

# FitzPatrick

## 3Q/2008 Plant Inspection Findings

---

### Initiating Events

**Significance:**  Jun 30, 2008

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

#### **Surge arresters not replaced in accordance with preventive maintenance program**

A self-revealing finding was identified when one of the 115 kV offsite power transformer 71T-3 surge arresters failed in-service. Specifically, Entergy did not adequately implement maintenance program expectations outlined in EN-DC-324, "Preventive Maintenance Program," Revision 4 and ensure replacement of the surge arrester upon exceeding its reliable service life. The surge arrester failure contributed to a loss of offsite power.

The inspectors determined that this finding is more than minor because it is associated with the protection against external factors attribute (grid stability) of the Initiating Events cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective to limit the likelihood of those events that upset plant stability and challenge critical safety functions during shutdown as well as power operations. The inspectors evaluated the significance of this finding using Phase 1 of IMC 0609, Appendix A, "Significance Determination of Reactor Inspection Findings for At Power Situations," and determined it to be of very low safety significance (Green) because the finding did not contribute to both the likelihood of a reactor trip and the likelihood that mitigation equipment or functions will not be available.

This finding had a cross-cutting aspect in the area of problem identification and resolution because Entergy did not take appropriate corrective actions to promptly replace the surge arrester when it was identified to be past its reliable service life. (P.1(d))

Inspection Report# : [2008003](#) (*pdf*)

**Significance:**  Dec 31, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **Failure to Implement Procedure Associated with Lake Condition Monitoring**

A self-revealing NCV of Technical Specification 5.4, "Procedures," was identified when operators did not implement certain steps specified in Operations Shift Standing Order 2007-020, "Lake Condition Monitoring," Revision 4, which increased the likelihood of a scram. Entergy entered the condition into their corrective action program, revised the lake condition monitoring procedure, and discussed procedure adherence expectations with operators.

The inspectors determined that this finding is more than minor because it is associated with the Human Performance attribute (human error) of the Initiating Events cornerstone; and it impacted the cornerstone objective to limit the likelihood of those events that upset plant stability and challenge critical safety function during shutdown as well as power operations. The inspectors evaluated this finding using Phase 1 of IMC 0609, Appendix A, "Significance Determination of Reactor Inspection Findings for At Power Situation," and determined it to be of very low safety significance (Green) because the finding did not contribute to both the likelihood of a reactor trip and the likelihood that mitigation equipment functions would not be available.

This finding had a cross-cutting aspect in the area of human performance because Entergy did not ensure that expectations regarding procedural compliance were met. (H.4(b)) (Section 4OA3)

Inspection Report# : [2007005](#) (*pdf*)

**Significance:**  Dec 31, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

#### **Feedwater Low Flow Control Valve Degradation Led to Primary Containment Isolation System Group Two Isolation**

A self-revealing finding was identified involving inadequate corrective actions when Entergy failed to correct the adverse condition of the feedwater low-flow control valve, 34FCV-137. Entergy also failed to implement corrective actions in a timely manner to remotely monitor feedwater flow rate through the feedwater low-flow control valve in order to support level control. This condition resulted in a low level scram and primary containment isolation system group two isolation on September 12, 2007, and October 28, 2007. This problem was entered into Entergy's corrective action program. Following the October 28, 2007, manual scram and subsequent low level scram, Entergy replaced the stem and packing box for the low-flow control valve and implemented an interim method to remotely monitor feedwater flow rate. In addition, Entergy has scheduled a design change to provide low-range feedwater flow rate instrumentation in the control room.

The inspectors determined that this finding is more than minor because it is associated with the equipment performance attribute of the Initiating Events cornerstone, and it impacted the cornerstone objective of limiting the likelihood of those events that upset plant stability and challenge critical safety functions during shutdown as well as power operations. The inspectors evaluated this finding using Phase 1 of Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix A, "Significance Determination of Reactor Inspection Findings for At-Power Situations," and determined it to be of very low safety significance (Green) because it did not contribute to both the likelihood of a reactor trip and the likelihood that mitigation equipment or functions would not be available.

The inspectors determined that this finding had a cross-cutting aspect in the area of problem identification and resolution because Entergy did not take appropriate corrective actions, in a timely manner, to address the feedwater low-flow control valve degradation and to provide a method to monitor the feedwater control system response following the low level scram and primary containment isolation system group two isolation on September 12, 2007. Consequently, another low level scram and primary containment isolation system group two isolation occurred on October 28, 2007. (P.1(d)) (Section 4OA3)

Inspection Report# : [2007005](#) (pdf)

---

## Mitigating Systems

**Significance:**  Sep 29, 2008

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

### **Failure to Manage Risk During Maintenance Activity Resulted in Loss of Shutdown Cooling**

A self-revealing NCV of 10 CFR Part 50.65 (a)(4), "Requirements for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants," was identified when Entergy did not manage the increase in risk that resulted from removal of the 'B' reactor protection system from service in preparation for conducting maintenance. The removal of the 'B' reactor protection system from service resulted in an unanticipated loss of shutdown cooling (SDC). Entergy took prompt action to communicate the error to station personnel; provide additional oversight for equipment tagouts affecting required safety systems during the remainder of the refueling outage; and entered the issue into the corrective action program.

This finding is more than minor because it is related to maintenance risk assessment and management. In this instance, Entergy did not implement prescribed significant compensatory measures and effectively manage those measures. Specifically, this finding reflects inadequate risk management that contributed to a short duration loss of shutdown decay heat removal capability resulting from the inadvertent interruption of flow through the operating train of shutdown cooling during cavity flood-up, in preparation for refueling. In accordance with IMC 0609, Attachment 0609.04, "Phase 1 – Initial Screening and Characterization of Findings," and Appendix G, "Shutdown Operations Significance Determination Process," the inspectors determined this finding was of very low safety significance (Green). In accordance with IMC 0609, Appendix G, this finding did not require quantification and did not constitute a significant loss of thermal margin, based upon the slow reactor coolant system heat-up rate and minimal time of interruption in shutdown cooling system operation.

The inspectors determined that this finding had a cross-cutting aspect in the area of human performance because Entergy did not plan and coordinate work activities properly to manage operational impact of work activities. Specifically, the impact on shutdown cooling of deenergizing the 'B' reactor protection system was not recognized or assessed. Additionally, a number of processes and barriers, such as the outage risk assessment and protective equipment program, were not used effectively.

Inspection Report# : [2008004](#) (pdf)

**Significance:**  Aug 01, 2008

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

### **RHR Service Water SOV Corrective Actions**

The inspectors identified a Green non-cited violation (NCV) of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," because Entergy did not implement adequate corrective actions for the residual heat removal (RHR) service water motor bearing cooling water supply solenoid operated valves (SOVs). Specifically, Entergy did not promptly correct a condition adverse to quality associated with trains of RHR service water motor bearing cooling water supply SOVs following a December 30, 2006 failure of the 'B' RHR service water motor bearing cooling water supply valve. This resulted in unplanned unavailability for the 'C' RHR service water motor on May 4, 2007 due to the failure of the 'C' RHR service water motor bearing cooling water supply valve. Entergy entered this lack of taking prompt corrective action into their corrective action program as CR-JAF-2008-02411. In addition, Entergy replaced the 'B' and 'C' RHR service water motor bearing cooling water supply valves.

This finding was more than minor because it impacted the equipment performance attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective of ensuring the availability, reliability and capability of a system that responds to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. The finding was evaluated in accordance with Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 0609.04, "Phase 1 – Initial Screening and Characterization of Findings." The inspectors conducted a Phase 1 Significance Determination Process (SDP) screening

and determined that the finding was of very low safety significance (Green) because the finding was not a design or qualification deficiency, did not represent a loss of system safety function or loss of a single train for greater than its allowed technical specification time, and did not screen as potentially risk significant due to seismic, flooding, or severe weather initiating events.

The inspectors determined that this finding had a cross-cutting aspect in the area of problem identification and resolution because Entergy did not thoroughly evaluate a condition adverse to quality such that the resolutions addressed the causes and extent of condition, as necessary. Specifically, Entergy's corrective actions following the 2006 SOV failure did not evaluate the in-service condition of the 'A', 'C', and 'D' RHR service water motor bearing cooling water supply valves.

Inspection Report# : [2008008](#) (*pdf*)

**G**

**Significance:** Jun 30, 2008

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **Quality standards not specified in design documents that resulted in deficient B LPCI battery cable bend radii.**

The inspectors identified a non-cited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," because Entergy did not ensure that appropriate quality standards were specified and included in design documents and that deviations from such standards were controlled. Specifically, Entergy did not ensure that the cable bend radius for the 'B' low pressure coolant injection (LPCI) battery inter-tier jumper cables was in accordance with the design. Entergy entered the condition into their corrective action program, issued a work request to establish appropriate bend radii and inspected all other batteries for extent of condition.

The inspectors determined that this finding is more than minor because it is associated with the Design Control attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Specifically, reliability was affected because of additional stresses imposed at the u-bend of the cable which impacts long-term cable reliability. The inspectors evaluated the significance of this finding using Phase 1 of IMC 0609, Appendix A, "Significance Determination of Reactor Inspection Findings for At-Power Situations," and determined it to be of very low safety significance (Green) because the finding represented a design or qualification deficiency confirmed not to result in loss of operability.

The inspectors determined that this finding had a cross-cutting aspect in the area of human performance because the completeness of the design documents, procedures, and work packages used during the maintenance activities in April 2008, were not sufficiently complete to ensure design standards were implemented. (H.2(c)).

Inspection Report# : [2008003](#) (*pdf*)

**G**

**Significance:** May 16, 2008

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **Inadequate Procedure Guidance to Address Spurious Failures of the RCIC and LPCI Systems**

The team identified a Green non-cited violation of technical specification 5.4.1.d for failure to provide adequate procedure directions in Attachment 6 of AOP-28, "Operation During Plant Fires," Rev. 18, for operators to restore the RCIC system and secure the "A" RHR pump from potential fire-induced cable failures. The licensee entered this issue into their corrective action program and implemented procedure changes to provide operators appropriate guidance to address the spurious failures of both RCIC and LPCI "A" systems in the event of fire in fire zone RB-1C.

The finding was more than minor because it affected the procedure quality attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences (i.e., core damage). Specifically, Entergy had not established adequate procedure guidance to restore the RCIC system and secure the "A" RHR pump from fire-induced cable failures in the event of a fire in fire zone RB-1C. The team assessed this finding in accordance with NRC IMC 0609, Appendix F, "Fire Protection Significance Determination Process." This finding screened to very low safety significance (Green) in Phase 1 of the SDP because it was assigned a low degradation rating. The low degradation rating was assigned based on the team's review of the BWR Owners' Group response and walkdowns conducted of procedure AOP-28, "Operation During Plant Fires," Rev. 18. The team concluded that, although a spurious start of the "A" RHR pump with minimum flow condition could occur, an operator would reach the LPCI mode step in the procedure within the maximum expected minimum flow condition evaluated and specified in BWR Owners' Group response of thirty minutes. As a result, a low degradation rating was assigned. (Section 1R05.01)

Inspection Report# : [2008006](#) (*pdf*)

**G**

**Significance:** Dec 31, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **Failure to Perform a Risk Assessment When Required by 10 CFR Part 50.65(a)(4)**

A self-revealing NCV of 10 CFR Part 50.65 (a)(4), "Requirements for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants," was identified when Entergy failed to perform a risk assessment prior to commencing performance of Instrument Surveillance

Procedure ISP-175A1, "Reactor Containment Cooling Instrument Functional Test/ Calibration." This was due to instrument and control technicians performing the procedure which was not in accordance with the plant work schedule. This problem was entered into Entergy's corrective action program. Corrective actions included communicating the error to personnel, conducting human performance training, and improving administrative control of procedures.

The inspectors determined that the finding impacted the Mitigating Systems cornerstone because it impacted the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. The inspectors determined that the finding is more than minor because the licensee's risk assessment failed to consider risk significant structures, systems, and components (i.e., high pressure coolant injection and reactor core isolation cooling) that were unavailable during the maintenance period.

Using IMC 0609, Appendix K, "Maintenance Risk Assessment and Risk Management SDP," Flowchart 1, "Assessment of Risk Deficit," the inspectors determined the incremental core damage probability deficit from Entergy's core damage frequency as a result of the actual duration of ISP-175A1 (1.07 hours). The inspectors calculated the incremental core damage probability deficit and determined it to be significantly lower than 1E-6. Because the calculated risk deficit was not greater than 1E-6 incremental core damage probability deficit, the inspectors determined that this finding was of very low safety significance (Green).

The inspectors determined that this finding had a cross-cutting aspect in the area of human performance because the instrument and control technicians involved did not effectively implement the expected human error prevention techniques (e.g., self-checking, prejob briefs, and proper documentation of activities), to ensure the correct procedure was used in accordance with the work schedule. (H.4(a)) (Section 1R13)

Inspection Report# : [2007005](#) (*pdf*)

---

## Barrier Integrity

---

## Emergency Preparedness

---

## Occupational Radiation Safety

---

## Public Radiation Safety

**Significance:** SL-IV Sep 29, 2008

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

### **Failure to Make a Written Report of a Non-Conforming Condition Relative to an NRC-Approved Package**

A self-revealing NCV of 10 CFR Part 71.95 was identified because Entergy did not provide a written report to the NRC as required by 10 CFR Part 71.95 relative to a non-conforming condition involving the shipment of a NRC-approved package. Entergy was informed that a package it shipped to EnergySolutions™ Barnwell Low Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Facility was found to be in non-conformance with the applicable Certificate of Compliance for the package upon receipt, Entergy did not report the condition to the NRC within 60 days of the occurrence, as required. Failure of Entergy to report the condition, as required by 10 CFR Part 71.95, constitutes a performance deficiency in that the issue is the result of Entergy not meeting a regulatory requirement that was reasonably within Entergy's ability to foresee and correct, and should have been prevented. Entergy entered this issue into the corrective action program as condition report (CR)-2008-02772.

This violation involved a failure to make a required report to the NRC and is considered to impact the regulatory process. Such violations are dispositioned using traditional enforcement process instead of the Significance Determination Process. Using the Enforcement Policy Supplement IV "Transportation," example D4 which states, "a noncompliance with shipping papers, marking, labeling, placarding, packaging or loading not amounting to a Severity Level I, II, or III violation;" the NRC determined this violation is categorized as a SL IV Violation. The Enforcement Policy Supplement I "Reactor Operations" examples D3, D4, and D5 are similar to this issue, in that they discuss examples of failures to make required reports for more than minor events, which are also categorized at Severity Level IV.

This finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of problem identification and resolution related - corrective action program, because Entergy performed an insufficient evaluation of a non-conforming condition associated with an NRC-approved package to assure the matter was properly classified, prioritized and evaluated relative to reportability.

Inspection Report# : [2008004](#) (*pdf*)

---

## Physical Protection

Although the NRC is actively overseeing the Security cornerstone, the Commission has decided that certain findings pertaining to security cornerstone will not be publicly available to ensure that potentially useful information is not provided to a possible adversary. Therefore, the [cover letters](#) to security inspection reports may be viewed.

---

## Miscellaneous

Last modified : November 26, 2008