

Seabrook 1 2Q/2015 Plant Inspection Findings

Initiating Events

Mitigating Systems

Significance: G Jul 10, 2014

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV Non-Cited Violation

Alternate Safe Shutdown Areas Affected by Smoke from Cable Spreading Room Fire

The team identified a finding of very low safety significance, involving a non-cited violation of Seabrook Unit 1 Operating License Condition 2.F for failure to implement and maintain all aspects of the approved Fire Protection Program. Specifically, NextEra failed to ensure that intake air to the A and B remote shutdown panel areas was not contaminated from products of combustion resulting from a cable spreading room fire. NextEra promptly entered this issue into its corrective action program as condition reports AR 01977233 and AR 01982946. NextEra initiated compensatory measures in the form of four-hour roving fire watches. Long term corrective actions include determining options to eliminate the potential for smoke migration from a cable spreading room fire to the A and B essential switchgear rooms.

This finding was more than minor because it was associated with the Protection Against External Factors (e.g., fire) attribute of the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone and adversely affected the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability and reliability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. In accordance with IMC 0609, Appendix F, Fire Protection Significance Determination Process, Attachment 1, Step 1.6, a Senior Reactor Analyst examined NextEra's probabilistic risk analysis based risk evaluation for the issue and determined this finding resulted in an increase in core damage frequency in the mid E-7 range (Green) or very low safety significance. This finding did not have a cross-cutting aspect because it was determined to be a legacy issue and was considered to be not indicative of current licensee performance.

Inspection Report# : [2014007](#) (*pdf*)

Significance: G Jul 10, 2014

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV Non-Cited Violation

Inadequate Alternative Shutdown Procedures

The team identified a finding of very low safety significance, involving a non-cited violation of Seabrook Unit 1 Operating License Condition 2.F for failure to implement and maintain all aspects of the approved Fire Protection Program. Specifically, NextEra's alternative safe shutdown operating procedures did not adequately establish decay heat removal and could have challenged the performance goals of alternative shutdown, as required by NextEra's safe shutdown analysis and regulatory requirements. NextEra promptly entered this issue into its corrective action program as condition report AR 01976944 and initiated an operating standing order as a compensatory measure.

This finding was more than minor because it was associated with the Protection Against External Factors (e.g., fire) attribute of the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone and adversely affected the cornerstone objective to ensure the

availability and reliability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. In accordance with IMC 0609, Appendix F, Fire Protection Significance Determination Process, a Phase 1 evaluation screened this finding as very low safety significance (Green) because it was assigned a low degradation rating. The team determined this issue had a low degradation rating because the procedural deficiencies could be compensated by operator experience and system familiarity. This finding did not have a cross cutting aspect because it was determined to be a legacy issue and was considered to be not indicative of current licensee performance.

Inspection Report# : [2014007](#) (pdf)

Barrier Integrity

Significance:  Dec 31, 2014

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV Non-Cited Violation

Failure to Identify Conditions Adverse to Quality in the Fuel Storage Building Structure

The inspectors identified an NCV of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B Criterion XVI, Corrective Actions, of very low safety significance because NextEra staff did not promptly identify nine visual indications of structural problems representing conditions adverse to quality. These problems were observed by NextEra staff during a maintenance rule (MR) walkdown of the Fuel Storage Building (FSB) on November 20, 2014, and documented in walkdown notes as conditions warranting entry into the corrective action program (CAP). However these problems were not entered into the CAP to identify them as conditions adverse to quality until questioned by the inspectors. NextEra staff took corrective actions to enter the issues into their CAP in AR02016192, AR02016238, AR02016225 and AR02016863 and initiated AR02014116 for not promptly identifying these problems.

This performance deficiency was considered to be more than minor because it is associated with the Barrier Integrity Cornerstone objective to provide reasonable assurance that physical design barriers protect the public from radionuclide releases caused by accidents or events, and affected the attribute of design control – structural integrity. Specifically, the inspectors determined the finding was more than minor because four of the conditions exceeded American Concrete Institute (ACI) 349.3R-96 "Tier II structural criteria," which indicated they require further technical evaluation and analysis to validate the existing conditions or repair to preserve structural function. This issue was evaluated in accordance with IMC 0609, Appendix A, "The Significance Determination Process for Findings At-Power," Exhibit 3, "Barrier Integrity Screening Questions," and screened as very low safety significance (Green) because the observed FSB degradation did not adversely impact structural or radiological barrier functions of the building. This finding is related to the cross-cutting area of Human Performance - Procedure Adherence because individuals did not follow CAP process, procedures, and work instructions

Inspection Report# : [2014005](#) (pdf)

Significance:  Dec 31, 2014

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV Non-Cited Violation

Failure to Identify and Evaluate FSB Settlement Data and the Design Basis

The inspectors identified a violation of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B Criterion XVI, Corrective Actions, of very low safety significance because NextEra did not promptly identify a condition adverse to quality in December 2013 that involved a deviation from expected settling assumptions in the Seabrook Station design basis for the FSB. FSB elevation measurements were received by NextEra staff in December 2010 and in December 2013 indicating that settling at some locations of the FSB was occurring. NextEra staff did not enter this condition, a condition adverse to quality, into their CAP until December 8, 2014, in response to questions from the inspectors. NextEra initiated AR02011698

to enter this issue in the CAP and AR02014116 to address their staff not entering this issue previously into the CAP.

This performance deficiency was considered to be more than minor because it is associated with the Barrier Integrity Cornerstone objective to provide reasonable assurance that physical design barriers protect the public from radionuclide releases caused by accidents or events, and adversely affected the attribute of design control – structural integrity. Specifically, the inspectors concluded that the structural integrity of the FSB was potentially adversely affected because measured settling of the structure deviated from assumed design basis values. Also, this condition exceeded the ACI 349.3R-96 “Tier II structural criteria” of the Structures Monitoring Program and requires a structural evaluation. This issue was evaluated in accordance with IMC 0609, Appendix A, “The Significance Determination Process for Findings At-Power,” Exhibit 3, “Barrier Integrity Screening Questions,” and screened as very low safety significance (Green) because the observed degradation does not adversely impact structural or radiological barrier functions for the FSB. This finding is related to the cross-cutting area of Human Performance - Design Margins. The organization did not maintain the FSB within design margins and did not utilize the systematic and rigorous corrective action process. Inspection Report# : [2014005](#) (*pdf*)

Emergency Preparedness

Occupational Radiation Safety

Significance:  Dec 31, 2014

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV Non-Cited Violation

Failure to Periodically Calibrate REM-500 Neutron Survey Instruments

The inspectors identified a Green NCV of TS 6.7.1.a, “Procedures and Programs,” because NextEra failed to conduct appropriate periodic calibration of neutron survey instruments. Specifically, since 1996, NextEra assumed that an operability check of certain neutron survey instruments using an internal alpha check source would provide a calibration equivalent to that performed to a traceable neutron source of a known neutron flux, contrary to the periodic calibration frequency requirements specified in the Seabrook Station Radiation Protection Manual. NextEra’s immediate corrective actions included capturing this issue in its CAP (AR 01969397), calibrating all of the neutron survey instruments in question, and revising the neutron survey instrument operating procedure to require annual calibrations.

This performance deficiency was determined to be more than minor because it adversely affected the Occupational Radiation Safety Cornerstone to ensure the adequate protection of the worker from radiation exposure. Additionally, it was similar to example 6.b in IMC 0612, Appendix E, “Examples of Minor Issues,” which states that the performance deficiency is more than minor if a radiation protection instrument was not calibrated properly, and when recalibrated the as-found condition of the instrument was not within acceptance criteria for calibration and the accuracy was non-conservative. The issue was evaluated in accordance with IMC 0609, Appendix C, “Occupational Radiation Safety Significance Determination Process,” and determined to be of very low safety significance (Green) since it was not an as low as is reasonably achievable (ALARA) issue and did not involve an overexposure or a potential overexposure and it did not affect any significant neutron exposures of plant personnel. The inspectors determined there was no cross-cutting aspect associated with this finding since it was not representative of current NextEra performance. Specifically, in accordance with IMC 0612, the causal factors associated with this finding occurred outside the nominal three-year period of consideration and were not considered representative of present

performance.

Inspection Report# : [2014005](#) (*pdf*)

Public Radiation Safety

Security

Although the Security Cornerstone is included in the Reactor Oversight Process assessment program, the Commission has decided that specific information related to findings and performance indicators pertaining to the Security Cornerstone will not be publicly available to ensure that security information is not provided to a possible adversary. Other than the fact that a finding or performance indicator is Green or Greater-Than-Green, security related information will not be displayed on the public web page. Therefore, the [cover letters](#) to security inspection reports may be viewed.

Miscellaneous

Significance: N/A Nov 17, 2014

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

Biennial PI&R Overall Assessment

The inspectors concluded that NextEra was generally effective in identifying, evaluating, and resolving problems. NextEra personnel identified problems, entered them into the corrective action program at a low threshold, and prioritized issues commensurate with their safety significance. In most cases, NextEra appropriately screened issues for operability and reportability, and performed causal analyses that appropriately considered extent of condition, generic issues, and previous occurrences. The inspectors also determined that NextEra typically implemented corrective actions to address the problems identified in the corrective action program in a timely manner.

The inspectors concluded that, in general, NextEra adequately identified, reviewed, and applied relevant industry operating experience to Seabrook operations. In addition, based on those items selected for review, the inspectors determined that NextEra's self-assessments and audits were thorough.

Based on the interviews the inspectors conducted over the course of the inspection, observations of plant activities, and reviews of individual corrective action program and employee concerns program issues, the inspectors did not identify any indications that site personnel were unwilling to raise safety issues nor did they identify any conditions that could have had a negative impact on the site's safety conscious work environment.

Inspection Report# : [2014009](#) (*pdf*)

Last modified : August 07, 2015