South Texas 2
4Q/2006 Plant Inspection Findings

Initiating Events

Significance: G Jul 07, 2006
Identified By: Self-Revealing
Item Type: FIN Finding
Inadequate Main Generator Reactive Power Test Procedure
A self-revealing finding was identified for the failure to provide an adequate procedure, which resulted in an unexpected initiation of a “Generator U/F (Under-Frequency) Loss of Field Voltage” alarm. This alarm would have caused a generator/turbine/reactor trip in 30 seconds. Prompt action by the operators to terminate the test prevented the trip. The licensee performed a thorough root cause of the event to determine the short and long term corrective actions.

This finding was greater than minor because it was associated with the procedure quality attribute affecting the Initiating Event Cornerstone objective of limiting the likelihood of those events that upset plant stability and challenge critical safety functions during power operations. This finding was determined to be a finding of very low safety significance because, although the likelihood of a reactor trip increased, the likelihood that mitigating systems would not be available did not increase and no transient actually occurred
Inspection Report# : 2006003 *(pdf)*

Mitigating Systems

Significance: G Apr 07, 2006
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Inadequate Motor-Operated Valve Operation Method
The inspectors identified a noncited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix R, Section III.L.3, in that the method used to position motor-operated valves (“hot-sticking”) following a fire in the control room was not independent of the fire area. Specifically, a portion of each valve control circuit was located in the control room. A fire affecting those circuits could result in mal-operation or over-thrusting of the valves.

The failure to ensure that all circuits relied on for safe shutdown in response to a control room fire were free of the fire area was a performance deficiency. The issue was more than minor because it affected the reliability objective of the Equipment Performance attribute under the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone. Specifically, motor-operated valves that are relied upon to achieve post fire safe shutdown were less because parts of their control circuits could be damaged by the fire. A Senior Reactor Analyst evaluated the safety significance of this finding using Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process," Appendix F, and determined that the finding constituted a low level of degradation for post fire safe shutdown equipment. Therefore, the finding was of very low safety significance.

Inspection Report# : 2006002 *(pdf)*

Significance: G Apr 07, 2006
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Inadequate Alternate Shutdown Analysis
The inspectors identified a noncited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix R, Section III.L.1 because the thermohydraulic analysis was inconsistent with actions allowed in the South Texas Project licensing basis for a control room evacuation.

Specifically, the analysis inappropriately credited certain manual actions from the control room that are required to be performed in the field.

The failure to have an adequate written evaluation available for a control room fire scenario was a performance deficiency. This issue was more than minor because it affected the Mitigating Systems cornerstone attributes of protection from external factors (fire). The inadequate analysis over-estimated the amount of time available when accomplishing shutdown actions and, during walkdowns, the inspectors could not verify compliance with the requirements. A Senior Reactor Analyst evaluated the safety significance of this finding using Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process," Appendix F, and determined that the finding constituted a low level of degradation for post fire safe shutdown analysis. Therefore, the finding was of very low safety significance.

Inspection Report# : 2006002 *(pdf)*

Barrier Integrity

Significance: G Oct 12, 2006
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Inadequate Procedural Guidance for Verifying Control Room Ventilation Doors are Secured
The inspectors identified three examples and the licensee identified one example of a noncited violation of Technical Specification 6.8.1.a for the failure to provide an adequate procedure to ensure that doors, which provide access through the control room envelope/heating, ventilation, and air conditioning system were properly closed and latched, and controlled and maintained. The licensee rolled up all the recent door failures into two condition reports, one to address the mechanical aspects and another to address the human performance aspects.

The inspectors determined that having an inadequate procedure for the control of doors that encompass the control room envelope system to be a performance deficiency. This finding is greater than minor because it affected the barrier integrity attribute of procedure quality under maintaining radiological barrier functionality of the control room and it affected the cornerstone objective to provide reasonable assurance that physical design barriers protect the public from radionuclide releases caused by accidents or events by maintaining the operational capability of the control room envelope heating, ventilation, and air conditioning boundary. Using the Phase 1 worksheets in Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, “Significance Determination Process,” the issue was determined to have very low safety significance because the finding only represented a degradation of the radiological barrier function for the control room. In addition, this finding had a crosscutting aspect with respect to problem identification and resolution in that the licensee did not fully evaluate and assess information from the corrective action program in the aggregate to identify programmatic and common cause problems as a result of having an inadequate procedure for the operation and maintenance of the control room envelope doors.

Inspection Report# : 2006004 *(pdf)*

Emergency Preparedness

Occupational Radiation Safety

Public Radiation Safety

Significance: G Jan 26, 2006
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation
Failure to Confine Radioactive Material to A Radiologically Controlled Area
The team reviewed two examples of a self-revealing non-cited violation of Technical Specification 6.8.1, resulting from the licensee's failure to prevent radioactive material from being unconditionally released from a radiologically controlled area. The first example involved a radiation detection instrument with fixed radioactive contamination. The second example involved a contaminated lifting sling that was used to remove equipment and containers from the containment building. In both examples, the radioactive material was identified after it was removed from a radiologically controlled area but before it left the protected area. Corrective actions for the first example involved counseling the responsible individual. Corrective actions for the second example are still being evaluated. Both examples were entered into the licensee's corrective action program as Condition Reports 04-4266 and 05-14345. This finding is greater than minor because it was associated with a Public Radiation Safety cornerstone attribute (material release) and it affected the associated cornerstone objective in that the failure to control radioactive material decreases the licensee's assurance that the public will not receive unnecessary dose. Using the Public Radiation Safety Significance Determination Process, the team determined that the finding had very low safety significance because: (1) the finding was a radioactive material control finding, (2) it was not a transportation finding, (3) it did not result in public dose greater than 0.005 rem, and (4) radioactive material was not released from the protected area more than five times. Additionally, this finding had cross-cutting aspects associated with human performance. In the first example, a radiation protection technician failed to maintain direct supervision of the contaminated instrument. In the second example, the procedural guidance allowed the licensee to use only portable GM instruments on large items despite the loss of detection sensitivity.

Inspection Report# : 2006008 *(pdf)*

Physical Protection

Physical Protection information not publicly available.

Miscellaneous

Significance: N/A Oct 05, 2006
Identified By: NRC
Item Type: FIN Finding
Corrective Action Program Assessment
The inspectors reviewed approximately 253 condition reports, 23 work orders, associated root and apparent cause evaluations, and other supporting documentation to assess problem identification and resolution activities. Overall, the team identified that the licensee was effective at identifying problems and putting them into the corrective action program. The licensee's effectiveness at problem identification was evidenced by the relatively few deficiencies identified by external organizations (including the NRC) that had not been previously identified by the licensee, during the review period. The licensee effectively used risk in prioritizing the extent to which individual problems would be evaluated and in establishing schedules for implementing corrective actions. Corrective actions, when specified, were generally implemented in a timely manner. Licensee audits and assessments were found to be effective and highlighted a similar concern in the root cause area.

Operating experience usage was also found to be effective. Self assessment results adequately identified problems and proposed corrective actions to address these problems. On the basis of interviews conducted during this inspection, the team found that in general workers at the site felt free to input safety findings into the corrective action program, raise nuclear safety concerns to their supervision, bring concerns to the employee concerns program, and bring concerns to the NRC. During interviews, licensee personnel generally expressed confidence that nuclear safety issues that were entered into the corrective action program would be appropriately addressed. However, NRC's final assessment of the safety conscious work environment at is still under NRC review, pending final resolution of 10 CFR 2.206 petition.

During interviews, licensee personnel expressed confidence that nuclear safety issues that were entered into the corrective action program would be appropriately addressed. The inspectors found that the licensee's employee concerns program appropriately identified and adequately addressed nuclear safety concerns. The team concluded that overall a positive safety-conscious work environment existed at the South Texas Project Electric Generating Station.

Inspection Report# : 2006009 *(pdf)*

Last modified : March 01, 2007