

South Texas 2

2Q/2016 Plant Inspection Findings

Initiating Events

Mitigating Systems

Significance: G Jun 30, 2016

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV Non-Cited Violation

Inadequate Scaffold Procedure to Ensure Safety-Related Equipment Not Impacted

The inspectors identified a non-cited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings," for the failure to provide an adequate scaffold procedure to ensure that safety-related equipment would not be impacted. Specifically, Procedure OPGP03-ZM-0028, "Erection and Use of Temporary Scaffolding," Revision 20, did not give scaffold clearance parameters when constructing scaffold around safety-related mechanical and structural components, nor did it direct an engineering evaluation if scaffold is in contact with safety-related components or when clearances cannot be met. The licensee entered this issue into the corrective action program as Condition Report 16-5503.

The failure to have adequate procedural guidance for erecting temporary scaffold in the vicinity of safety-related components was a performance deficiency. Specifically, Procedure OPGP03-ZM-0028, "Erection and Use of Temporary Scaffolding," Revision 20, only described scaffold clearance around safety-related electrical equipment, but not safety-related mechanical and structural components. The performance deficiency is more than minor, and therefore a finding, because if left uncorrected could become a more safety significant safety issue following a seismic event. Specifically, the continued practice of building scaffolding in contact with safety-related equipment and without an engineering evaluation could lead to damage, inoperability, or unavailability during system perturbations or following a seismic event. The inspectors evaluated this finding in accordance with Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix A, "The Significance Determination Process (SDP) For Findings At-Power," dated June 19, 2012, Exhibit 2, "Mitigating Screening Questions." The inspectors determined the finding was of very low safety significance (Green) because the finding did not: 1) affect the design or qualification of a mitigating structure, system, and component; 2) represent a loss of system and/or function; 3) represent an actual loss of function of at least a single train for greater than its technical specification allowed outage time or two separate safety systems for greater than its technical specification allowed outage time; or 4) represent an actual loss of function of one or more technical specification trains of equipment designated as high safety significance in accordance with the licensee's maintenance rule program for greater than 24 hours. The inspectors determined that the finding has a cross-cutting aspect of self-assessment in the problem identification and resolution area, because the licensee had not recently conducted a periodic and critical review of the temporary scaffold program and procedures [P.6].

Inspection Report# : [2016002](#) (*pdf*)

Significance: G Dec 31, 2015

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

Failure to Track and Incorporate Actual Plant Data into Simulator Operability Testing

The inspectors identified a finding, associated with simulator operability testing, for the failure of the licensee to track and incorporate actual plant data into their cyclic operability tests, as required by American National Standards Institute-3.5-2009, “Nuclear Power Plant Simulators for Use in Operator Training and Examination.” With the exception of one transient, the licensee exclusively used engineering analysis from the RETRAN code as baseline data without reference to plant events that may have been related to the required transient tests. This issue was entered into the licensee’s corrective action program as Condition Report 15-21463.

The failure to track and incorporate plant events into baseline data for simulator operability testing is a performance deficiency. It is more than minor and, therefore, a finding because it is associated with the human performance attribute of the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone and negatively affected the objective to ensure the reliability and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Specifically, if simulator performance is not being compared to the most relevant baseline data from the plant, the reliability of the simulator performance is reduced. Using Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, “Significance Determination Process,” Phase 1 worksheets, and the corresponding Appendix I, “Licensed Operator Requalification SDP” (block 14), the finding was determined to have very low safety significance (Green) because it is a “Simulator testing, maintenance, or modification deficiency.” This finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the procedure adherence component of the human performance cross-cutting area because the licensee failed to ensure that individuals follow processes, procedures, and work instructions in that the American National Standards Institute-3.5-2009 guidance for selecting baseline data for simulator testing was not followed [H.8].

Inspection Report# : [2015004](#) (*pdf*)

Barrier Integrity

Emergency Preparedness

Significance: G Dec 31, 2015

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV Non-Cited Violation

Failure to Maintain the Emergency Plan Up to Date With the Safety Evaluation Report

The inspectors identified a non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50.54(q)(2) for failure to maintain the emergency plan in accordance with the approved safety evaluation report. Specifically, the licensee failed to meet 10 CFR 50.47(b)(2) requirements for timely augmentation of response capabilities, in accordance with the approved safety evaluation report. Following an update to the safety evaluation report in 1993, the licensee failed to update the emergency response organization staff augmentation time requirements to commence at the time of an emergency declaration vice from the time of an emergency notification. To restore compliance, the licensee updated the emergency plan in accordance with the current safety evaluation report.

Failure to maintain the site emergency plan in accordance with the approved safety evaluation report, dated May 20, 1993, was a performance deficiency. Specifically, the licensee failed to update the ERO staff augmentation time requirements to commence at the time of an emergency declaration, as required by the NRC safety evaluation report. This performance deficiency is more than minor because it is associated with the procedure quality attribute of the Emergency Preparedness Cornerstone and adversely affected the cornerstone objective to ensure that the licensee is capable of implementing adequate measures to protect the health and safety of the public in the event of a radiological emergency. This finding was evaluated using Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix B, “Emergency Preparedness Significance Determination Process (SDP),” dated September 22, 2015, and was determined to be of

very low safety significance (Green) per Table 5.2-1, “Significance Examples 50.47(b)(2),” because the staffing processes do not meet the threshold of “routinely not capable of ensuring timely augmentation of the on shift emergency response staff to the extent that more than one required ERO functional area (in accordance with E-plan commitments) would not be filled.” No cross-cutting aspect is assigned because the performance deficiency is not indicative of present performance.

Inspection Report# : [2015004](#) (*pdf*)

Occupational Radiation Safety

Public Radiation Safety

Security

Although the Security Cornerstone is included in the Reactor Oversight Process assessment program, the Commission has decided that specific information related to findings and performance indicators pertaining to the Security Cornerstone will not be publicly available to ensure that security information is not provided to a possible adversary. Other than the fact that a finding or performance indicator is Green or Greater-Than-Green, security related information will not be displayed on the public web page. Therefore, the [cover letters](#) to security inspection reports may be viewed.

Miscellaneous

Last modified : August 29, 2016