

## Nine Mile Point 1 2Q/2016 Plant Inspection Findings

---

### Initiating Events

---

### Mitigating Systems

**Significance:** G Mar 31, 2016

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV Non-Cited Violation

#### **Inadequate Tagout Results in Reactor Building Closed-Loop Cooling Drain Down Event**

A self-revealing Green non-cited violation of Technical Specification (TS) 6.4.1, "Procedures," was identified when a Unit 1 Exelon operator did not maintain proper configuration control of a plant system during a system tagout for planned maintenance. Specifically, on January 25, 2016, a Unit 1 non-licensed operator manipulated a reactor building closed-loop cooling (RBCLC) system drain valve out of sequence while performing a tagout for the #13 shutdown cooling heat exchanger for planned maintenance. This resulted in unintentional draining of the operating RBCLC system, multiple alarms to annunciate in the main control room, and operators entering abnormal operating procedures to recover the RBCLC system. As part of corrective actions, proper configuration was promptly restored, the operator involved in the event was given a remediation plan for requalification and placed on an operations excellence plan.

This finding is more than minor because it is associated with the configuration control attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone and adversely affected the associated cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences; and if left uncorrected, the event had potential to lead to a more significant safety concern. Specifically, the failure to quickly isolate the drain down of the RBCLC system would have required a manual reactor scram, a manual trip of all five reactor recirculation pumps, a manual isolation of the reactor water cleanup system, a loss of cooling to the spent fuel pool cooling system, instrument air compressors, and the control room emergency ventilation system. The inspectors evaluated the finding using IMC 0609.04, "Initial Characterization of Findings," and Exhibit 1 of IMC 0609, Appendix A, "The Significance Determination Process for Findings At-Power." The inspectors determined that this finding was of very low safety significance (Green) because the performance deficiency did not result in the loss of a support system, RBCLC, or affect mitigation equipment. This finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of Human Performance, Procedure Adherence, because the non-licensed operator failed to follow the license's procedures and the instructions of the pre job brief stop when manipulating the drain valve. Specifically, the non-licensed operator rationalized, without being the designated performer of the tagout, that it was acceptable to perform a valve manipulation out of sequence with the tagout plan.

Inspection Report# : [2016001](#) (*pdf*)

**Significance:** G Aug 28, 2015

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV Non-Cited Violation

#### **Inadequate Maintenance Rule Monitoring of Unit 1 600 VAC Breaker Super System**

The inspectors identified a Green non-cited violation of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) 50.65, “Requirements for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants,” under section (a)(1) and (a)(2) for failing to properly monitor the 600 volt alternating current (VAC) system at Unit 1 in accordance with established maintenance rule reliability criteria to assure that breakers were capable of performing their intended function. Specifically, the inspectors identified four events that were not evaluated against the established (a)(2) reliability criteria. This resulted in a failure to evaluate the 600 VAC system for potential corrective actions in accordance with (a)(1) and did not ensure effective control through preventive maintenance to show the system was capable of performing its intended function in accordance with (a)(2). Exelon’s immediate corrective actions included evaluations of the failures and planning for a maintenance rule expert panel for consideration of placing the system into (a)(1) where corrective actions could be developed to return the system to (a)(2) monitoring. Exelon also noted that issue report (IR) 02416790 documented the challenge associated with overcurrent trip device drift and subsequent pump failures. This IR was open at the time of the inspection with actions to determine if a replacement is possible and to present any potential options to Plant Health Committee in October 2015.

This performance deficiency is more than minor because it is associated with the equipment performance attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Specifically, the overcurrent trip devices associated with Unit 1 600 VAC General Electric (GE)-AK breakers were unreliable and resulted in the trip of five safety-related pumps between April 2013 and February 2014. Only one of the five functions was evaluated by Exelon. This impacted the ability of these pumps to be able to perform their function to provide cooling to their respective systems. In accordance with Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 0609.04, “Initial Characterization of Findings,” and IMC 0609, Appendix A, “The Significance Determination Process for Findings At-Power,” issued June 19, 2012, the inspectors determined this finding was of very low safety significance (Green) because this finding did not represent an actual loss of system safety function, did not represent an actual loss of function of at least a single train for greater than its technical specification (TS) allowed outage time, and did not represent an actual loss of function of one or more non-TS trains of equipment designated as high safety-significant in accordance with Exelon’s maintenance rule program for greater than 24 hours. This finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of Problem Identification and Resolution, Evaluation, because Exelon failed to thoroughly evaluate the failures against the monitoring criteria specified for the Unit 1 600 VAC breaker super system. Specifically, between April 2013 and February 2014, four breaker failures were identified by the inspectors that were not evaluated against the Unit 1 600 VAC breaker super system, which prevented compliance with 10 CFR 50.65 (a)(1) to ensure corrective actions are established to return the system to (a)(2) monitoring. [P.2]

Inspection Report# : [2015009](#) (*pdf*)

---

## Barrier Integrity

---

## Emergency Preparedness

---

## Occupational Radiation Safety

---

## Public Radiation Safety

---

### Security

Although the Security Cornerstone is included in the Reactor Oversight Process assessment program, the Commission has decided that specific information related to findings and performance indicators pertaining to the Security Cornerstone will not be publicly available to ensure that security information is not provided to a possible adversary. Other than the fact that a finding or performance indicator is Green or Greater-Than-Green, security related information will not be displayed on the public web page. Therefore, the [cover letters](#) to security inspection reports may be viewed.

---

### Miscellaneous

**Significance:** N/A Sep 15, 2015

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

#### **Biennial PI&R Assessment**

The inspectors concluded that Exelon Generating Company, LLC (Exelon) was generally effective in identifying, evaluating, and resolving problems. Exelon personnel identified problems, entered them into the corrective action program at a low threshold, and prioritized issues commensurate with their safety significance. Exelon appropriately screened issues for operability and reportability, and performed causal analyses that appropriately considered extent of condition, generic issues, and previous occurrences. The inspectors also determined that Exelon typically implemented corrective actions to address the problems identified in the corrective action program in a timely manner. However, the inspectors identified two violations of NRC requirements in the area of evaluation of problems.

The inspectors concluded that, in general, Exelon adequately identified, reviewed, and applied relevant industry operating experience to NMPNS operations. In addition, based on those items selected for review, the inspectors determined that Exelon's self-assessments and audits were thorough.

Based on the interviews the inspectors conducted over the course of the inspection, observations of plant activities, and reviews of individual corrective action program and employee concerns program issues, the inspectors did not identify any indications that site personnel were unwilling to raise safety issues nor did they identify any conditions that could have had a negative impact on the site's safety conscious work environment.

Inspection Report# : [2015009](#) (*pdf*)

Last modified : August 29, 2016