Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Public Scoping Meeting for License Renewal

Surry Power Station - Evening Session

Docket Numbers: 50-280, 50-281

Location: Surry, Virginia

Date: Wednesday, September 19, 2001

Work Order No.: NRC-018 Pages 1-64

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	•
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + +
4	PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING FOR LICENSE RENEWAL
5	SURRY POWER STATION
6	+ + + +
7	
8	WEDNESDAY,
9	SEPTEMBER 19, 2001
10	+ + + +
11	The Public Scoping Meeting took place at
12	the Surry Combined District Courtroom, Surry County
13	Government Center, 45 School Street, Surry, Virginia,
14	at 7:00 p.m., Chip Cameron, Facilitator, presiding.
15	PRESENT:
16	CHIP CAMERON
17	CHRIS GRIMES
18	BOB PRATO
19	ANDY KUGLER
20	ERNEST BLOUNT
21	TERRY LEWIS
22	JIM DISHNER
23	RICHARD BLOUNT
24	BILL BOLIN
25	SENATOR FRED QUAYLE

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1 2 (7:00 p.m.)3 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Good evening, everybody. I would like to welcome all of you to the 4 Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Scoping Meeting on the 5 6 preparation of an environmental impact statement for 7 Dominion's license application for renewal of license at Surry Units 1 and 2. 8 9 My name is Chip Cameron, I'm the Special 10 Counsel for Public Liaison at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. I'm pleased to be your facilitator for 11 12 tonight's meeting. 13 And I just want to cover three things 14 briefly about the meeting process before we go to the substance of the discussion tonight. 15 16 The first is the objectives for tonight's 17 meeting. Secondly I would like to go over the format and ground rules for the meeting tonight. And, lastly 18 I would like to talk a little bit about the agenda, so 19 20 that you know what to expect. of the objectives for the 21 In terms 22 the NRC is going to provide you with 23 information, tonight, on the license renewal process,

specifically the environmental analysis that is done

to assist the NRC in determining whether to renew the licenses at Surry.

This is called a scoping meeting. And scoping is a term that is used in connection with the preparation of Environmental Impact Statements. The Environmental Impact Statement is a document that serves to assist the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in making its decision on the renewal applications.

And scoping is an opportunity for the NRC to find out any information that they should consider in preparing the Environmental Impact Statement. And we are going to hear more on that whole process in a minute.

The most important part of the meeting tonight is to hear from you out there on potential environmental impacts that the NRC should consider in preparing the Environmental Impact Statement.

The NRC will also be taking written comments on the scoping issues, but we wanted to be here with you tonight to talk to you in person. And you may hear information tonight from the NRC staff, or from members in the community that may help you prepare, if you want to do so, help you to prepare your written comments.

But I would just emphasize that any comments that the NRC hears tonight will carry the same weight as any comments that are submitted in writing.

In terms of format there are two segments to tonight's meeting. The first segment is going to consist of two brief presentations from the NRC staff, and we will go on to you for any questions you might have about the issues that are discussed in those presentations.

And then we will move to the second segment, which in that segment we will give anybody who wants to make a formal comment, an opportunity to come up here and make a formal comment that the NRC will consider in developing the Environmental Impact Statement.

We do have a stenographer with us tonight, we are keeping a transcript of the meeting, and that transcript will be available to the public.

In terms of ground rules, because we are keeping a transcript, if you do want to either ask a question, or make a formal statement, I would just ask you to give us your name, and affiliation, if appropriate.

I would also ask that only one person speak at a time tonight so that the stenographer can get a clear transcript of who is talking. But, more importantly, so that we can give our full attention to whomever has the floor at the time.

I do want to make sure that everybody who wants to speak has an opportunity to speak tonight. So I would just ask you to be concise. We don't have a whole lot of people who are going to be making formal comments, so that we can be a little bit relaxed about time.

But I would offer as a guideline approximately five minutes for any formal comments that you might have tonight.

In terms of the agenda, we are going to go first to Mr. Chris Grimes, who is right here. And Chris is going to be talking about the license renewal process, generally. He is the branch chief of -- I'm going to pull this out of my pocket, again, so I remember it, the License Renewal and Standardization Branch, within Our Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

And Chris and the people who work for him are responsible for evaluating the safety issues

1 associated with evaluating the license renewal 2 application. 3 They also take the information that comes in from the Environmental Impact Statement, and any 4 5 inspection findings, and they integrate that with the 6 analysis of the safety issues, and make an initial recommendation on whether the license application 7 should be renewed. 8 is going to give us 9 So Chris 10 He has been with the NRC since 1973, and overview. now has this important responsibility. 11 12 bachelor's degree in nuclear engineering from Oregon 13 State. 14 And the second presentation is going to be by Andy Kugler, Andy is the environmental project 15 16 manager for the preparation of the Environmental 17 Impact Statement on the Surry license renewal 18 applications. 19 And he is going to talk about what is 20 involved in the Environmental Impact Statement 21 preparation process, and how you can give us comments 22 on scoping, and what is going to happen further down 23 the line. 24 background, Andy, by way of has 25 bachelor's degree from Cooper Union in New York City,

and a master's in technical management from Johns 1 2 Hopkins University. And before he came to the NRC he 3 was a senior licensed reactor operator for Gulf States Utilities. 4 5 So they are going to make a presentation, 6 we will see if you have any questions, make sure that what they present is clear, and then we will go to the 7 formal comments for this evening. 8 9 And I would just thank you for being here. 10 We do have something called a feedback form that is a survey, a questionnaire I should say, that provides 11 12 information to us, from you, on how well we did in 13 terms of this public meeting. 14 In other words, what areas could we have 15 improved on. That is out at the registration desk 16 outside. So if you do have some thoughts, please 17 provide them to us. And what I would like to do now is go to 18 Chris Grimes and have him tell us about license 19 20 renewal process, generally. As Chip 21 MR. GRIMES: Thank you, Chip. mentioned my name is Chris Grimes, I'm the chief of 22 23 the License Renewal and Standardization Branch in the

NRC's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. And I'm

responsible for the overall programs related to power reactor license renewals.

The current operating licenses for Surry Units 1 and 2 expire in 2012, and 2013. And as Chip mentioned, we have received an application for the renewal of those licenses from Virginia Power, now Dominion Energy.

The purpose of my presentation is I want to provide a context and an overall description of what the license renewal process involves, as it relates to the description that Mr. Kugler is going to describe, related to the specific process for developing an Environmental Impact Statement for this Surry application.

The license renewal process mirrors the same process that was used when the operating licenses were originally, when the operating licenses were originally granted, when the plants were constructed.

The authority that the NRC has is from the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, and it provides that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is responsible for protecting public health and safety, for protecting the environment, and for providing for the common defense and security.

The Atomic Energy Act provided that the license term for power reactors would be 40 years. But the Act also recognized and provided that there would be an opportunity to renew the licenses.

As a result in the early '80s the Nuclear Regulatory Commission looked at a process for making a decision on a license renewal application. And we developed regulations in Part 54 to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, which you will hear us refer to as 10 CFR 54.

And it incorporates provisions for the conduct of an Environmental Impact Statement in Part 51 to Title 10, or 10 CFR 51.

Utilities are currently making applications for license renewal, even though their applications don't expire until 2012, 2013, or somewhere in that time frame, because there is approximately a 10 year planning horizon for a utility to develop plans and start construction for major new generating capacity.

The utility's interest right now is in understanding what the expectations are for continued plant operation beyond the current license term, in order for them to be able to assess whether or not it is economically feasible to continue plant operation.

And so the Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1 2 established this process so that the utilities would 3 have a clear understanding of what the expectations would be. 4 5 The license renewal process consists of, 6 essentially, two basic tasks. Upon receipt of an 7 application we have a safety review, as well as an environmental review. 8 9 The review process also provides that the 10 safety review is independently reviewed by Commission's Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, 11 12 or ACRS. 13 That committee is made up of recognized 14 experts from the industry and academia who have 15 particular expertise. They make judgements about the 16 staff's evaluation, and they present their findings 17 separately to the Commission. We also have an independent -- excuse me, 18 19 we have an inspection program that verifies the contents of the application, the condition of the 20 plant, and the basis in the staff's safety evaluation 21 22 report, and that is also provided to the Commission. 23 The scope of the license renewal review as

it relates to the safety issues, is based on the

ability of the utility to manage aging effects for passive long-lived system structures and components.

The Commission concluded that that could be a focus for deciding on license renewal, because the ongoing regulatory process provides for routine monitoring and changes to the current licensing basis, and to other processes that essentially are maintained on an ongoing basis.

For example emergency plans and security plans are things that are routinely updated and revised as there is a need. But for the purpose of a decision about the underlying design margins of the plant, the Commission concluded that focusing on aging effects, and ensuring that there are adequate inspection and maintenance programs to provide for the maintenance of the margins of safety for passive long-lived systems, structures and components, for example, structures, piping, vessels, those things that aren't routinely tested through active testing.

I would like to introduce Bob Prato. Bob Prato is the safety project manager, he is Mr. Kugler's counterpart. Bob Prato is responsible for preparing a safety evaluation that would apply to both Surry and North Anna, because Dominion submitted a combined application.

1 We will have two separate environmental 2 however, because there reviews, are two 3 involved. Mr. Prato and I will be available after the meeting if there are any specific questions that you 4 5 might have about the safety review, or the safety 6 issues. 7 But unless there are any questions about the general overall process description, I'm going to 8 9 ask Mr. Kugler to go into more detail about the 10 environmental process. FACILITATOR CAMERON: Any questions? 11 12 (No response.) 13 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Okay. Thank you, 14 Chris. Andy? Thank you, Chip. Again, my 15 MR. KUGLER: 16 name is Andy Kugler, and I'm the environmental project 17 manager for the review of the license applications for both Surry and North Anna. 18 Tonight 19 we are just focusing on Surry. I'm leading a team of experts who will be 20 reviewing the environmental impacts of the action that 21 is proposed. The team is made up of a number of staff 22 23 from the NRC, as well as personnel from some of our 24 national labs, who we have brought in as experts in

certain areas, to assist us in the evaluation.

The National Environmental Policy Act was 1 2 enacted in 1969, and it provides for a systematic 3 approach to evaluate environmental impacts. evaluate the environmental impacts of the action that 4 5 is proposed, and we also consider mitigation for any 6 significant impacts that we may find. 7 In addition we also consider the impacts of any alternatives that may be available to the 8 9 proposed action. And that would include what we call 10 the "no-action" alternative. In other words, simply not renewing the licenses. 11 12 We evaluate the impacts of the action, and 13 any alternatives, and then in the Environmental Impact 14 Statement we describe those and indicate which of the 15 alternatives is best. 16 The process that we are going through, in 17 developing the Environmental Impact Statement, involves the disclosure of information to the public, 18 19 allowing you to understand what we are doing, making information available to you on the environmental 20 21 impacts, and looking for input from you on areas of 22 concern that you may have.

any specific issues that we might not be aware of, at

a given site, that you are aware of, or specific

In particular we look to see if there are

23

24

concerns that you may have, that we should address in our Environmental Impact Statement.

In the context of the National Environmental Policy Act we consider license renewal to be a major federal action. And what that means is we are required to develop an Environmental Impact Statement.

There are some lesser documents that can be prepared for lesser actions. But in this case we will be developing an Environmental Impact Statement, and as part of that process we will be collecting comments from the public.

I'm sorry, I failed to give you that slide. In this slide we talk about the decision standard that we use in our environmental review. In essence what we are doing is looking at the environmental impacts of the proposed action to determine whether or not, from an environmental standpoint, the action would be acceptable.

Once we reach our decision, and let's assume, for the sake of argument in this case, that we decide that it is acceptable to renew the licenses, that doesn't necessarily mean that the plant will be operated for an additional 20 years.

That decision is made by the owners of the plant, and by state and local officials who have a role in determining the energy policy for the state. Our decision simply gives them the permission to continue operation. But the decision whether or not they do lies with others. It is a distinction that is important in some cases.

This is a little bit more detailed look at the environmental review process. We received the

This is a little bit more detailed look at the environmental review process. We received the application, as indicated, in May of this year. In the middle of August we issued a notice of intent, that we were going to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.

And in that notice we also announced that we would perform scoping. And, in essence, scoping is simply the collection of comments and information that we will need in our review. And a portion of that, obviously, is comments from the public.

During this period we are also visiting the site. We are out here this week visiting the site, and the area around the site, to gather information for our review.

If we have questions that we need answered we will issue questions to the licensee, what we call requests for additional information. Those will be

public documents, as will be the responses from the licensee.

We expect to complete preparing our draft environmental impact statement toward the end of April of next year. At that point we will issue it for public comment. You will have a period of time in which to review the document, determine if you have any comments on it, indicate if we missed some point, or maybe mischaracterized something, or agreement.

We will also come back at that time and hold another public meeting like this one to collect comments from you if you wish to provide comments in the meeting format.

We expect to issue the final Environmental Impact Statement in December of next year. So it is a rather lengthy process.

We gather information from a number of sources, as I've indicated. In addition to our review of the environmental report submitted by the Applicant, and our review of the site, and the area around the site, during this week, we gather your comments. We also talk to the people in state, Federal, and local agencies. We talk to social service agencies, gather all the information that we need to perform our evaluation.

As I indicated our team is made up of a 1 2 number of experts in various fields. This slide gives 3 you an idea of the types of areas that we are looking We look at the air, water usage, we look at 4 at. 5 cultural resources, and archeology. 6 We also look at socioeconomics, something that a lot of people don't necessarily consider when 7 we say environmental. But we do consider the economic 8 9 impacts of operation of the plant, or non-operation of 10 the plant, or of any of the alternatives. A basic milestone, as I've mentioned, we 11 12 are in the scoping process right now. We will -- the 13 comment period closes on October 15th. At that point 14 we will complete writing the draft. It will take us 15 some months from there. 16 We will issue it at the end of April, and 17 by December of next year we expect to issue the final 18 report. The documents related to the environmental 19 review are available on our website. Many of them are 20 also available in ADAMS, if any of you are familiar 21 22 with that system, it is our document system. 23 But we are also putting the documents into 24 a local library. The name of it is the Swem Library

on the campus of the College of William and Mary. For

those of you who may be familiar with it, it is what 1 2 used to be the local public document room a few years 3 So the documents are also available there. That was a more local spot for us to make them 4 5 available. 6 I am the agency's point of contact for the 7 environmental review. I have given you my phone number here. If you have any questions, or concerns, 8 9 particularly about the process, feel free to call me. 10 The -- as I indicated, the documents are available in several locations. But if you have 11 12 trouble accessing something call me about that, I can 13 help you out with that, as well. 14 In terms of submitting comments, other 15 than in this meeting, as Chip mentioned, any comments 16 you make this evening will be transcribed, and will be 17 treated the same as written comments. 18 But there are other ways to submit 19 comments. You can mail them to the address shown here. 20 You can also drop them off in person, although not too 21 many people take advantage of that option. But if you 22 want to come up to Rockville. 23 We also have a mail box established, so

that you can email comments in, if you prefer. So we

1 try to give you as many options as possible, whatever 2 suits you best. 3 That is the conclusion of my points. want to thank you all for coming here this evening, 4 5 and thank you for your attention. 6 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Andy. 7 Before we go into the segment of the meeting where we 8 are going to hear formal comments from all of you, are 9 there any questions to Andy about the Environmental 10 Impact Statement process that he just described? 11 (No response.) 12 FACILITATOR CAMERON: I would note that 13 Andy, Chris Grimes, the other NRC staff people are 14 going to be here after the meeting, so that if you 15 need information, you want to talk to them, they will 16 be here to talk to you. 17 And what I would like to do now is I would like to go to Mr. Ernest Blount, who is the Chair of 18 19 the Surry County Board of Supervisors for a first 20 comment. Mr. Blount, you can either use that one, or 21 if you are more comfortable, come on up here. 22 is a place to put your notes if you want. 23 MR. BLOUNT: Good evening citizens, and 24 the public. My name is Ernest Blount, Chairman of

Surry County Board of Supervisors. I would like to

thank the NRC for inviting me, and have the opportunity to make comments and input about the license renewal of the Surry Nuclear Power Plant.

I've had opportunities to tour the power plant, and some input that I will give to you tonight will be hands-on. In touring the power plant, and I had a tour through the vice president, whose name was Richard Blount.

It was an excellent tour, it made me feel even more comfortable about the operations, and what goes on at Surry Nuclear Power Plant.

Safety, in touring the plant, was one of the top priorities at the Surry Plant. The station being here in the county has a history of safe and reliable operations. Every day the station earns respect from people on the NRC side, because I feel that in my tour, you had members of the NRC that are residents, that are there on a daily basis, checking for the safe operations and unsafe, as well, of the Surry Power station.

The station's professional people continually monitor the station's operations on a daily basis to ensure that the station complies with the regulations and the units do not have adverse impact on the local environments here in Surry County.

1 It has an excellent operation record, 2 primarily because of the maintenance testing and 3 corrective actions that take place at the Surry Power station. 4 5 Electricity provided by Surry 6 station is electric that does not give off emissions, emission-free type, and it is very important to the 7 economy of Surry, Virginia, and other areas as well. 8 9 Again, safety is a top priority at Surry, 10 and it is planned in its daily work activities, and its safe practices are reinforced through training 11 12 and continuous training throughout issues, 13 community. 14 They even reach outside to the community, 15 training with various rescue and fire agencies 16 throughout the county to keep us informed on what 17 happens at the power station. It was built with safety in mind, and 18 19 again, safety I feel is one of the main issues why we 20 are here this evening. 21 Surry, even on the environmental side, it is quality, being that it does not give off emissions, 22 23 even in the air it does not give off the products that 24 are associated with some emission fuels. So that is

even safe for our natural resources.

1 And, again, our waterways, our water streams, they have safety in mind, you know, with our 2 3 fish and wildlife, even at the intake. And they have designed a special fish separating system intake 4 5 screen that separates, and where it goes into the 6 James river as well. They also have a migratory bird policy, which looks out for our birds, as well. 7 8 Surry's impact and importance to state and 9 local economics, the Surry power station plays a 10 crucial role in providing low cost energy which makes Virginia more attractive to businesses, as well as 11 12 Surry county. 13 The power station, itself, creates and 14 develops a type of electricity and relicensing the 15 power station will ensure the Commonwealth has an 16 abundant and economical generating resource as it 17 enters into the competitive era. Surry power station provides a significant 18 19 tax revenue for Surry county. Since operation I think somewhere around 130 million dollars has generated in 20 21 property taxes in Surry, and even the year 2000 it 22 paid 10.3 million to Surry. And it plays a very 23 important impact to us, as well. 24 Surry employment provides employment for,

I guess, 900 to 1,000 people at the power station,

which contributes to the local economics here in the 1 2 community, and surrounding areas throughout Virginia. 3 For many years Surry power station has demonstrated commitment to the community. 4 Again, 5 training, the employees have programs, United Way has 6 generated income outside of the plant itself to help throughout the communities when people are in need. 7 They have partnered with Chippokes State 8 9 Park and has an ongoing program, the employees even 10 have volunteer programs to go and clean the roads, and the road even to the power plant is cleaned by the 11 12 employees on their time off. And so that is a very 13 significant impact to us, as well. 14 I would just like to say going into 2002, 15 it will celebrate its 350th anniversary. And the head 16 designer, I forgot to bring it with us today, and the 17 design of the cap itself was volunteered, and Surry power allowed its chief designer to prepare the logo 18 19 for Surry County, which we are proud of. 20 So, again, we support the relicensing of 21 the Surry Nuclear Power Plant. And, again, we know safety is in mind, and safety is a key issue with you 22 23 Thank you for your time. 24 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, 25 Chairman Blount.

1 Next I would like Mr. Terry Lewis. 2 is the County administrator for Surry County, to come 3 up and talk to us. MR. LEWIS: Thank you, and good evening to 4 I will be very brief, I won't take long at 5 6 Mr. Blount has covered many of the topics that are of concern to Surry county as far as the plant's 7 operation in the county is concerned. 8 9 And I won't be redundant by repeating 10 those comments that he has made. There are several like things, though, simply would 11 Ι just 12 And first off is the fact that Surry underscore. 13 power station is very, very important to Surry County. 14 feel that it has been And we of 15 significant benefit to the county through the years 16 that it has been located here, and certainly we do 17 look forward to having a very good relationship with the plant, its operators, its managers, as far as the 18 19 future is concerned. 20 And when I say that the Surry power station has been of great benefit to the county, Mr. 21 22 Blount said it very well, in terms of the tax revenues 23 that are generated by the plant for Surry county. 24 Those revenues have helped the county to

do many things to improve itself. For instance we

have probably one of the better education systems in the state of Virginia. And certainly if you look at the number of dollars that are spent in the education system of Surry county, we have a superior one, because the number of dollars that we spend ranks Surry county in the top ten localities within the state, as far as dollars spent in education.

The other localities that are in that top ten happen to mostly be in the northern Virginia area. And, of course, many people recognize that northern Virginia is the most wealthy area of the state of Virginia.

So, again, by having the revenues that come from Virginia Power it enables us to spend a good tax dollar in our school system, one that allows us to rank very high in the rankings, along with localities within the northern Virginia area.

We have three modern school plants that have been erected in Surry county. And I doubt seriously if we did not have the revenue coming from the plant that the county would have been able to provide the kind of school complexes that we have.

We are all very proud of them, and we hope to be able to continue to do those kinds of things for our system in the future.

Secondly I would just simply like to underscore the fact that the Surry power station is not only of benefit to Surry county. It is of benefit to the region.

And, again Mr. Blount did underscore the fact that we looked at the fact that we have the plant here as being one of those things that enable other businesses to look at this region and see that we have the infrastructure, certainly power-wise, to be able to supply their needs.

But one of the things that many of you may not be aware of, and that is the plant being in Surry county, enables Surry county to be a net producer of jobs. That is to say that we have more people in commuting to jobs here in Surry county, than we have people commuting out of Surry county to jobs.

Now, it just so happens that that relationship means that many Surry people who are employed in businesses outside of the county, are employed in jobs that are on the low end of the wage scale.

The jobs that are available at Surry power station are high end, high paying jobs, highly skilled, highly technical people are employed in those jobs.

And that, again, means that we are out producing in terms of wealth for citizens in this region, we are producing more wealth by way of the income that people earn here, and are able to carry it to the communities that they reside in.

By the same token we tend to lose when we look at the income that people bring back to Surry county. So that is of significant benefit, again, to the region on a whole, and bear in mind we have people that are employed at the Surry power station that commute here from as far away as Virginia Beach, from the western side of Richmond.

So a large number of people throughout this region are benefitting by virtue of the plant being here in Surry county.

The last thing that I would simply like to underscore is the fact that Virginia Power is a very, very safe operation. I think many of us are very concerned about nuclear power production, nuclear power plants, and it became an even more alarming concern when we recognized the kind of accidents that could happen, such as we witnessed at Three Mile Island, or the Chernobyl plant.

Surry power plant, I happen to feel, is probably the safest plant of that particular type that

you will find, not only just in Virginia, or in the U.S., but perhaps in the world.

I also have had opportunity to witness the kind of training that individuals go through at the plant in order to be employed there, and in order to make certain that the system, the plant itself is maintained in a safe operating condition.

I'm very, very proud of the kind of security that the plant has by way of protecting it from the kind of terrorist attacks that we saw occur in New York and in the northern Virginia area over the past week.

There is a news article [ATTACHED] which I would like to leave with you all which appeared in today's newspaper. And it talks about the fact that the area lacks terrorism evacuation plans. There is an exception to that statement that you will find in the paper, and that exception is Surry Nuclear Power Plant.

And what the article goes on to say is that Surry power plant is the only industry in this area that does have an evacuation plan, not only for just the employees at the plant, and not only for just the citizens that are in Surry county, but for the entire region.

It is a plan that we practice continually, trying to make certain that it will work, and is workable.

And I would just simply say that if you recognize that in this region of the state, that we have many facilities here, military facilities, that have nuclear armament, military facilities that are prime targets for terrorist attacks, and to recognize that those facilities do not have evacuation plans, kind of leaves us a little bit at the mercy of any attacks that might happen here.

But, again, because of the foresight, and I recognize that NRC plays a role in this as well, but because of the foresight that we have in this community, on the part of the Surry Power Station we do have the ability to move our people out of here, and quickly, if there is an attack threatened.

That again, just a couple of points, several points, that I just simply would like to make at this time to just underscore the fact that Surry Power Station is very important to the county.

You raised the question what would be the condition of the county if the plant was not located here, that is if it was closed down. And I would simply say to you that rather than having the asset

that we recognize that Surry Power Station is at this 1 2 point we would, instead, be looking at it as being a 3 liability. Ιf close down that facility 4 5 recognize the fact that we would have to put into 6 place all types of security just to make certain that what remains in the county, the residue in terms of 7 radioactive material, would have to be guarded. 8 9 And most likely a lot of that work will 10 fall to the staff, the security people, the police department, the sheriff's department of Surry county. 11 12 Those kinds of security things will cost money. 13 So it is not only a matter of losing it in 14 terms of being a tax asset to the county, but also we 15 pick up the liability in terms of having to provide 16 the services that would be necessary to keep Surry 17 county secure in the event that the plant itself is closed. 18 19 Again, I thank you for taking the time to 20 come to Surry and giving us the opportunity to be able 21 to speak to you this evening. 22 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, 23 Mr. Lewis. What I would like to do is we will give a 24 copy of this to the NRC staff, but we will also try to

attach this to the transcript. So I can keep this for 1 2 now? 3 You certainly may. MR. LEWIS: would like to also leave with you, if I may, Dr. 4 Grayson Miller, who is the director of the Crater 5 6 Health Department, and Surry happens to be served by 7 the Crater Health Department [ATTACHED]. I leave that with you because I know from 8 9 time to time people do raise issues relative to health 10 concerns. And in my talking to Dr. Grayson Miller, he is not aware of any health concerns on the part of 11 12 people in this area. 13 So I leave that with you in case you need 14 to contact him directly. The NRC staff can 15 FACILITATOR CAMERON: 16 contact him and talk to Dr. Miller. Thank you very 17 much, Mr. Lewis. 18 MR. LEWIS: Certainly. 19 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Next we are going to 20 to Mr. Jim Dishner, and Mr. Dishner is the assistant chief and the fire marshal for York County. 21 Mr. Dishner. 22 23 MR. DISHNER: Good evening. As he said 24 I'm the assistant chief and fire marshal, but let me

change hats, and I'm now the deputy coordinator, as needed.

On behalf of the county administrator,

James McReynolds, and Steve Kochinski, who is the

emergency coordinator for the county, I thank the NRC,

Surry Power Station, and Dominion Generations for this

opportunity to speak tonight.

I've been involved in emergency management through the county for over 20 years. And I believe I can speak for the county saying that the county enjoys a great working relationship with the Surry Power Station and Dominion Generation.

While the county is across the river from Surry we can see the domes from time to time. But we are certainly within a ten mile radius of the power plant. And we view the power station as a great corporate neighbor to the county.

The power station has assisted the county in a number of different ways. One, they have provided us with a lot of equipment and training, and certainly classes to benefit us to be able to help the power station and the county to maintain that high level of readiness in the event of an emergency here at the power station.

And that is due to Virginia Power, Surry Power Station. And I believe I can speak saying that this year we have enjoyed probably the best VOPEX (Virginia Operation Exercise) exercise in the county that we have ever had, through some of that training that they have provided.

On another note because I'm a little bit different than most people and I enjoy playing in VOPEX drills all the time, and I think there should be one a year so that we stay focused, and we are up on top of rules, regulations, SOPs [Standard Operating Procedures] and training, that have convinced Dominion Generation to let me play at both power stations during the VOPEX exercises, which I think is great.

One reason it is great is it allows me to stay proficient. It provides a networking service so that we can talk to other coordinators and emergency management, look at North Anna's plan versus our plan. We get to play in the drills up there with them, then we come back and we look at our plan and make the necessary changes, so that we can certainly improve our operation here to the power station.

It also allows the county to help maintain that high level of readiness that we strive to keep.

I strive for one hundred percent on every drill so

that we can stay proficient, and stay up on top of 1 2 different things for the power station. 3 In closing I would like to say that I personally have enjoyed a great working relationship 4 5 with the Surry Power Station personnel, the plant, and 6 Dominion Generation, as the radiological officer as well. 7 And I'm sure and confident that this 8 9 relationship will only get better as time goes along. 10 Thank you. 11 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, 12 Let's go to two representatives from Dishner. 13 Dominion Energy. And I apologize, I think I have been 14 calling it Dominion Power, and maybe I still have it 15 wrong. 16 Mr. Richard Blount, site vice president at 17 Surry, can correct that if necessary. Good evening. 18 MR. BLOUNT: My name is 19 Richard Blount, I'm the site vice president of 20 Dominion Surry Nuclear Power Station. First I would like to thank the NRC for 21 22 having this meeting. I would like to thank everyone 23 else who has come out here for public input. 24 I will try to explain, I am part of 25 Dominion Generation. It is a little confusing thing,

I work for Dominion Energy, and Dominion Generation, 1 2 Dominion Energy, and Dominion. So it is all one 3 company, Dominion. Stick with Dominion, it makes life a lot easier. 4 Dominion Virginia Power is the one that 5 6 sends you the bill for those who get a bill, and takes 7 care of the distribution. I'm excited to be here to talk about the 8 9 license renewal process for the Surry Power Station. 10 Not just me, but the 850 employees at Surry Power Station. 11 12 License renewal will ensure that Surry 13 Power Station continues to operate. It produces about 14 15 percent of the electricity in the state of Virginia. It will also ensure that our local economy 15 16 will continue to reap the benefits of a large employer 17 in the area. Surry County will continue to receive the 18 19 tax revenue from the station operation. As already stated, since 1966 Surry Power Station has provided 20 130 million dollars to the tax base of Surry county. 21 22 me personally, and for the 23 employees at Surry Power Station, we will continue to

have jobs well into this century.

I would like to take a moment and tell you a little bit about myself, and how I relate with Surry Power Station. I grew up about 20 minutes from the power station. I attended Smithfield High School, I went to Main Street Baptist Church in Smithfield, Virginia.

Although I grew up in Smithfield, my wife and I now live in York County, my parents, my friends still live in Isle of Wight County, and Surry county. My son lives in Newport News, and he works over in Norfolk.

I came to Surry Power Station in 1974 as a summer intern, and as a laborer, while I was majoring in physics at Old Dominion University. I quickly developed an affinity for Surry Power Station, and the nuclear technology that was used to produce electricity.

I became a full time employee in 1977 as an engineering technician, and I worked my way up to where I'm now site vice president. My career path included going through supervisor of maintenance, I&C instrumentation, chemistry, and engineering. In 1997 I was promoted to director of nuclear safety and licensing. In 1998 I assumed the duties of director

of nuclear operation, and maintenance, and in 2000 I 1 2 was promoted to my position that I currently hold. 3 Surry Power Station has a history of safe and efficient operation. Since the 1990s Surry has 4 5 consistently been ranked one of the most efficient 6 producers of nuclear generated electricity in the United States. 7 The 8 station has also achieved, and 9 continues to achieve, high levels of safety and 10 performance as measured by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and the Institute of Nuclear 11 Power 12 Operations. 13 From 1992 to 1998 the Nuclear Regulatory 14 Commission in its systematic assessment of license 15 performance reports gave the station high marks for 16 safety. 17 Under the NRC's new oversight process, which is updated on a quarterly basis on the agency's 18 19 website, Surry Power Station has maintained green 20 windows in all areas of safety performance, meaning 21 all the NRC safety cornerstones are fully met. 22 The Institute of Nuclear Power Operations 23 also has consistently given Surry Power Station high 24 marks for nuclear safety and excellence. Since we

operation

in

1972

began

commercial

25

utility

representatives from 30 countries have come to Surry Power Station to learn. We have learned from them also. And we continue to improve.

Many of you are aware that the State Hog Island Wildlife Preserve is on both sides of the power station. We designed Surry Power Station such that when the water that is released from the power station, that it does not impact the oyster beds. The station was turned such that water goes out, and by the time it gets to the oyster beds it is all cooled down again.

We also, as was already discussed, we have developed the structure such that when fish are coming in, the structure picks up the fish, and puts them back into the river without being harmed.

That was a state of the art that was developed at Surry Power Station, and is now used world-wide.

In preparing for this application we have 50 dedicated employees that made up our license renewal team. They worked diligently, the report that was concluded by us noted that Surry Power Station could go beyond the 40 years without negatively impacting the environment.

In a moment Bill Bolin will share with you the information about our environmental report. One of the things we thought about when we considered whether to apply for the license or not, we looked at the fact that Surry Power Station generates about 1600 megawatts of electricity, enough for 400,000 homes.

The station was relatively inexpensively built based on today's economy. It only cost 400 million dollars to build Surry Power Station. That is a bargain if you look at any plant that is being built today.

In the future more electricity, not less, will be needed. Because of Surry Power Station's low production costs and overall safety performance, we believe that relicensing the station is the best option for meeting the future electricity needs for the state of Virginia.

Finally I would like to thank all of you on behalf of the company, Dominion, for allowing us to do business in Surry County. We have strived to be a good corporate citizen, and have enjoyed a professional supportive working relationship with individuals in the county, and other counties around Surry.

1 Many of the employees at Surry Power 2 Station demonstrated their commitment to participate 3 in the Adopt-A-Highway program, Thanksqiving baskets for the needy, blood drives, supporting the Boy 4 Scouts, the Girl Scouts, Special Olympics, United Way, 5 6 and other community activities. 7 Our volunteer programs and participation is key to Dominion's corporate philosophy. And we'll 8 9 continue this commitment to our communities in the 10 future. Again I appreciate the opportunity to talk 11 12 to you today about the Surry license renewal plan, and 13 I would like to turn it over to Bill Bolin. Thank 14 you. 15 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you very much, 16 Mr. Blount, and we are going to hear from Bill Bolin 17 right now, who is the manager for the environmental biology for Dominion. ** 18 19 MR. BOLIN: Thank you very much, Chip, and thank you Richard. And, again, my name is Bill Bolin, 20 I'm -- my official title is manager of environmental 21 biology, my unofficial title is chief biologist. 22 23 And before I begin, I'm sorry Mr. Lewis 24 left, because I wanted to commend him for his keen 25 observation that we are highly skilled and highly

1 technical employees. I want to quote that to my boss, 2 but he is gone, so I can't get his permission to do 3 that. I began my career at Surry nearly 30 years 4 5 ago, being the first station biologist that was hired 6 by what was then VEPCO. When Congress passed the Clean Water Act in the early '70s, utility companies, 7 such as mine, had to decide whether they wanted to 8 9 comply with the provisions of the Clean Water Act, 10 either through in-house staff, or through consultants. In what I consider, personally, as a very 11 12 brilliant move, VEPCO decided to hire in-house, and I 13 was fortunate to be asked to join the company. 14 As such we were one of the first utilities 15 nationwide to staff with environmental professionals. 16 I started work here at Surry, and was primarily 17 responsible for conducting environmental monitoring for the station, much of which forms the basis for our 18 19 environmental report. Let me share with you a few examples of 20 what I'm referring to. Richard has already mentioned 21 that there are several key design features when the 22 23 station was constructed. 24 He mentioned the example of the discharge 25 being placed upstream to keep the warm water away from

the oyster beds downstream. Another example of the design feature was the fact that the containment structures were constructed below grade so as to reduce the visual impact to the historic James Town and Colonial Williamsburg.

What we did with the excavated materials we used those excavated materials and made roads and dikes out on Hog Island State Wildlife Refuge. And that has fulfilled their goal of being a waterfowl management area.

I think Mr. Lewis mentioned the trapping screens. I was fortunate to be involved in the development of those state of the art withdraw screens for the intake structure which, as previously mentioned, are now used industry-wide to protect fish.

I could go on for quite a while about other environmental considerations at Surry. But maybe I should spend a little bit of my time talking about the essence of our environmental report for the station.

Firstly, as you've heard from the NRC, an environmental report is required in any nuclear license renewal process as a part of the National Environmental Policy Act, or NEPA.

The NRC has identified nearly 100 environmental issues that must be addressed in the environmental report by all applicants, which in this case is us.

Most of these issues were determined by the NRC to be of minor environmental impact, and therefore may be addressed generically. In our environmental assessment we performed a review of these same issues, and reached the same conclusion that the NRC did, that they are of minor consequence.

Some of the issues are site-specific for each facility, and must therefore be addressed accordingly. Some of the issue categories we looked at Surry included aquatic biology, terrestrial biology, demographics, and transmission line impacts.

For example issues that we looked at, at Surry, include such things as waste heat, water withdrawal, and threatened and endangered species. The term waste heat refers to heat that is transferred to water as it passes through the station to cool the steam during the electrical generation process.

In the mid to late '70s we conducted a study that looked at the impacts of this waste heat on the biology of the James River. Basically we found no long-term deleterious effects.

1 And the Virginia State Water Control 2 which is now called the Board, Department 3 Environmental Quality, agreed with our findings. Water withdrawal issues were looked at, 4 5 Water withdrawal represents the water, that I also. 6 mentioned earlier, that is used for cooling. Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences studied the 7 water withdrawal issue, and again they demonstrated no 8 long-term deleterious effects on the James River 9 10 ecosystem, which the water board agreed with, also. evaluation The of threatened 11 and 12 endangered species was a little different, in that we 13 had to go to state and Federal agencies to investigate 14 possible impacts on listed species, since species are continually being listed. 15 The research showed no impact to any 16 17 threatened and/or endangered species as a result of the operation of the station, and its associated 18 19 transmission lines. 20 In fact one of the most long-lived and successful bald eagle nests in the Chesapeake Bay 21 22 population is located on the station property. 23 A couple of site-specific issues that we 24 looked at included socioeconomic impacts, and impacts 25 on cultural resources. With regard to socioeconomic

issues, we found positive contribution to the local infrastructure, much of which you've heard about tonight.

And also because we are getting, hopefully, a license for an existing facility, there will be no new construction activity of a major consequence, so therefore the cultural resource impacts would be negligible.

These are but a few examples of specific issues addressed in the environmental report. In the evaluation of these specific issues we consulted with from state and Federal resource representatives agencies, the Virginia Department such as Conservation and Recreation, the Virginia Department of Transportation, Historic Resources, the Game and Inland Fisheries, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Marine Resources Commission, as well as people from universities.

In every issue we examined it was determined that the continued generation of electricity from Surry Power Station best benefits our customers, and the community, while at the same time minimizing environmental impact.

Surry has a history of excellent environmental stewardship. I'm very proud to have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

been but small part of the Surry story, and the even bigger Dominion story. Over the years it has been my distinct privilege to be a part of numerous companywide environmental enhancement projects, such as the recent peregrine falcon restoration project, that we initiated in Virginia, that was conducted in partnership with several state and Federal agencies, as well as some local business interests.

And in conclusion I would like to thank everyone for your interest, as well as your participation and input in this process. Believe me, it is very important.

Now I'm going to do something a little unusual. I'm going to turn my Dominion badge around. I was asked to proxy for Tony Castillo, who is the Refuge Manager at Hog Island. He couldn't be here, but he asked me to speak on his behalf, and this is just another way that signifies the relationship, the very positive relationship that we have with the refuge.

Tony couldn't be here, but he wanted me to share with you all that he is very proud to have Surry as a neighbor. He said that there were three things that he wanted for me to emphasize for him.

First and foremost is safety. He said that he is very comfortable living, with his family, on the refuge next to the station. In fact he appreciates the safety concerns that are being put forth now in this time of stress.

He also wanted for me to recognize the emergency response from the station. You know, they are pretty far out on a peninsula in the middle of the river. And if they have a situation, typically, the people that are going to respond immediately are the people at the power station, who are tremendously trained for emergency response.

In fact when they had a shooting accident a couple of years ago, it was our folks who went out there and administered the first aid.

And finally he wanted to emphasize the educational and environmental programs that they share with the information center. And what they do is typically a school group will come in and they will split up the time between the information center and the refuge, and they get to tell, basically, two different messages about energy and the environment, which is extremely important.

Thank you very much.

1 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Bill. 2 Now, we have Senator Fred Quayle with us tonight, from 3 the Virginia Senate. Senator Quayle. SENATOR QUAYLE: Thank you very much. It 4 5 is a pleasure to have the opportunity to speak to you 6 for just a moment tonight. 7 I represent the 13th Senatorial District in the Senate of Virginia. I don't look very 8 9 senatorial tonight, I look like I'm on the campaign 10 trail. Fortunately I don't have to do that this year, I will do that a couple more years from now. 11 12 have just come from school, so that is why I don't 13 look more senatorial, I guess, than I do. But notwithstanding that, it has been my 14 pleasure to represent Surry County for the last ten 15 16 years, and I have another two years in my term, and I 17 hope another four years after that. And I want to talk to you not so much 18 19 about the details that you have been listening to, 20 about the operation of the Surry Power Station, because I'm not that close to it, to be able to go 21 22 through those, and you have heard those, anyway. 23 But what I want to talk to you is about

what I have observed as far as the Surry Power Station

is concerned, as a neighbor to the people in the county of Surry.

For the time that, since 1966, the Surry Power Station has pumped 130 million dollars into the economy of this county. It has provided jobs for 850 people, many of whom live in this county.

The people who work at the Surry Power Station are good neighbors, they are good neighbors to this community, they are good neighbors to the surrounding communities. They take part in the activities that go on in Surry county. They contribute their time, they contribute their resources to this community.

I happen to serve as Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Chippokes Farm Foundation, as a result of representing Surry county and I know that they have participated with Chippokes in some of the projects that take place over at Chippokes, and we are forever grateful for that.

There is no question but what the Surry Power Station is one of the best operated nuclear power stations in the country. It is providing low cost energy to this community, and this part of the state.

And there are three things that we really need to be concerned with today. One is employment. I've already mentioned that they employ 850 people, and they are good jobs, they are high paying jobs.

But we are also concerned with the low cost of energy, and we don't want to lose one of the most low cost producers of energy. You don't have to remember many months back when our gas bills began to skyrocket because of a number of factors.

One of those factors is that more power plants today are being powered by natural gas. We also have a -- we don't have enough transmission lines to get the gas to the customers. A number of reasons.

That is another reason to renew the license for the Surry Nuclear Power Station, because it is a low cost energy producer, and has been a dependable one over the years.

Thirdly the environmental concerns that are so much on everybody's mind today, throughout this country, throughout Virginia, Surry Power Station has been a leader in this area of the state in their awareness of the environmental concerns, and they have gone far beyond what they would have ever been asked to do to make sure that the functioning of that plant there did not adversely affect the environment

surrounding that plant, and in this community, and in 1 2 this part of the state. 3 They are to be applauded for their efforts in that regard. It seems to make no sense, regardless 4 5 of how you approach this possibility of not renewing 6 this license. I think that there is no way that you 7 can make an argument for not renewing it. It has been clearly shown that the life of 8 9 a nuclear power plant is much longer than the original 10 term of this license. And I would simply like to join those forces that have come here today and have asked 11 12 that you positively consider renewing the license for 13 this power station, because it is a good neighbor, it 14 is a good source of employment, it is a good source of low cost energy, which is what we need today. 15 16 I thank you very much. 17 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Senator I would like to have Mr. James Brown come up. 18 19 Mr. Brown is the vice-chairman of the Surry County 20 Industrial Development Authority. 21 MR. BROWN: Good evening, everyone. I'm 22 Brown, I'm retired from the Newport 23 Shipbuilding and Dry Dock Company, after 40 years of

service there.

1 I was asked to come to speak on this 2 forum, and I've been wondering what to say. 3 listening to all the experts I hardly have to say anything except that I agree with what has been said. 4 5 However, I won't be that blunt. 6 During my years in the shipyard, I was 7 there in 1960, about 1963 I was placed in nuclear I worked aboard nuclear ships, submarines, I 8 work. 9 worked in the construction of those ships, and then I 10 was placed in the refueling part of the shipyard. And being placed on the team I went on 11 12 several trips to other plants, up to Combustion 13 Engineering up in Connecticut, went to 14 different places. 15 And then along in 1970 VEPCO came to 16 Virginia, came to Surry rather, and built a nuclear 17 plant right in our back door, which I have never objected to that. 18 But there is one thing, being in the 19 shipyard, working in nuclear work, day in and day out, 20

But there is one thing, being in the shipyard, working in nuclear work, day in and day out, it was a -- I wondered if I had to work in nuclear work during the day, and then come home at night and worry about the plant being close to me. Well, I didn't have to do that.

21

22

23

I can say, with all honesty, they were quick to establish an outstanding safety record. And as the years went by I was taking note of the fissionable part of the nuclear work, I worked in the non-fissionable part, in other words building the reactor core, but they hadn't been activated. However, it was still a form of nuclear work.

And as I was looking through this manual I saw some of the flashers [casks] that we built. I have built those flashers, those stores. Now, I mention that because when we were building those flashers for Virginia Power at that time, they would come to the shipyard and inspect the work, because they wanted outstanding work.

They were safety conscious, whatever you did for Virginia Power, they were safety conscious about it. And another thing that has impressed me over the years, I have been in the shipyard, we would attend meetings, meetings, meetings, conferences on safety. And from time to time the question would arise who had the best safety record.

And I'm glad I'm retired so I can say this. But it would always be that Virginia Power would have a better safety record than Newport News Shipbuilding.

1 Now, I know that we did more work, more 2 nuclear work, so the ratio would be a part there. But 3 even so -- it wasn't a lack of situation, because at Newport News Shipbuilding we considered that we were 4 5 the grandfather of nuclear. We had a good 10, 12 years on Virginia Power before they came to Surry. 6 7 But now here come these guys and they take 8 the safety record. So I'm happy about that, I'm happy 9 about that. 10 In conclusion I won't try, even, to touch what has been said about different things, except for 11 12 the money that has been spent by Virginia Power, 13 Dominion Power now, in Surry. 14 We have spent that money wisely. We have benefitted greatly. We have the outstanding school 15 16 system that we have because of that money. Because of 17 that money we have been able to build schools, we have been able to hire teachers of high caliber. 18 19 And as a result of that our students have 20 benefitted. Just a few years ago one of our graduates 21 was a cadet major at West Point. And that is a high He doesn't get any further than that, because 22 23 he graduate and go on further. 24 We've also been able to place students in

such schools as Yale, Princeton, you name the top

1 schools, and we have put students in there, because of 2 our good tenant. I always call them our tenant 3 because they pay us much money. They have been very beneficial to us. 4 As vice chairman of the 5 Industrial 6 Development Authority I must admit that we have not been successful in attracting businesses into the 7 8 county, as of yet. However, we are still working in 9 that direction. 10 But one thing is for certain. Without Dominion Power we won't get no businesses. 11 Power is our magnet, is our drawing card. We use that 12 13 to show that we have a low tax base, and we use that 14 to show that we have power to give you, if you come to 15 Surry, just a few miles down the road, so you don't 16 have to have it brought in from some distant plant, 17 which would mean more cost to you. 18 So we urge you, we urge the NRC to 19 strongly consider the renewal of the license, so that 20 we can continue to grow in the prosperity that this 21 county has enjoyed over the 30 years that Dominion Power has been here. 22 23 I thank you. 24 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you, Mr. Is Mr. Slocum with us tonight?

(No response.)

FACILITATOR CAMERON: All right. Is there anybody else that wants to make a statement before we adjourn? Yes, sir, do you want to say something? Yes, come on up, please. And if you could just tell us who you are, and if there is an affiliation, whatever.

MR. SUBJACK: My name is Bill Subjack, and my family and I moved down here just a few months ago from near New York City, actually. And I'm a retired pharmaceutical industry worker.

And just considering the events that have happened last week, my question would be, the paradigm may be shifted from what we all believed to be the case just a few days ago.

And to what extent will the review process include maybe a more severe event than was considered? It is more than somebody walking up with a bomb, or malfunction of equipment, or in fact kamikaze type terrorist, if we look at what happened in New York and Washington. And to what extent does the process consider this?

And, secondly, the second part of the question is, as we are all reflecting on this from

comparable facilities country-wide, to what extent is 1 2 there a mechanism to share ideas? 3 So, I mean, obviously it is something that, you know, it is obvious the county is very proud 4 5 of this, and we are very glad it is here. But I just wondered, you know, in going to the next step how is 6 7 this being considered? 8 Thank you. 9 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Thank you. 10 will be treated as a comment, but also I'm wondering if, without getting into too much detail, if perhaps 11 12 the NRC staff could try to get a little bit of 13 information in response to your question now, which I 14 think how are these types of issues treated in license 15 renewal. 16 And I think we can answer that. And also 17 what is the NRC doing to address that particular fear. And this is Bill Borchardt, who is the Associate 18 19 Director for Regulatory Improvement Programs. I will 20 let you do this. 21 MR. BORCHARDT: My name is Bill Borchardt, I'm with the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. 22 23 And I just wanted to take a moment to explain what the 24 NRC is doing in response to the terrorist events of

last week.

Immediately following those events of last 1 2 Tuesday the Agency issued an advisory to all nuclear 3 power plants throughout the country to put them on a higher security level status so that they would be at 4 a heightened level of sensitivity for their security 5 6 program. 7 In addition to that the NRC has been in continuous manning of its emergency operations center 8 9 at headquarters in Rockville, Maryland, as well as the 10 four regional offices located around the country. We are continuing to interact with other 11 12 Federal agencies and to provide the best assessment of 13 the situation to all of the plants throughout the 14 country. To date there have not been any credible 15 threats against any nuclear power facilities in this 16 country. 17 Regarding your question of how would the license renewal process evaluate the fallout, if you 18 19 will, from the security of terrorist events, security 20 is one of those programs that is just as important today as it would be after license renewal is issued. 21

So it receives continuous and ongoing evaluation. If in fact as a result of these events regulations and rules are required to be adjusted

22

23

1 because of lessons learned, that would be done 2 regardless of the license renewal proceeding. 3 So I really think you need to look at it as a separate activity, it is really not closely 4 related to license renewal. 5 6 FACILITATOR CAMERON: Okay, thank you 7 Bill. And Bill and other NRC staff will be here after the meeting if you want to talk further about that. 8 9 And we also have our group of experts from the Pacific 10 Northwest Labs, and the other labs who are here with specialties in various environmental disciplines are 11 12 going to be available. 13 And I would just remind you that we do 14 have these feedback forms that are out there. If you 15 have any suggestions about how we can improve on 16 providing information, and doing these meetings, we 17 would appreciate that. I don't think we have anybody else on the 18 19 agenda right now, so I think we are adjourned, and thank you for being here, and thank you for your 20 concern, and please avail yourself of the NRC's staff 21 22 that remains here. Thank you. 23 (Whereupon, at 8:15 p.m. the above-24 entitled matter was concluded.)