


## Summer Unit 3 3Q/2013 Performance Summary

[Construction Action Matrix Column:](#)  
[Licensee Response](#)



### Most Significant Inspection Findings

3Q/2013	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter
2Q/2013	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	<b>G</b>	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter
1Q/2013	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter
4Q/2012	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	<b>G</b>	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter	No findings this quarter

### Additional Inspection and Assessment Information

- ❖ [List of Construction Inspection Reports](#)
- ❖ [List of Construction Assessment Reports/Inspection Plans](#)

## Design Engineering

---

[Back to Top](#)

## Procurement/Fabrication

---

[Back to Top](#)

## Construction/Installation

---

**Identified By:** NRC

**Identification Date:** 6/30/2013

**Significance:** Green

**Item Type:** Construction Finding

### **Failure to Document and Process a Nonconformance**

The inspectors identified a technical finding and non-cited violation (NCV) of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, for the licensee's failure to identify, evaluate, and correct nonconforming steel reinforcing bars in accordance with documented procedures. The licensee initiated condition report (CR) NND-13-00448 to document this finding in their corrective action program.

This performance deficiency had a greater than minor safety significance because it was similar to the "not minor if" statement of construction issue example 19 in Appendix E to IMC 0613P. The finding was a technical finding associated with the construction/installation cornerstone and was evaluated under the construction significance determination process as outlined in IMC 2519P, Appendix A. This finding was of very low safety significance (Green) because it was determined to be a construction finding and was dispositioned use-as-is. This finding was associated with the Procedural Compliance aspect in the Work Practices component of the construction cross-cutting area of Baseline Inspection.

**Identified By:** NRC

**Identification Date:** 6/30/2013

**Significance:** Green

**Item Type:** Construction Finding

### **Failure to correct conditions adverse to quality**

The inspectors identified a technical finding and NCV of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI for the licensee's failure to ensure that conditions adverse to quality were corrected. The licensee initiated CR NND-13-00575 and nonconformance and disposition (N&D) report VS2-CE50-GNR-000016 to document this finding in their corrective action program.

This performance deficiency had greater than minor safety significance because the uncorrected conditions could render the quality of construction activities and installed items unacceptable or indeterminate. The finding was a technical finding associated with the construction/installation cornerstone and was evaluated under the construction significance determination process as outlined in IMC 2519P, Appendix A. This finding was of very low safety significance (Green) because the identified condition did not impair the design function of a system or structure listed in the construction significance determination process risk importance table. This finding was associated with the Human Error Prevention Techniques aspect in the Work Practices component of the construction cross cutting area of Baseline Inspection.

**Identified By:** NRC

**Identification Date:** 12/31/2012  
**Significance:** Green  
**Item Type:** Technical Finding

**Failure to adequately implement procedure guidance for evaluating, classifying, and correcting conditions adverse to quality**

The inspectors identified a technical finding and cited violation (VIO) of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, for the failure to properly categorize Shaw CAR 2010-12-08-971 as a significant condition adverse to quality.

This performance deficiency had greater than minor safety significance because the uncorrected conditions could render the quality of construction activities unacceptable or indeterminate. The finding was a technical finding associated with the construction/installation cornerstone and was evaluated under the construction significance determination process as outlined in IMC 2519P Appendix A. This finding was of very low safety significance (Green) because the identified condition did not impair the design function of a system or structure listed in the construction significance determination process risk importance table. This finding was not associated with a construction cross cutting aspect.

**Identified By:** NRC  
**Identification Date:** 12/31/2012  
**Significance:** Green  
**Item Type:** Technical Finding

**Failure to adequately evaluate and correct conditions adverse to quality**

The inspectors identified a technical finding and cited violation (VIO) of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, for three examples of the licensee's failure to promptly correct conditions adverse to quality in accordance with regulatory requirements and applicable quality standards.

This performance deficiency had greater than minor safety significance because the uncorrected conditions could render the quality of construction activities unacceptable or indeterminate. The finding was a technical finding associated with the construction/installation cornerstone and was evaluated under the construction significance determination process as outlined in IMC 2519P Appendix A. This finding was of very low safety significance (Green) because the identified condition did not impair the design function of a system or structure listed in the construction significance determination process risk importance table. This finding was directly related to the construction cross cutting area of baseline inspection and the Corrective Action Program component because the licensee's engineering, procurement, and construction consortium failed to adequately evaluate and correct conditions adverse to quality.

[Back to Top](#)

**Inspection/Testing**

---

[Back to Top](#)

**Security Programs**

---

[Back to Top](#)

**Operational Programs**

---

[Back to Top](#)