

Plant / Site	Region	Section 03.01: B.5.b/50.54(hh)(2) Were the licensee's mitigation capabilities ¹ satisfactory at the time of the initial review?	Section 03.02: Station Blackout (SBO) Were the licensee's mitigation capabilities ¹ satisfactory at the time of the initial review?	Section 03.03: Flooding Were the licensee's mitigation capabilities ¹ satisfactory at the time of the initial review?	Section 03.04: Seismic activity coincident with large fires or flooding Was the licensee's review and assessment satisfactory?
Beaver Valley	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Calvert Cliffs	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Fitzpatrick	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
GINNA	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Hope Creek	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Indian Point 2	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Indian Point 3	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Limerick	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Millstone	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Nine Mile Point	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Oyster Creek	I	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (corrected)	YES ²	YES	YES
Peach Bottom	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Pilgrim	I	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (resolution in progress) ²	YES	YES	YES
Salem	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Seabrook	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Susquehanna	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Three Mile Island	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Vermont Yankee	I	YES	YES	YES	YES
Browns Ferry	II	YES	YES ²	YES	YES
Brunswick	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
Catawba	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
Crystal River	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
Farley	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
Harris	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
Hatch	II	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (resolution in progress) ²	YES	YES	YES
McGuire	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
North Anna	II	YES	YES	YES ²	YES
Oconee	II	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (resolution in progress) ²	YES	YES ²	YES
Robinson	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
Sequoyah	II	YES ²	YES	YES	YES
St. Lucie	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
Summer	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
Surry	II	YES ²	YES	YES	YES
Turkey Point	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
Vogtle	II	YES	YES	YES	YES
Watts Bar	II	YES ²	YES	YES	YES
Braidwood	III	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (resolution in progress) ²	YES	YES	YES
Byron	III	YES	YES	YES ²	YES
Clinton	III	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (resolution in progress)	YES	YES	YES
D.C. Cook	III	YES	YES	YES	YES
Davis-Besse	III	YES	YES	YES	YES
Dresden	III	YES	YES	YES	YES
Duane Arnold	III	YES	YES	YES	YES
Fermi	III	YES	YES	YES	YES
Kewaunee	III	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (resolution in progress)	YES	YES	YES
LaSalle	III	YES	YES ²	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (resolution in progress)	YES
Monticello	III	YES	YES	YES	YES
Palisades	III	YES	YES	YES	YES
Perry	III	YES	YES	YES	YES
Point Beach	III	YES	YES	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (resolution in progress) ²	YES
Prairie Island	III	YES	YES	YES	YES
Quad Cities	III	YES	YES	YES	YES
Arkansas Nuclear	IV	YES	YES	YES	YES
Callaway	IV	YES	YES	YES	YES
Columbia	IV	YES	YES	YES	YES
Comanche Peak	IV	YES	YES ²	YES ²	YES
Cooper	IV	YES	YES	YES	YES
Diablo Canyon	IV	YES ²	YES ²	YES	YES
Fort Calhoun	IV	YES	YES ²	YES ²	YES
Grand Gulf	IV	YES ²	YES ²	YES	YES
Palo Verde	IV	YES	YES	YES	YES
River Bend	IV	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (corrected) ²	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (corrected)	YES	YES
San Onofre	IV	YES	YES	YES	YES
South Texas	IV	YES ²	YES	YES	YES
Waterford	IV	YES ²	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (corrected) ²	YES	YES
Wolf Creek	IV	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (resolution in progress)	Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated (resolution in progress)	YES	YES

1. There are multiple and redundant mitigation strategies. A "Function Met; Strategy Not Demonstrated" response in this column means that a mitigation strategy was not demonstrated, however, the overall mitigation function would still have worked and protected against fuel and containment damage.

2. The response for this item was determined through both a review of the inspection report and ongoing evaluations.