

The banner features a blue and orange 'RIC 2020' logo on the left. To its right are five circular icons depicting various nuclear industry scenes: a worker in a hard hat, a reactor core, a power plant, a group of people, and a nuclear facility. On the far right is the U.S. NRC logo with the text 'U.S. NRC', 'United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission', 'Protecting People and the Environment', and the hashtag '#NRCRIC2020'. Below these elements, the text 'RIC SESSION TH33' is centered. The main title 'Tools for Enhancing Integrated Safety Analysis Human Reliability Insights' is prominently displayed in a large, bold, black font. Below the title, the names and affiliations of the speakers are listed in a smaller black font.

**RIC 2020**

RIC SESSION TH33

**Tools for Enhancing Integrated Safety  
Analysis Human Reliability Insights**

Dr. April Smith  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards  
Sean Peters and Dr. James Chang  
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission



## Overview

- Integrated Safety Analysis (ISA) Regulatory Framework
- Current Sources of Human Reliability Insights
- New Tools
  - Integrated Human Event Analysis System General Methodology (IDHEAS-G)
  - Integrated Human Event Analysis System for Events and Conditions Assessment (IDHEAS-ECA)
- Demonstration of IDHEAS-ECA



# ISA Regulatory Framework

- Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 70, Subpart H (e.g., fuel fabrication, fuel enrichment)
  - 10 CFR 70.4 Definition
    - Systematic process
    - Identify all relative hazards, associated credible accident sequences (likelihoods and consequences)
    - Identify items relied on for safety (IROFS)
  - 10 CFR 70.61 Performance Requirements
    - Limit the risk of credible accident sequences
  - 10 CFR 70.62 (c)
    - Perform an ISA



## ISA Regulatory Framework

- Other Types of Licensees (e.g., Conversion, Medical Isotope Production)
  - Not required to perform an ISA
  - Recognize ISA as a way to:
    - Analyze process risk
    - Determine safety controls
    - Establish safety basis



## Current Sources of Human Reliability Insights

- Fuel cycle facilities have many human-centric processes and controls (administrative)
- Challenge to evaluate accident sequences involving human error
- Common sources of human reliability information:
  - Savannah River Human Error Database
  - Standard Review Plan for Fuel Cycle Facilities License Applications (NUREG-1520)





## New Tools

- IDHEAS-G  
Human reliability analysis method to develop human error probabilities for all applications (Draft NUREG-2198)
- IDHEAS-ECA
  - Applies IDHEAS-G
  - Analyzes human events and estimates human error probabilities
  - Applicable to all types of event analyses



# Demonstration of IDHEAS-ECA

Lab Techs

System  
Operator

Sample  
Concentration  
> 10%

Both entered > 10%

System not started

S

Start system

F

One entered > 10%  
One entered <= 10%

System not started

S

Start system

F

Both entered <= 10%

F







# Demonstration of IDHEAS-ECA

Lab Techs

System  
Operator

The total failure  
probability is 2.6E-3.

Both entered > 10%  
(0.956)

System not started  
(0.998)

S

Start system  
(2.1E-3)

F (2.01E-3)

One entered > 10%  
One entered <= 10%  
(4.32E-2)

System not started  
(0.998)

S

Start system  
(2.1E-3)

F (9.1E-5)

Both entered <= 10%  
(4.88E-4)

F (4.88E-4)



## Demonstration of IDHEAS-ECA

- IDHEAS ECA is available for public use
- Regulatory Information Letter 2020-02, “Integrated Human Event Analysis System for Event and Condition Assessment”
  - ADAMS <https://adams.nrc.gov/wba/>
  - Accession Number: ML20016A480
- For more information and access to the software, send an email to:
 

Dr. James Chang  
[James.Chang@nrc.gov](mailto:James.Chang@nrc.gov)  
 In your email, please provide your name, organization and contact email

