


San Onofre 2

3Q/2012 Performance Indicators

Licensee's General Comments: none

Unplanned Scrams per 7000 Critical Hrs




Thresholds: White > 3.0 Yellow > 6.0 Red > 25.0

Notes

Unplanned Scrams per 7000 Critical Hrs	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
Unplanned scrams	0	0	0	1.0	0	0	0	0
Critical hours	2209.0	2159.0	2184.0	2162.1	2209.0	212.7	0	0
Indicator value	0	0	0	0.8	0.8	1.0	1.5	0

Licensee Comments: none

Unplanned Power Changes per 7000 Critical Hrs




Thresholds: White > 6.0

Notes

Unplanned Power Changes per 7000 Critical Hrs	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
Unplanned power changes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Critical hours	2209.0	2159.0	2184.0	2162.1	2209.0	212.7	0	0
Indicator value	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Licensee Comments: none

Unplanned Scrams with Complications




Thresholds: White > 1.0

Notes

Unplanned Scrams with Complications	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
Scrams with complications	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indicator value	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Licensee Comments: none

Safety System Functional Failures (PWR)



Thresholds: White > 5.0


Notes

Safety System Functional Failures (PWR)	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
Safety System Functional Failures	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indicator value	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0

Licensee Comments:

4Q/10: LER 2010-005 submitted December 10, 2010.

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Emergency AC Power System



Thresholds: White > 1.00E-6 Yellow > 1.00E-5 Red > 1.00E-4

Notes

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Emergency AC Power System	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
UAI (Δ CDF)	3.46E-08	4.27E-08	3.76E-08	3.46E-08	6.44E-08	5.75E-08	7.66E-08	1.05E-07
URI (Δ CDF)	-3.85E-08	-3.85E-08	-6.46E-08	-6.46E-08	-4.77E-08	-5.59E-08	-1.93E-08	-1.79E-08
PLE	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Indicator value	-3.90E-09	4.20E-09	-2.70E-08	-3.00E-08	1.70E-08	1.60E-09	5.70E-08	8.80E-08

Licensee Comments:


1Q/12: FAQ 11-06, MSPI EDG Run Hour Reporting, requires that the 1st hour of actual EDG run times be excluded when calculating EDG failure rates. Implementing this FAQ in PRA resulted in increased EDG failure to run probabilities and increase in Birnbaum for EDG components unreliability and train unavailability.

4Q/11: CDE Comment for PRA Parameter Changes for 4Q11. PRACP-11-0004 models the removal of credit for transferring RCP's power to the other unit on loss of offsite power. This would increase the failure probability of normal Pressurizer Spray, which is provided by the RCPs. Hence, the Auxiliary Spray becomes more important. The suction valve for the Auxiliary Spray is a Train B valve, which makes Train B EDG more important. The Birnbaum values for Train B EDG components increased up to 46%. The Birnbaum value for train unavailability increased 10%.

3Q/11: PRACP-11-0004 models the removal of credit for transferring RCPs power to the other unit on loss of offsite power. This would increase the failure probability of normal Pressurizer Spray, which is provided by the RCPs. Hence, the Auxiliary Spray becomes more important. The suction valve for the Auxiliary Spray is a Train B valve, which makes Train B EDG more important. The Birnbaum values for Train B EDG components increased up

to 46%. The Birnbaum value for train unavailability increased 10%.

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, High Pressure Injection System



Thresholds: White > 1.00E-6 Yellow > 1.00E-5 Red > 1.00E-4

Notes

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, High Pressure Injection System	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
UAI (Δ CDF)	9.91E-08	9.50E-08	8.71E-08	9.13E-08	1.29E-07	6.74E-08	-1.58E-08	-1.81E-09
URI (Δ CDF)	-5.41E-08	-5.41E-08	-5.41E-08	-5.41E-08	-5.42E-08	-4.50E-08	-4.50E-08	-4.50E-08
PLE	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Indicator value	4.50E-08	4.10E-08	3.30E-08	3.70E-08	7.50E-08	2.20E-08	-6.10E-08	-4.70E-08


Licensee Comments:

1Q/12: FAQ 11-06, MSPI EDG Run Hour Reporting, requires that the 1st hour of actual EDG run times be excluded when calculating EDG failure rates. Implementing this FAQ in PRA has negligible impact on HPSI system Birnbaum for component unreliability and train unavailability.

4Q/11: CDE Comment for PRA Parameter Changes for 4Q11. For HPSI system, all Birnbaum values are within 1% change compared to last quarter's Birnbaum values.

3Q/11: For HPSI system, all Birnbaum values are within 1% change compared to last quarters Birnbaum values.

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Heat Removal System



Thresholds: White > 1.00E-6 Yellow > 1.00E-5 Red > 1.00E-4

Notes

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Heat Removal System	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
UAI (Δ CDF)	-1.37E-07	-1.38E-07	-1.38E-07	-1.38E-07	-1.38E-07	-1.06E-07	-1.02E-07	-9.21E-08
URI (Δ CDF)	-4.03E-07	-4.03E-07	-3.95E-07	-4.05E-07	-4.03E-07	-3.82E-07	-3.90E-07	-3.84E-07
PLE	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Indicator value	-5.40E-07	-5.40E-07	-5.30E-07	-5.40E-07	-5.40E-07	-4.90E-07	-4.90E-07	-4.80E-07


Licensee Comments:

1Q/12: FAQ 11-06, MSPI EDG Run Hour Reporting, requires that the 1st hour of actual EDG run times be excluded when calculating EDG failure rates. Implementing this FAQ in PRA resulted in increased EDG failure to run probabilities and slight increase in Birnbaum for Turbine Driven AFW pump train components unreliability and train unavailability.

4Q/11: CDE Comment for PRA Parameter Changes for 4Q11. For AFW system, all Birnbaum values are within 1% change compared to last quarter's Birnbaum values.

3Q/11: For AFW system, all Birnbaum values are within 1% change compared to last quarters Birnbaum values.

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Residual Heat Removal System



Thresholds: White > 1.00E-6 Yellow > 1.00E-5 Red > 1.00E-4

Notes

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Residual Heat Removal System	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
UAI (Δ CDF)	-1.12E-07	-1.12E-07	-7.85E-08	1.27E-07	1.49E-07	6.94E-08	1.15E-07	1.40E-07
URI (Δ CDF)	-2.50E-08	-2.50E-08	-2.50E-08	-2.50E-08	-2.50E-08	-2.06E-08	-2.05E-08	-2.05E-08
PLE	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Indicator value	-1.40E-07	-1.40E-07	-1.00E-07	1.00E-07	1.20E-07	4.90E-08	9.50E-08	1.20E-07


Licensee Comments:

1Q/12: FAQ 11-06, MSPI EDG Run Hour Reporting, requires that the 1st hour of actual EDG run times be excluded when calculating EDG failure rates. Implementing this FAQ in PRA has negligible impact on Containment Spray (RHR) system Birnbaum for component unreliability and train unavailability.

4Q/11: CDE Comment for PRA Parameter Changes for 4Q11. For RHR system, all Birnbaum values are within 1% change compared to last quarter's Birnbaum values.

3Q/11: For RHR system, all Birnbaum values are within 1% change compared to last quarters Birnbaum values.

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Cooling Water Systems



Thresholds: White > 1.00E-6 Yellow > 1.00E-5 Red > 1.00E-4

Notes

Mitigating Systems Performance Index, Cooling Water Systems	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
UAI (ΔCDF)	5.93E-07	5.34E-07	5.47E-07	4.93E-07	5.93E-07	4.15E-07	4.42E-07	4.48E-07
URI (ΔCDF)	-1.19E-07	-1.19E-07	-1.19E-07	-1.19E-07	-1.19E-07	-7.50E-08	-7.48E-08	-7.48E-08
PLE	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Indicator value	4.70E-07	4.10E-07	4.30E-07	3.70E-07	4.70E-07	3.40E-07	3.70E-07	3.70E-07


Licensee Comments:

1Q/12: FAQ 11-06, MSPI EDG Run Hour Reporting, requires that the 1st hour of actual EDG run times be excluded when calculating EDG failure rates. Implementing this FAQ in PRA has negligible impact on Support System Cooling Birnbaum for component unreliability and train unavailability.

4Q/11: CDE Comment for PRA Parameter Changes for 4Q11. For Supporting System Cooling system, all Birnbaum values are within 1% change compared to last quarter's Birnbaum values.

3Q/11: For Supporting System Cooling system, all Birnbaum values are within 1% change compared to last quarters Birnbaum values.

Reactor Coolant System Activity



Thresholds: White > 50.0 Yellow > 100.0

Notes

Reactor Coolant System Activity	10/10	11/10	12/10	1/11	2/11	3/11	4/11	5/11	6/11	7/11	8/11	9/11
Maximum activity	0.000317	0.000306	0.000335	0.000370	0.000752	0.000962	0.001360	0.001610	0.001230	0.001410	0.001820	0.001670
Technical specification limit	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Indicator value	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
Reactor Coolant System Activity	10/11	11/11	12/11	1/12	2/12	3/12	4/12	5/12	6/12	7/12	8/12	9/12
Maximum activity	0.001580	0.002050	0.002500	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Technical specification limit	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Indicator value	0.2	0.2	0.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


Licensee Comments:

9/12: RCS activity data not required for 3Q12 due to both units offline.

6/12: RCS activity data not required for 2Q12 due to both units offline.

3/12: Unit 2 RCS activity data not required for Jan 2012 - Mar 2012

Reactor Coolant System Leakage



Thresholds: White > 50.0 Yellow > 100.0

Notes

Reactor Coolant System Leakage	10/10	11/10	12/10	1/11	2/11	3/11	4/11	5/11	6/11	7/11	8/11	9/11
Maximum leakage	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0	0.010
Technical specification limit	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Indicator value	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0.1
Reactor Coolant System Leakage	10/11	11/11	12/11	1/12	2/12	3/12	4/12	5/12	6/12	7/12	8/12	9/12
Maximum leakage	0.010	0.010	0.020	0.020	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Technical specification limit	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Indicator value	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


Licensee Comments:

9/12: RCS leakage data not required for 3Q12 due to both units offline.

6/12: RCS leakage data not required for 2Q12 due to both units offline.

3/12: Unit 2 RCS leakage data not required for Feb 2012 - Mar 2012

Drill/Exercise Performance




Thresholds: White < 90.0% Yellow < 70.0%

Notes

Drill/Exercise Performance	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
Successful opportunities	0	25.0	65.0	60.0	128.0	21.0	150.0	44.0
Total opportunities	0	27.0	66.0	65.0	136.0	22.0	153.0	44.0
Indicator value	93.8%	93.4%	93.9%	94.4%	94.0%	94.8%	95.2%	96.1%

Licensee Comments: none

ERO Drill Participation



Thresholds: White < 80.0% Yellow < 60.0%

Notes

ERO Drill Participation	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
Participating Key personnel	65.0	68.0	66.0	72.0	71.0	70.0	69.0	73.0
Total Key personnel	71.0	68.0	68.0	72.0	73.0	72.0	72.0	75.0
Indicator value	91.5%	100.0%	97.1%	100.0%	97.3%	97.2%	95.8%	97.3%


Licensee Comments:

2Q/12: Previous data from 4Q11 and 1Q12 has been corrected.

1Q/12: Data corrected for 1Q12 to reflect reduction of participating key personnel due to insufficient objective evidence (NN 202042541). No change to indicator color (green).

4Q/11: Data corrected for Dec11 to reflect reduction of participating key personnel due to insufficient objective evidence (NN 202042541). No change to indicator color (green).

Alert & Notification System



Thresholds: White < 94.0% Yellow < 90.0%

Notes

Alert & Notification System	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
Successful siren-tests	447	394	347	399	440	400	343	398
Total sirens-tests	450	396	350	399	450	400	344	400
Indicator value	98.9%	99.6%	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%	99.2%	99.3%	99.2%

Licensee Comments:


3Q/12: Previous data from June 2012 has been corrected.

2Q/12: Data corrected for June 2012 to reflect one additional siren test failure because the siren had been declared inoperable at the scheduled time of the test (NN 201971744). No change to indicator color (green). Previous data from 4Q11 has been corrected.

2Q/12: Previous data from 4Q11 has been corrected.

4Q/11: Data corrected for Nov-Dec11 to reflect additional siren test failures due to procedures not aligned with Siren Design Report (NN 202043181). No change to indicator color (green).

Occupational Exposure Control Effectiveness




Thresholds: White > 2.0 Yellow > 5.0

Notes

Occupational Exposure Control Effectiveness	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
High radiation area occurrences	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Very high radiation area occurrences	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unintended exposure occurrences	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indicator value	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Licensee Comments: none

RETS/ODCM Radiological Effluent



Thresholds: White > 1.0 Yellow > 3.0

Notes

RETS/ODCM Radiological Effluent	4Q/10	1Q/11	2Q/11	3Q/11	4Q/11	1Q/12	2Q/12	3Q/12
RETS/ODCM occurrences	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Indicator value	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Licensee Comments: none

Although the Security Cornerstone is included in the Reactor Oversight Process assessment program, the Commission has decided that specific information related to findings and performance indicators pertaining to the Security Cornerstone will not be publicly available to ensure that security information is not provided to a possible adversary. Other than the fact that a finding or performance indicator is Green or Greater-Than-Green, security related information will not be displayed on the public web page.

 [Action Matrix Summary](#) | [Inspection Findings Summary](#) | [PI Summary](#) | [Reactor Oversight Process](#)

Last Modified: October 24, 2012