

## Oconee 2

# 2Q/2005 Plant Inspection Findings

---

### Initiating Events

**Significance:**  Dec 31, 2004

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **Failure to Maintain Design Clearances on Feedwater Piping Whip Restraints**

The inspectors identified a non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, Instructions, Procedures and Drawings, for the failure to maintain design clearances on Unit 2 feedwater piping whip restraints. Specifically, the inspectors identified that clearances between the Unit 2 feedwater pipe whip restraint nuts and structural mounting plates were not in accordance with (i.e., significantly less than) the gap requirements specified in the associated design drawing; thereby, creating additional piping stresses while at normal operating conditions. This finding was greater than minor because it is associated with the configuration control attribute and affected the objective of the Initiating Events Cornerstone to limit the likelihood of events that challenge critical safety functions. In addition, if left uncorrected, this finding could have become a more significant safety concern, in that continued increased stresses on the feedwater piping and the uncertainties in the analyses could have resulted in a piping failure. The finding was evaluated using the Reactor Safety SDP and determined to be of very low safety significance because the inspectors determined that the licensee's conclusion, that the pipe would not have failed at the time of discovery, was reasonable. (Section 40A5.11)

Inspection Report# : [2004005\(pdf\)](#)

**Significance:**  Sep 25, 2004

Identified By: Self Disclosing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **Failure to Correct the Degraded Condition of the 525 kV Switchyard Load Center Cabinets**

A self-revealing non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion XVI, was identified for a failure to correct water intrusion problems in the 525kV switchyard load centers, which resulted in the loss of the 525kV and 230kV switchyard auxiliary power supply during a period of heavy rain. The finding was considered to be more than minor because it affected the initiating events cornerstone objective by increasing the likelihood of events that upset plant stability, in that the loss of the auxiliary power to the switchyards would eventually lead to a loss of offsite power or a loss of the safety-related overhead power path from the Keowee hydroelectric units. In addition, the loss of offsite power could lead to a plant trip. In the SDP Phase 1 screening, the finding was determined to be of very low safety significance. Specifically, because the units were in the process of reducing power and would have been shut down before the switchyard batteries were actually depleted, the issue did not increase both the likelihood of a reactor trip and the likelihood that mitigation equipment (Keowee overhead path) would be lost. This finding has cross-cutting aspects related to PI&R (Section 1R12b.(2)).

Inspection Report# : [2004004\(pdf\)](#)

---

### Mitigating Systems

**Significance:**  Dec 31, 2004

Identified By: Self Disclosing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **Incorrect Wiring of the SSF Submersible Pump Motor Leads**

A self-revealing non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XI, Test Control, was identified for the failure to establish and perform adequate testing to ensure that the standby shutdown facility (SSF) submersible pump would operate correctly to provide SSF equipment with a makeup source of water to the Unit 2 condenser circulating water (CCW) header when called upon. Specifically, the licensee's test program had failed to reveal that the pump's power leads had been reversed since November 19, 1992, despite the performance of twelve surveillances between November 19, 1992, and February 3, 2004. Failure to maintain the SSF submersible pump in a ready to operate condition was considered to be more than minor, in that, its incorrectly wired motor leads directly affected the cornerstone objective to ensure equipment reliability of a mitigating system (i.e., the SSF). A Phase 3 risk analysis determined that this issue was of very low risk significance. This was based primarily on the availability of an alternate source of water inventory to fill the Unit 2 CCW header (i.e., via reverse, gravity supplied CCW flow from Lake Keowee through the unit's condensate coolers). (Section 40A5.8)

Inspection Report# : [2004005\(pdf\)](#)

**Significance:**  Sep 25, 2004

Identified By: Self Disclosing

Item Type: FIN Finding

### **Inadequate Maintenance and Oversight of the 5C Lee Combustion Turbine**

A self-revealing finding was identified for the inadequate maintenance and oversight of the 5C Lee Combustion Turbine (LCT), which resulted in a condition that caused the turbine to trip off-line while being relied upon as the standby source of emergency power during the Keowee dual unit outage. The finding was considered to be more than minor because it affected the mitigating systems cornerstone objectives for ensuring availability, reliability and capability of systems that are in place to respond to initiating events, in that the 5C LCT was being operated as the standby source of emergency power during the initial Keowee dual unit outage when it failed. The issue was determined to be of very low safety significance based on the Phase 1 SDP screening results that the finding "did not" represent a loss of safety function of a non-Technical Specification train of equipment designated as risk significant, in that the 6C LCT and dedicated power path from Lee Station to Oconee remained operable and in service (Section 1R12b.(1)).

Inspection Report# : [2004004\(pdf\)](#)

**G**

**Significance:** Sep 25, 2004

Identified By: Self Disclosing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

### **Improperly Fabricated Connecting Rod in Keowee Output Breaker ACB-2**

A self-revealing non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion VIII, was identified for inadequate control of materials, parts, and components associated with an improperly fabricated connecting rod in Keowee hydroelectric unit (KHU) -2 output breaker ACB-2. This resulted in the connecting rod pulling apart and KHU-2 failing to load on July 29, 2004. The finding was considered to be more than minor because it affected the mitigating systems cornerstone objectives for ensuring availability, reliability and capability of systems that are in place to respond to events, in that following the rod failure in ACB-2, the Keowee overhead emergency power path became inoperable. Although the finding represented an actual loss of the safety function of a single train, it was determined to be of very low safety significance because it did not exceed the allowed Technical Specification outage time (Section 4OA2.2b.(2)).

Inspection Report# : [2004004\(pdf\)](#)

**W**

**Significance:** Jul 20, 2004

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: VIO Violation

### **Failure to Meet Licensing Basis for Staffing the SSF in the Event of a Confirmed Fire**

A violation was identified for failure to comply with 10 CFR 50, Appendix R, Sections III.L.2.b and III.L.3, in that, for a severe fire in areas requiring the manning of the Standby Shutdown Facility (SSF) and activation of the SSF makeup pump, the licensees' method for implementing their alternative shutdown capability did not ensure that the reactor coolant makeup function would be capable of maintaining the reactor coolant level within the level indication of the pressurizer.

Inspection Report# : [2004013\(pdf\)](#)

Inspection Report# : [2005006\(pdf\)](#)

**Significance:** N/A Jan 23, 2004

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: VIO Violation

### **Failure to Obtain Prior NRC Approval to a Change to the Facility Involving Unreviewed Safety Questions on High Energy Line Break Analysis**

The inspectors identified an apparent violation of 10 CFR 50.59 (a)(1) (1999 version of 10 CFR) which states, in part, that the licensee may make changes in the facility as described in the safety analysis report without prior Commission approval, provided the proposed change does not involve an unreviewed safety question (USQ). 10 CFR 50.59 (a)(2) states, in part, that a proposed change involves an USQ if the probability of occurrence or malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the safety analysis report may be increased, or if it may create an accident different from any previously evaluated.

On May 17, 2001, the licensee made a change to the facility, as described in the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report, Section 3.6.1.3, associated with the High Energy Line Break (HELB) analysis, which involved unreviewed safety questions, and failed to obtain prior NRC approval. The UFSAR Section was changed to increase the maximum initiation time following HELB of Emergency Feedwater from 15 to 30 minutes and of High Pressure Injection from 1 hour to 8 hours (based on referenced reports and analysis). The analysis discussed an increased cycling of pressurizer Safety Relief Valves on steam and water, boiler condenser mode of decay heat removal, and an unapproved computer code for application to HELB, but failed to recognize that such changes may increase the probability of occurrence or the consequences of a malfunction of equipment important to safety or may create an accident different from any previously evaluated. In addition, the change resulted in more than a minimal increase in risk.

Based on the results of the inspection, a pre-decisional enforcement conference was held on March 2, 2004, in the NRC's Region II Office in Atlanta, Georgia, with the licensee staff to discuss the apparent violation, its significance, root causes, and corrective actions. Based on the information developed during the inspection, and the information presented at the conference, the NRC determined that a violation of NRC requirements occurred. On April 8, 2004, the NRC issued a Notice of Violation (NOV) and proposed imposition of a \$60,000 Civil Penalty (ADAMS accession number ML040990355). The violation involves a failure to adhere to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.59, in that Duke Energy Corporation made changes to the Oconee facility as described in Section 3.6.1.3 of the UFSAR and referenced analyses that involved unreviewed safety questions (USQs) without obtaining prior NRC approval.

Inspection Report# : [2004005\(pdf\)](#)

Inspection Report# : [2004007\(pdf\)](#)

Inspection Report# : [2005002\(pdf\)](#)

---

## Barrier Integrity

**Significance:**  Mar 31, 2005

Identified By: Self Disclosing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

### **Inadequate Corrective Actions Following 3B RBCU Fan Failure Results in 2A RBCU Fan Failure**

A self-revealing, non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion XVI, Corrective Action, was identified for inadequate corrective actions following the 3B reactor building cooling unit (RBCU) fan blade failure, which led to the failure of a 2A RBCU fan blade. The finding was considered to be more than minor because it affected the barrier integrity cornerstone attribute of maintaining containment functionality, in that the failure to fully identify and correct the causes of the 3B RBCU fan blade failure resulted in a 2A RBCU fan blade failure less than eight months later. However, during an event requiring control of the containment environment with one RBCU inoperable, the two remaining RBCUs and two trains of reactor building spray would have been available to mitigate the consequences of the event; consequently, the finding was determined to be of very low safety significance using the SDP Phase 1 analysis. This finding also involved the cross-cutting aspect of problem identification and resolution. (Section 4OA2.2)

Inspection Report# : [2005002\(pdf\)](#)

**Significance:**  Mar 31, 2005

Identified By: Self Disclosing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

### **Improper Thermal Overloads Installed in the Unit 1/2, B Train, Control Room Outside Air Booster Fan**

A self-revealing, non-cited violation of 10 CFR 50 Appendix B, Criterion III, Design Control, was identified for the installation of improperly sized thermal overloads on the Unit 1/2, B train, control room outside air booster fan (CROABF). The finding was considered to be more than minor because it affected the barrier integrity cornerstone attribute of control room habitability, in that the thermal overload relays in the Unit 1/2, train B, CROABF were undersized for the operating current of the fan's motor, resulting in the motor tripping after 2.5 hours of operation during a post maintenance test. Because the finding represented a degradation of the barrier function of the control room against smoke and/or a toxic atmosphere, a Phase 3 evaluation was performed. This evaluation concluded that the finding was of very low safety significance. (Section 4OA3.2)

Inspection Report# : [2005002\(pdf\)](#)

---

## Emergency Preparedness

---

## Occupational Radiation Safety

---

## Public Radiation Safety

---

## Physical Protection

[Physical Protection](#) information not publicly available.

---

## Miscellaneous

Last modified : August 24, 2005