward a nuclear power plant or its personnel. This definition is based on the definition of "hostile action" provided in NRC Bulletin 2005-02, "Emergency Preparedness and Response Actions for Security-Based Events." NRC Bulletin 2005-02 was not applicable to nuclear power reactors that had permanently ceased operations and had certified that fuel has been removed from the reactor vessel.

The NRC excluded non-power reactors from the definition of "hostile action" at the time of the rulemaking because, as defined in 10 CFR 50.2, a non-power reactor is not considered a nuclear power reactor and a regulatory basis had not been developed to support the inclusion of non-power reactors in the definition of "hostile action." Similarly, a decommissioning power reactor or ISFSI is not a "nuclear reactor" as defined in the NRC's regulations. A decommissioning power reactor also has a low likelihood of a credible accident resulting in radiological releases requiring offsite protective measures. For all of these reasons, the staff concludes that a decommissioning power reactor is not a facility that falls within the definition of "hostile action."

Similarly, for security, risk insights can be used to determine which targets are important to protect against sabotage. A level of security commensurate with the consequences of a sabotage event is required and is evaluated on a site-specific basis. The severity of the consequences declines as fuel ages and, thereby, removes over time the underlying concern that a sabotage attack could cause offsite radiological consequences.

Although this analysis provides a justification for exempting CR-3 from "hostile action" related requirements, some EP requirements for security-based events are maintained. The classification of

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
	security-based events, notification of offsite
	authorities and coordination with offsite agencies
	under a CEMP concept are still required.
2. This nuclear power reactor license	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b)(10).
applicant shall also provide an analysis of	
the time required to evacuate various	
sectors and distances within the plume	
exposure pathway EPZ for transient and	
permanent populations, using the most	
recent U.S. Census Bureau data as of the	
date the applicant submits its application	
to the NRC.	
3. Nuclear power reactor licensees shall	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,
use NRC approved evacuation time	Section IV.2.
estimates (ETEs) and updates to the	
ETEs in the formulation of protective	
action recommendations and shall provide	
the ETEs and ETE updates to State and	
local governmental authorities for use in	
developing offsite protective action-	
strategies.	
4. Within 365 days of the later of the date	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,
of the availability of the most recent	Section IV.2.
decennial census data from the U.S.	
Census Bureau or December 23, 2011,	
nuclear power reactor licensees shall	
develop an ETE analysis using this	
decennial data and submit it under § 50.4	
to the NRC. These licensees shall submit	
this ETE analysis to the NRC at least	
180 days before using it to form protective	
action recommendations and providing it	
to State and local governmental authorities for use in developing offsite	
protective action strategies	
<u> </u>	Pofor to basis for 10 CEP Part 50 Appardix 5
5. During the years between decennial censuses, nuclear power reactor	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.2.
licensees shall estimate EPZ permanent	OGUIUII IV.Z.
resident population changes once a year,	
but no later than 365 days from the date	
of the previous estimate, using the most	
recent U.S. Census Bureau annual	
resident population estimate and	
State/local government population data, if	
available. These licensees shall maintain	
these estimates so that they are available	
these estimates so that they are available	

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
for NRC inspection during the period	
between decennial censuses and shall-	
submit these estimates to the NRC with-	
any updated ETE analysis.	
6. If at any time during the decennial	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,
period, the EPZ permanent resident	Section IV.2.
population increases such that it causes	
the longest ETE value for the 2-mile zone	
or 5-mile zone, including all affected	
Emergency Response Planning Areas, or	
for the entire 10-mile EPZ to increase by	
25 percent or 30 minutes, whichever is	
less, from the nuclear power reactor	
licensee's currently NRC approved or	
updated ETE, the licensee shall update	
the ETE analysis to reflect the impact of	
that population increase. The licensee	
shall submit the updated ETE analysis to	
the NRC under § 50.4 no later than 365	
days after the licensee's determination	
that the criteria for updating the ETE have	
been met and at least 180 days before	
using it to form protective action	
recommendations and providing it to State	
and local governmental authorities for use	
in developing offsite protective action	
strategies.	
A.1. A description of the normal plant	Based on the permanently shut down and defueled
operating organization.	status of the reactor, a decommissioning reactor is
	not authorized to operate under 10 CFR 50.82(a).
	Because the licensee cannot operate the reactor,
	the licensee does not have a "plant operating
	organization."
A.3. A description, by position and	The number of staff at decommissioning sites is
function to be performed, of the licensee's	generally small but is commensurate with the need
headquarters personnel who will be sent	to safely store spent fuel at the facility in a manner
to the plant site to augment the onsite	that is protective of public health and safety.
emergency organization.	Decommissioning sites typically have a level of
	emergency response that does not require
	response by the licensee's headquarters
	personnel.
A. 4. Identification, by position and	Although the likelihood of events that would result
function to be performed, of persons	in doses in excess of the EPA PAGs to the public
within the licensee organization who will	beyond the owner controlled area boundary based
be responsible for making offsite dose	on the permanently shut down and defueled status
projections, and a description of how	of the reactor is extremely low, the licensee still

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
these projections will be made and the results transmitted to State and local authorities, NRC, and other appropriate governmental entities.	must be able to determine if a radiological release is occurring. If a release is occurring, then the licensee staff should promptly communicate that information to offsite authorities for their consideration. The offsite organizations are responsible for deciding what, if any, protective actions should be taken based on comprehensive EP.
A. 5. Identification, by position and function to be performed, of other-employees of the licensee with special qualifications for coping with emergency-conditions that may arise. Other persons with special qualifications, such asconsultants, who are not employees of the licensee and who may be called upon for assistance for emergencies shall also be identified. The special qualifications of these persons shall be described.	The number of staff at decommissioning sites is generally small but should be commensurate with the need to operate the facility in a manner that is protective of public health and safety.
A.7. By June 23, 2014, identification of, and a description of the assistance expected from, appropriate State, local, and Federal agencies with responsibilities for coping with emergencies, including hostile action at the site. For purposes of this appendix, "hostile action" is defined as an act directed toward a nuclear power plant or its personnel that include the use of violent force to destroy equipment, take hostages, and/or intimidate the licensee to achieve an end. This includes attack by air, land, or water using guns, explosives, projectiles, vehicles, or other devices used to deliver destructive force.	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.1.
A.8. Identification of the State and/or local officials responsible for planning for, ordering and controlling appropriate protective actions, including evacuations when necessary.	Offsite emergency measures are limited to support provided by local police, fire departments, and ambulance and hospital services, as appropriate. Due to the low probability of design-basis accidents or other credible events to exceed the EPA PAGs, protective actions such as evacuation should not be required, but could be implemented at the discretion of offsite authorities using a CEMP. Also refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b)(10).

A.9. By December 24, 2012, for nuclear power reactor licensees, a detailed analysis demonstrating that on-shift personnel assigned emergency planimplementation functions are not assigned responsibilities that would prevent the timely performance of their assigned functions as specified in the emergency plan.

Staff Review of Licensee Justification

Responsibilities should be well defined in the emergency plan and procedures, regularly tested through drills and exercises audited and inspected by the licensee and the NRC. The duties of the onshift personnel at a decommissioning reactor facility are not as complicated and diverse as those for an operating power reactor.

The staff considered the similarity between the staffing levels at a permanently shut down and defueled reactor and staffing levels at an operating power reactor site. The minimal systems and equipment needed to maintain the spent nuclear fuel in the SFP or in a dry cask storage system in a safe condition requires minimal personnel and is governed by Technical Specifications. In the EP final rule published in the *Federal Register* (76 FR 72560; November 23, 2011), the NRC concluded that the staffing analysis requirement was not necessary for non-power reactor licensees due to the small staffing levels required to operate the facility.

The staff also examined the actions required to mitigate the very low probability design-basis events for the SFP. Several sources of makeup to the pools are available, such as the fire service system, using the diesel driven fire service pump for loss of electrical power. If available fresh water sources are depleted, salt water sources with inexhaustible inventory from the CR-3 intake and discharge canal, using portable diesel powered pumps are available. Pool inventory addition can be implemented without accessing the elevation of the pool deck. DEF believes these diverse strategies provide defense-in-depth and ample time to provide makeup or spray to the SFP prior to the onset of zirconium cladding ignition when considering very low probability beyond designbasis events affecting the SFP. In a letter dated May 7, 2014, "Crystal River Unit 3 - Response to Requests for Additional Information and Supplement 1 to License Amendment Request #316, Revision 0" (ADAMS Accession No. ML14139A006), DEF withdrew its request to remove License Condition 2.C.(14), "Mitigation

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
B.1. The means to be used for determining the magnitude of, and for continually assessing the impact of, the release of radioactive materials shall be described, including emergency action levels that are to be used as criteria for determining the need for notification and participation of local and State agencies, the Commission, and other Federal agencies, and the emergency action levels that are to be used for determining when and what type of protective measures should be considered within and outside the site boundary to protect health and safety. The emergency action levels shall be based on in-plant conditions and instrumentation in addition to onsite and offsite-monitoring. By June 20, 2012, for nuclear power reactor licensees, these action levels must	Staff Review of Licensee Justification Strategy License Condition," from its Facility Operating License. This license condition requires CR-3 to maintain its SFP inventory makeup strategies as discussed above. NEI 99-01 was found to be an acceptable method for development of EALs. No offsite protective actions are anticipated to be necessary, so classification above the alert level is no longer required, which is consistent with ISFSI facilities. Also refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.1.
•	
State and local governmental authorities on an annual basis.	
C.1. The entire spectrum of emergency conditions that involve the alerting or activating of progressively larger segments of the total emergency organization shall be described. The communication steps to be taken to alert or activate emergency personnel under	Containment parameters do not provide an indication of the conditions at a defueled facility and emergency core cooling systems are no longer required. Other indications, such as SFP level or temperature, can be used at sites where there is spent fuel in the SFPs.
each class of emergency shall be described. Emergency action levels (based not only on onsite and offsite radiation monitoring information but also on readings from a number of sensors	In the SOC for the final rule for EP requirements for ISFSIs and for MRS facilities (60 FR 32430), the Commission responded to comments concerning a general emergency at an ISFSI and MRS, and concluded that, "an essential element of a

that indicate a potential emergency, suchas the pressure in containment and the
response of the Emergency Core CoolingSystem) for notification of offsite agencies
shall be described. The existence, but not
the details, of a message authentication
scheme shall be noted for such agencies.
The emergency classes defined shall
include: (1) notification of unusual events,
(2) alert, (3) site area emergency, and
(4) general emergency. These classes
are further discussed in NUREG0654/FEMA-REP-1.

Staff Review of Licensee Justification

General Emergency is that a release can be reasonably expected to exceed EPA Protective Action Guidelines exposure levels off site for more than the immediate site area."

The probability of a condition reaching the level above an emergency classification of alert is very low. In the event of an accident at a defueled facility that meets the conditions for exemption from formal offsite EP requirements, there will be available time for event mitigation and, if necessary, implementation of offsite protective actions using a CEMP.

NEI 99-01 was found to be an acceptable method for development of EALs. No offsite protective actions are anticipated to be necessary, so classification above the alert level is no longer required.

C.2. By June 20, 2012, nuclear power reactor-licensees shall establish and maintain the capability to assess, classify, and declare an emergency conditionwithin 15 minutes after the availability of indications to plant operators that an emergency action level has been exceeded and shall promptly declare the emergency condition as soon as possible following identification of the appropriate emergency classification level. Licensees shall not construe these criteria as a grace period to attempt to restore plant conditions to avoid declaring an emergency action due to an emergency action level that has been exceeded. Licensees shall not construe these criteria as preventing implementation of response actions deemed by the licensee to be necessary to protect public health and safety provided that any delay in declaration does not deny the State and local authorities the opportunity to implement measures necessary to protect the public health and safety.

In the EP rule published in the Federal Register (76 FR 72560), non-power reactor licensees were not required to assess, classify and declare an emergency condition within 15 minutes. An SFP and an ISFSI are also not nuclear power reactors as defined in the NRC's regulations. A decommissioning power reactor has a low likelihood of a credible accident resulting in radiological releases requiring offsite protective measures. For these reasons, the staff concludes that a decommissioning power reactor should not be required to assess, classify and declare an emergency condition within 15 minutes.

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
D.1. Administrative and physical means	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b) and
for notifying local, State, and Federal	10 CFR 50.47(b)(10).
officials and agencies and agreements	10 01 11 00. 17 (5)(10).
reached with these officials and agencies	
for the prompt notification of the public	
and for public evacuation or other	
protective measures, should they become	
necessary, shall be described. This	
description shall include identification of	
the appropriate officials, by title and	
agency, of the State and local government	
agencies within the EPZs.	
D.2. Provisions shall be described for	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,
yearly dissemination to the public within	Section IV.D.1.
the plume exposure pathway EPZ of basic	
emergency planning information, such as	
the methods and times required for public	
notification and the protective actions	
planned if an accident occurs, general	
information as to the nature and effects of	
radiation, and a listing of local broadcast	
stations that will be used for dissemination	
of information during an emergency.	
Signs or other measures shall also be	
used to disseminate to any transient	
population within the plume exposure	
pathway EPZ appropriate information that	
would be helpful if an accident occurs.	
D.3. A licensee shall have the capability to	While the capability needs to exist for the
notify responsible State and local	notification of offsite government agencies within a
governmental agencies within 45-minutes	specified time period, previous exemptions have
after declaring an emergency. The	allowed for extending the State and local
licensee shall demonstrate that the	government agencies' notification time up to
appropriate governmental authorities have	60 minutes based on the site-specific justification
the capability to make a public alerting	provided.
and notification decision promptly on	·
being informed by the licensee of an	DEF's exemption request provides that CR-3 will
emergency condition. Prior to initial	make notifications to the State of Florida and the
operation greater than 5 percent of rated	NRC within 60 minutes of declaration of an event.
thermal power of the first reactor at the	The State Watch Office will perform the notification
site, each nuclear power reactor licensee	to the County (Citrus), as well as the Florida
shall demonstrate that administrative and	Department of Emergency Management. In the
physical means have been established for	permanently defueled condition of the reactor, the
alerting and providing prompt instructions	rapidly developing scenarios associated with
to the public with the plume exposure	events initiated during reactor power operation are
pathway EPZ. The design objective of the	no longer credible.

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
prompt public alert and notification system	
shall be to have the capability to	Also refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b) and
essentially complete the initial alerting and	10 CFR 50.47(b)(10).
notification of the public within the plume	, , ,
exposure pathway EPZ within about	
15 minutes. The use of this alerting and	
notification capability will range from	
immediate alerting and notification of the	
public (within 15 minutes of the time that	
State and local officials are notified that a	
situation exists requiring urgent action) to	
the more likely events where there is	
substantial time available for the	
appropriate governmental authorities to	
make a judgment whether or not to	
activate the public alert and notification	
system. The alerting and notification	
capability shall additionally include	
administrative and physical means for a	
backup method of public alerting and	
notification capable of being used in the	
event the primary method of alerting and	
notification is unavailable during an	
emergency to alert or notify all or portions	
of the plume exposure pathway EPZ	
population. The backup method shall	
have the capability to alert and notify the	
public within the plume exposure pathway	
EPZ, but does not need to meet the	
15 minute design objective for the primary	
prompt public alert and notification	
system. When there is a decision to	
activate the alert and notification system,	
the appropriate governmental authorities	
will determine whether to activate the	
entire alert and notification system	
simultaneously or in a graduated or	
staged manner. The responsibility for	
activating such a public alert and	
notification system shall remain with the	
1	
appropriate governmental authorities.	Pofor to basis for 10 CEP Port 50 Appondix 5
D.4. If FEMA has approved a nuclear	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,
power reactor site's alert and notification	Section IV.D.3 regarding the alert and notification
design report, including the backup alert	system requirements.
and notification capability, as of	
December 23, 2011, then the backup alert	

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
and notification capability requirements in	Otan Neview of Licensee Justinication
Section IV.D.3 must be implemented by	
December 24, 2012. If the alert and	
notification design report does not include	
a backup alert and notification capability	
or needs revision to ensure adequate	
backup alert and notification capability,	
then a revision of the alert and notification	
design report must be submitted to FEMA	
for review by June 24, 2013, and the	
FEMA-approved backup alert and	
notification means must be implemented	
within 365 days after FEMA approval.	
However, the total time period to	
implement a FEMA-approved backup alert	
and notification means must not exceed	
June 22, 2015.	
E.8.a.(i) A licensee onsite technical	Due to the low probability of design-basis accidents
support center and an emergency	or other credible events to exceed the EPA PAGs
operations facility from which effective	at the site boundary, the available time for event
direction can be given and effective	mitigation at a decommissioning reactor and, if
control can be exercised during an	needed, to implement offsite protective actions
emergency;	using a CEMP, an emergency operations facility
	(EOF) would not be required to support offsite
	agency response. Onsite actions may be directed
	from the control room or other location, without the
	requirements imposed on a technical support
	center (TSC).
E.8.a. (ii) For nuclear power reactor	NUREG-0696, "Functional Criteria for Emergency
licensees, a licensee onsite operational	Response Facilities," provides that the operational
support center;	support center (OSC) is an onsite area separate
	from the control room and the TSC where licensee
	operations support personnel will assemble in an
	emergency. For a decommissioning power
	reactor, an OSC is no longer required to meet its
	original purpose of an assembly area for plant
	logistical support during an emergency. The OSC
FOL F	function can be incorporated into another facility.
E.8.b. For a nuclear power reactor	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b)(3).
licensee's emergency operations facility	
required by paragraph 8.a of this section,	
either a facility located between 10 miles	
and 25 miles of the nuclear power reactor	
site(s), or a primary facility located less	
than 10 miles from the nuclear power	
reactor site(s) and a backup facility	

40 CER Rout 50 Annuardix E Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Stan Review of Licensee Justification
the nuclear power reactor site(s). An	
emergency operations facility may serve	
more than one nuclear power reactor site.	
A licensee desiring to locate an	
emergency operations facility more than	
25 miles from a nuclear power reactor site	
shall request prior Commission approval	
by submitting an application for an	
amendment to its license. For an	
emergency operations facility located	
more than 25 miles from a nuclear power-	
reactor site, provisions must be made for	
locating NRC and offsite responders	
closer to the nuclear power reactor site so	
that NRC and offsite responders can-	
interact face-to-face with emergency	
response personnel entering and leaving	
the nuclear power reactor site. Provisions	
for locating NRC and offsite responders	
closer to a nuclear power reactor site that	
is more than 25 miles from the emergency	
operations facility must include the	
following:	
(1) Space for members of an NRC site	
team and Federal, State, and local	
responders;	
(2)-Additional space for conducting	
briefings with emergency response	
personnel;	
(3) Communication with other licensee	
and offsite emergency response facilities;	
(4) Access to plant data and radiological	
information; and	
(5) Access to copying equipment and	
office supplies;	
E.8.c. By June 20, 2012, for a nuclear	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b)(3).
power reactor licensee's emergency	(-/(-/-
operations facility required by paragraph	
8.a of this section, a facility having the	
following capabilities:	
(1) The capability for obtaining and	
displaying plant data and radiological	
information for each reactor at a nuclear	
power reactor site and for each nuclear	
power reactor site and for each mucical	

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
power reactor site that the facility serves;	
(2) The capability to analyze plant	
technical information and provide	
technical briefings on event conditions	
and prognosis to licensee and offsite	
response organizations for each reactor at	
a nuclear power reactor site and for each	
nuclear power reactor site that the facility	
serves; and	
(3) The capability to support response to	
events occurring simultaneously at more	
than one nuclear power reactor site if the	
emergency operations facility serves more	
than one site; and	
E.8.d. For nuclear power reactor	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,
licensees, an alternative facility (or	Section IV.1 regarding hostile action.
facilities) that would be accessible even if	
the site is under threat of or experiencing	
hostile action, to function as a staging	
area for augmentation of emergency	
response staff and collectively having the	
following characteristics: the capability for	
communication with the emergency	
operations facility, control room, and plant	
security; the capability to perform offsite	
notifications; and the capability for	
engineering assessment activities,	
including damage control team planning	
and preparation, for use when onsite	
emergency facilities cannot be safely	
accessed during hostile action. The	
requirements in this paragraph 8.d must	
be implemented no later than December	
23, 2014, with the exception of the	
capability for staging emergency response	
organization personnel at the alternative	
facility (or facilities) and the capability for	
communications with the emergency	
operations facility, control room, and plant	
security, which must be implemented no	
later than June 20, 2012.	
E.8.e. A licensee shall not be subject to	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b)(3).
the requirements of paragraph 8.b of this	, , , ,
section for an existing emergency	
operations facility approved as of	
December 23, 2011;	

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
E.9.a. Provisions for communications with	Refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b) and
contiguous State/local governments within	10 CFR 50.47(b)(10).
the plume exposure pathway EPZ. Such	10 01 10 30.47 (b)(10).
communication shall be tested monthly.	The State and the local governments in which the
communication shall be tested monthly.	nuclear facility is located need to be informed of
	events and emergencies, so lines of
	communication must be maintained.
E.9.c. Provision for communications	
	Because of the low probability of design-basis accidents or other credible events that would be
among the nuclear power reactor control	
room, the onsite technical support center,	expected to exceed the EPA PAGs and the
and the emergency operations facility;	available time for event mitigation and, if needed,
and among the nuclear facility, the	implementation of offsite protective actions using a
principal State and local emergency	CEMP, there is no need for the TSC, EOF, or
operations centers, and the field	offsite field assessment teams.
assessment teams. Such	AL () ((f) () () (0.050.50.47(1)(0)
communications systems shall be tested	Also refer to justification for 10 CFR 50.47(b)(3).
annually.	Communication with State and local emergency
	operations centers is maintained to coordinate
	assistance on site if required.
E.9.d. Provisions for communications by	The functions of the control room, EOF, TSC, and
the licensee with NRC Headquarters and	OSC may be combined into one or more locations
the appropriate NRC Regional Office	due to the smaller facility staff and the greatly
Operations Center from the nuclear power	reduced required interaction with State and local
reactor control room, the onsite technical	emergency response facilities.
support center, and the emergency	
operations facility. Such communications	Also refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b).
shall be tested monthly.	

- F.1. The program to provide for: (a) The training of employees and exercising, by periodic drills, of radiation emergency plans to ensure that employees of the licensee are familiar with their specific emergency response duties, and (b) The participation in the training and drills by other persons whose assistance may be needed in the event of a radiation emergency shall be described. This shall include a description of specialized initial training and periodic retraining programs to be provided to each of the following categories of emergency personnel:
- i. Directors and/or coordinators of the plant emergency organization;
- ii. Personnel responsible for accident assessment, including control room shift personnel;
- iii. Radiological monitoring teams;
- iv. Fire control teams (fire brigades);
- v. Repair and damage control teams;
- vi. First aid and rescue teams;
- vii. Medical support personnel:
- viii. Licensee's headquarters supportpersonnel;
- ix. Security personnel.

In addition, a radiological orientation training program shall be made available to local services personnel; e.g., local emergency services/Civil Defense, local law enforcement personnel, local newsmedia persons.

Staff Review of Licensee Justification

Decommissioning power reactor sites typically have a level of emergency response that does not require additional response by the licensee's headquarters personnel. Therefore, the staff considers exempting licensee's headquarters personnel from training requirements to be reasonable.

Due to the low probability of design-basis accidents or other credible events to exceed the EPA PAGs, offsite emergency measures are limited to support provided by local police, fire departments, and ambulance and hospital services, as appropriate. Local news media personnel no longer need radiological orientation training since they will not be called upon to support the formal Joint Information Center. The term "Civil Defense" is no longer commonly used; references to this term in the examples provided in the regulation are, therefore, not needed.

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
F.2. The plan shall describe provisions for the conduct of emergency preparedness exercises as follows: Exercises shall test the adequacy of timing and content of implementing procedures and methods, test emergency equipment and communications networks, test the public alert and notification system, and ensure that emergency organization personnel are familiar with their duties.	Because of the low probability of design-basis accidents or other credible events that would be expected to exceed the limits of EPA PAGs and the available time for event mitigation and offsite protective actions from a CEMP, the public alert and notification system will not be used and, therefore, requires no testing. Also refer to basis for 10 CFR 50.47(b).
F.2.a. A full participation exercise which tests as much of the licensee, State, and local emergency plans as is reasonably achievable without mandatory public participation shall be conducted for each site at which a power reactor is located. Nuclear power reactor licensees shall submit exercise scenarios under § 50.4 at	Due to the low probability of design-basis accidents or other credible events that would be expected to exceed the limits of EPA PAGs, the available time for event mitigation and, if necessary, implementation of offsite protective actions using a CEMP, no formal offsite radiological emergency plans are required.
least 60 days before use in a full participation exercise required by this paragraph 2.a. F.2.a.(i), (ii), and (iii) are not applicable.	The intent of submitting exercise scenarios at an operating power reactor site is to check that licensees utilize different scenarios in order to prevent the preconditioning of responders at power reactors. For decommissioning power reactor sites, there are limited events that could occur and, as such, the previously routine progression to general emergency in an operating power reactor site scenario is not applicable.
	The licensee would be exempt from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.2.a.(i)-(iii) because the licensee would be exempt from the umbrella provision of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.2.a.
F.2.b. Each licensee at each site shall conduct a subsequent exercise of its onsite emergency plan every 2 years. Nuclear power reactor licensees shall submit exercise scenarios under § 50.4 at	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.2.a. The low probability of design-basis accidents or other credible events that would exceed the EPA
least 60 days before use in an exercise	PAGs, the available time for event mitigation and, if

necessary, implementation of offsite protective actions using a CEMP, render a TSC, OSC and

required by regulation can be performed at an

onsite location that does not meet the requirements

EOF unnecessary. The principal functions

of the TSC, OSC or EOF.

required by this paragraph 2.b. The

exercise may be included in the fullparticipation biennial exercise required by

to ensure that adequate emergency

response capabilities are maintained

paragraph 2.c. of this section. In addition,

the licensee shall take actions necessary

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
during the interval between biennial	
exercises by conducting drills, including at	
least one drill involving a combination of	
some of the principal functional areas of	
the licensee's onsite emergency response	
capabilities. The principal functional	
areas of emergency response include	
activities such as management and	
coordination of emergency response,	
accident assessment, event classification,	
notification of offsite authorities, and	
assessment of the onsite and offsite	
impact of radiological releases, protective	
action recommendation development,	
protective action decision making, plant	
system repair and mitigative action	
implementation. During these drills,	
activation of all of the licensee's	
emergency response facilities (Technical	
Support Center (TSC), Operations	
Support Center (OSC), and the	
Emergency Operations Facility (EOF))	
would not be necessary, licensees would	
have the opportunity to consider accident	
management strategies, supervised	
instruction would be permitted, operating	
staff in all participating facilities would	
have the opportunity to resolve problems	
(success paths) rather than have	
controllers intervene, and the drills may	
focus on the onsite exercise training	
objectives.	
F.2.c. Offsite plans for each site shall be	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,
exercised biennially with full participation	Section IV.F.2.a.
by each offsite authority having a role-	
under the radiological response plan.	
Where the offsite authority has a role	
under a radiological response plan for	
more than one site, it shall fully participate	
in one exercise every two years and shall,	
at least, partially participate in other offsite	
plan exercises in this period. If two	
different licensees each have licensed-	
facilities located either on the same site or	
on adjacent, contiguous sites, and share	
most of the elements defining co-located	

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
licensees, then each licensee shall:	
(1)-Conduct an exercise biennially of its-	
onsite emergency plan;	
cherte emergency plant,	
(2) Participate quadrennially in an offsite	
biennial full or partial participation	
exercise;	
(3) Conduct emergency preparedness	
activities and interactions in the years	
between its participation in the offsite full	
or partial participation exercise with offsite	
authorities, to test and maintain interface	
among the affected State and local	
authorities and the licensee. Co-located	
licensees shall also participate in	
emergency preparedness activities and	
interaction with offsite authorities for the	
period between exercises;	
(4)-Conduct a hostile action exercise of its	
onsite emergency plan in each exercise	
cycle; and	
(5) Participate in an offsite biennial full or	
partial participation hostile action exercise	
in alternating exercise cycles.	
F.2.d. Each State with responsibility for	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,
nuclear power reactor emergency	Section IV.2.
preparedness should fully participate in	
the ingestion pathway portion of exercises	
at least once every exercise cycle. In-	
States with more than one nuclear power	
reactor plume exposure pathway EPZ, the	
State should rotate this participation from	
site to site. Each State with responsibility	
for nuclear power reactor emergency	
preparedness should fully participate in a	
hostile action exercise at least once every	
cycle and should fully participate in one	
hostile action exercise by	
December 31, 2015. States with more	
than one nuclear power reactor plume	
exposure pathway EPZ should rotate this	
participation from site to site.	

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
F.2.e. Licensees shall enable any State or local Government located within the plume exposure pathway EPZ to participate in the licensee's drills when requested by such State or local Government.	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.2.
F.2.f. Remedial exercises will be required if the emergency plan is not satisfactorily tested during the biennial exercise, such that NRC, in consultation with FEMA, cannot (1) find reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken in the event of a radiological emergency or (2) determine that the Emergency Response Organization (ERO) has maintained key skills specific to emergency response. The extent of State and local participation in remedial exercises must be sufficient to show that appropriate corrective measures have been taken regarding the elements of the plan not properly tested in the previous exercises.	The U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency is responsible for evaluating the adequacy of offsite response during an exercise. No action is expected from State or local government organizations in response to an event at a decommissioning power reactor site other than onsite firefighting, law enforcement and ambulance/medical services support. A memoranda of understanding should be in place for those services. Offsite response organizations will continue to take actions on a comprehensive EP basis to protect the health and safety of the public as they would at any other industrial site.
F.2.i. Licensees shall use drill and exercise scenarios that provide reasonable assurance that anticipatory responses will not result from preconditioning of participants. Such scenarios for nuclear power reactor licensees must include a wide spectrum of radiological releases and events, including hostile action. Exercise and drill scenarios as appropriate must emphasize coordination among onsite and offsite response organizations.	Due to the low probability of design-basis accidents or other credible events to exceed the EPA PAGs, the available time for event mitigation and, if needed, implementation of offsite protective actions using a CEMP, the previously routine progression to general emergency in power reactor site scenarios is not applicable to a decommissioning site. Therefore, the licensee is not expected to demonstrate response to a wide spectrum of events. Also refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,
F.2.j. The exercises conducted under paragraph 2 of this section by nuclear power reactor licensees must provide the opportunity for the ERO to demonstrate proficiency in the key skills necessary to implement the principal functional areas of emergency response identified in paragraph 2.b of this section. Each exercise must provide the opportunity for	Section IV.1 regarding hostile action. Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.2.

10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E, Section IV	Staff Review of Licensee Justification
the ERO to demonstrate key skills specific	Stail Neview of Licensee Justification
to emergency response duties in the	
control room, TSC, OSC, EOF, and joint	
information center. Additionally, in each	
eight calendar year exercise cycle,	
nuclear power reactor licensees shall vary	
the content of scenarios during exercises	
conducted under paragraph 2 of this	
section to provide the opportunity for the	
ERO to demonstrate proficiency in the key	
skills necessary to respond to the	
following scenario elements: hostile action	
directed at the plant site, no radiological	
release or an unplanned minimal	
radiological release that does not require	
public protective actions, an initial	
classification of or rapid escalation to a	
Site Area Emergency or General	
Emergency, implementation of strategies,	
procedures, and guidance developed	
under § 50.54(hh)(2), and integration of	
offsite resources with onsite justification.	
The licensee shall maintain a record of	
exercises conducted during each eight	
year exercise cycle that documents the	
content of scenarios used to comply with	
the requirements of this paragraph. Each	
licensee shall conduct a hostile action-	
exercise for each of its sites no later than	
December 31, 2015. The first eight-year	
exercise cycle for a site will begin in the	
calendar year in which the first hostile	
action exercise is conducted. For a site	
licensed under Part 52, the first eight-year	
exercise cycle begins in the calendar year	
of the initial exercise required by Section	
IV.F.2.a.	
IBy June 20, 2012, for nuclear power-	Refer to basis for 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix E,
reactor licensees, a range of protective	Section IV.E.8.d.
actions to protect onsite personnel during	
hostile action must be developed to	
ensure the continued ability of the	
licensee to safely shut down the reactor-	
and perform the functions of the	
licensee's emergency plan.	