UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

+ + + + +

30TH ANNUAL REGULATORY INFORMATION CONFERENCE

+ + + + +

WELCOME, INTRODUCTORY REMARKS, AND REMARKS OF THE HONORABLE KRISTINE L. SVINICKI, CHAIRMAN

+ + + + +

TUESDAY

MARCH 13, 2018

+ + + + +

The Regulatory Information Conference met in the Grand Ballroom at the Marriott Hotel and Conference Center, 5701 Marinelli Road, Rockville, Maryland, at 8:30 a.m., Brian Holian, Acting Director for NRR, facilitating.

PRESENT:

KRISTINE L. SVINICKI, Chairman, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

BRIAN HOLIAN, Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations

CONTENTS

Welcome	and Introductory Remarks
Br	rian Holian3
Keynote	Speech
Ch	nairman Kristine L. Svinicki
O1:	uestions and Comments24

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	
3	MR. HOLIAN: Well good morning and welcome
4	to the 30th anniversary of the NRC's Regulatory
5	Information Conference. I am Brian Holian. I am the
6	Acting Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor
7	Regulation, or NRR.
8	It's a great honor to be here today and to
9	have this opportunity to welcome everyone on behalf of
10	the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. NRR, along
11	with the Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research led by
12	Michael Weber, co-sponsor this event.
13	We work in partnership with many NRC
14	offices to bring you a conference that is both
15	informative and we hope engaging. Speaking of
16	engaging, on behalf of this assembly thank you to the
17	Color Guard and NRC's own Kimberly Gaskins for your
18	moving rendition of the National Anthem. Thank you.
19	(Applause)
20	MR. HOLIAN: The RIC is the largest annual
21	meeting hosted by the NRC. This format provides an
22	environment for open dialogue between the NRC and its
23	many stakeholders on topics pertaining to nuclear NEAL R. GROSS

1 regulatory activities and emergent issues that are of interest to both the domestic and our international 2 nuclear communities. 3 This year we have over 2500 participants 4 5 registered at the RIC and we have representatives from over 32 countries. I would like to recognize all of 6 you who are taking the time to participate in the RIC 8 as well as several distinguished attendees that I note 9 are registered. We have Former Chairmen Richard Meserve 10 11 and Nils Diaz, Former Commissioners Jeff Merrifield and 12 George Apostolakis. We thank these individuals for 13 their prior service and their continued involvement in 14 nuclear regulation. 15 We open the RIC with keynote remarks from 16 the NRC's Chairman, Kristine Svinicki. That will be 17 followed by NRC's Executive Director for Operations Vic 18 After a break they are followed by remarks McCree. 19 from Commissioners Jeff Baran and Stephen Burns. 20 Some of you may know that we made some 21 program changes to the Wednesday morning program 2.2 schedule. I wanted to highlight those. We had about 23 a thousand people register when we changed around some 24 of the technical sessions on Wednesday morning. 25 So there were sessions on cyber security,

1	decommissioning, and risk-informed that we moved from
2	their location. Your RIC program is correct, however,
3	printed with their locations on Wednesday morning.
4	Also I will highlight, be looking for an
5	insert to your RIC program. If you picked one up last
6	night you might be missing an insert. The locations
7	for those Wednesday morning sessions have changed, so
8	if you have your program you should also have an insert
9	that goes into the center for that.
10	Besides those three technical sessions we
11	added a session this year by NRC's Historian, Mr. Tom
12	Wellock. That session will be titled "Top Events in
13	Regulatory History."
14	I have heard that presentation at an NRC
15	meeting and it is well worth your time. That is in
16	Salons A to C tomorrow morning.
17	You will note this year that our posters
18	are in electronic format. We have 18 exhibits and so
19	over the next 2-1/2 days I hope you partake and stop
20	by and engage with the presenters that are by the
21	electronic displays and discuss with them their areas
22	of relevant expertise.
23	We have two lunchtime workshops. We have
24	one today at 12:15 on identify theft in the White Flint
25	Amphitheater and we have one tomorrow on how the ADAMS

1	system works, the Agency's document repository system.
2	So that's tomorrow and those are in your program with
3	the locations.
4	A tradition for the RIC are the tours that
5	we hold of the NRC Operation's Center. We have six of
6	those this year. We added the sixth one Wednesday
7	morning, so we added a new session Wednesday morning.
8	You don't have to sign up for the Wednesday
9	morning one. We pre-registered for the other five
10	sessions. I heard that Session 3 the tour of the RIC
11	is full, so or even overfilled.
12	We are not like an airline, we don't offer
13	vouchers, but we do ask any volunteers from the third
14	session if you want you could move to the sixth session
15	Wednesday morning.
16	What's new at the RIC? A couple things are
17	new. One, a couple of us looked around at the backdrop
18	this year and I want to dispel the rumor, these are not
19	mockups of accident tolerant fuel behind me here, okay.
20	(Laughter)
21	MR. HOLIAN: They are shiny and they are
22	new, but that was yesterday's rumor going around. So
23	that's one item.
24	What else is new at the RIC? We have a
25	different feedback mechanism Some of you are

1	familiar with writing out feedback, this year you can
2	actually text in your feedback. So I think we have a
3	slide, this is on Page 19 of your program also.
4	I personally think this is a great addition
5	to the RIC. This will be my excuse when my boss sees
6	me on my phone, I'll just say, well, I'm just texting
7	in RIC feedback, that's all, not checking the March
8	Madness upcoming selections.
9	Give it a try, let us know how that goes.
10	We will have the written feedback forms also in the back
11	of each of the rooms and a box for written feedback forms
12	if you would prefer that.
13	Back by popular demand, I hope you have
14	been enjoying some of the RIC facts and pictures that
15	we have that will oscillate on the screens in between
16	sessions and at breaks.
17	You know, I tried to get a couple of my
18	pictures into the facts and the factoids and they were
19	rejected, so since I knew I was leading off today I
20	thought, well, I'll get around that, so I am going to
21	show you a couple of the pictures that were rejected
22	from the session.
23	I always start my all-hands meetings with
24	pictures. So here is the first picture, this is the
25	30th anniversary of the RIC, this is back around RIC

Τ	Number 3 or 4, that's a young inspector.
2	As I said it's about circa 19, maybe '92.
3	That is the containment wall you are looking at, so that
4	is one of our defense-in-depths, the containment wall.
5	I will note on a break if you know what that
6	plant is come up and you'll get a free cookie from the
7	RIC from me if you can name that plant. I did see Dave
8	Lochbaum here this morning.
9	Those are not gaps in the concrete I want
10	you to know. That's a pre-stressed containment and
11	they took the tendons out when the cut through for the
12	steam generator replacement at that plant.
13	Picture Number 2 that I have was also
14	rejected. Vic, I'm sorry to say this one was rejected
15	also. I titled this "Young Regulators at Work through
16	Lunch" and this is 1997.
17	You will be hearing from that young,
18	dashing regulator in the middle left there in a short
19	while. That is our EDO Vic McCree. You know, I wanted
20	to highlight a couple other individuals in this
21	picture.
22	These were a bunch of technical
23	assistants, executive directors, in about the 1997
24	timeframe, so we're over 20 years ago. The second from
25	the right is a gentlemen named Jim Johnson.

1	You will hear a lot at the RIC about change,
2	some innovative change, some transformational change.
3	A couple individuals in this picture, quite a few of
4	them, were behind change at the NRC 20 years ago.
5	Jim Johnson was one of the prime
6	contributors to the policy statement on risk that was
7	put out at about that time. The second from the left
8	is an old buddy of mine who is a good inspector and a
9	regulator down in Region II, Mark Miller.
10	Mark was sitting here at this lunchtime and
11	he was pondering what the ROP would look like. That's
12	true. It was about 20 years ago and he passed on some
13	ideas from the Chairman's Office to Mike Johnson and
14	others in NRR.
15	Well, enough reminiscing from my pictures.
16	One other thing new this year is we will be doing, or
17	once again maybe not new, but maybe we'll increase the
18	amount of live tweeting, so there will be sessions
19	coming up from live tweets from various sessions, look
20	for that.
21	Now onto general information. Safety and
22	security are of prime importance to the NRC so we do
23	ask that you display your badge at all times and please
24	follow the following safety measures.
25	Once rooms are filled to capacity

1	participants will be directed to other sessions. If
2	you see something say something. Report any
3	suspicious activity to security members that are around
4	the RIC.
5	The fire exits are properly marked in the
6	back and sides of each room and we do have two automatic
7	external defibrillators, say that twice, located near
8	the main lobby of the hotel room.
9	You may have noticed that Montgomery
LO	County has completed a new parking garage over at the
L1	Executive Boulevard side of the conference room. No
12	licensee fees were used for that parking garage I will
13	have you know. That's a CFO joke, okay.
L 4	(Laughter)
L5	MR. HOLIAN: RIC attendees, I am just
L 6	reminded that you need to get a chaser ticket at the
L7	registration service desk to save you on a reduced daily
L8	rate if you are a RIC member.
L 9	Now just to pass on some thanks. To plan
20	and execute a conference of this size and magnitude
21	requires the hard work and dedication of many. There
22	are many I won't name, but for the NRC I would like to
23	recognize a continuing prime person who starts the day
24	after the RIC and continues, a couple people, Lorna
> 5	Kinfer and Brenett Warren the Planning Committee for

1	their day-to-day efforts, Greg Bowman and Tom Boyce,
2	two Branch Chiefs, one from Research, one from NRR, and
3	their Technical Review Committee.
4	They work to make sure that the technical
5	sessions are appropriate and they oversee the entire
6	program content.
7	To all the session chairs, coordinators,
8	the e-poster presenters, we thank you for your time with
9	the displays, the volunteers from across the Agency for
10	their contribution as room monitors, registration
11	assistants, various other roles, and, finally, the
12	support from many NRC offices, especially the Office
13	of the Admin and the Office of the Chief Information
14	Officer for their support in the live web streaming of
15	these sessions, photographic, and graphic. Thank you
16	to all these folks. Please.
17	(Applause)
18	MR. HOLIAN: I'd like to reiterate the
19	value of this conference. It is in both the formal
20	presentations and it is the opportunity for informal
21	discussions and networking.
22	Each year I notice robust discussions
23	after the sessions and in the hallways. I also notice
24	some of this in the restaurant and the bar down the
25	hallway, so I do note that.

1	I urge all of us, whether we are
2	regulators, licensees, international, the public, no
3	matter what sessions or what location, to take the time
4	at this conference to listen carefully, ask questions,
5	and come away better informed with progress having
6	being made.
7	Now it is a great pleasure that I welcome
8	our keynote speaker, Chairman Svinicki to the stage.
9	(Applause)
LO	MR. HOLIAN: The Honorable Kristine
L1	Svinicki was designated Chairman of the U.S. Nuclear
L2	Regulatory Commission by President Donald Trump on
L3	January 23, 2017.
L 4	Last year she was sworn in for her third
L5	term as a Commissioner for a term ending on June 30,
L 6	2022. She began her service on the Commission in 2008.
L7	Chairman Svinicki has enjoyed a
L8	distinguished career as a nuclear engineer at the U.S.
L 9	Department of Energy and as a policy advisory in the
20	U.S. Senate advancing policies and initiatives
21	regarding national security, science, technology,
22	energy, and the environment.
23	She has served on a number of expert
24	advisory panels and has twice received the Presidential
25	Citation of the American Nuclear Society for her

1	contributions to U.S. Nuclear Policy.
2	Chairman Svinicki is a graduate of the
3	University of Michigan. Please join me in welcoming
4	to the podium our Chairman, Kristine Svinicki.
5	(Applause)
6	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Good morning,
7	everyone.
8	Thank you, Brian, for that introduction.
9	How is everybody feeling this morning? Feeling good?
10	This is really a large group of people. I
11	am very pleased to take part in this year's Regulatory
12	Information Conference and I extend my thanks to each
13	of you for attending this session and also to those of
14	you tuning in to the webcast, and there are a number
15	of people who have said to me they are going to be tuning
16	in to the webcast, so I know you're out there.
17	I want to add my personal thanks and on
18	behalf of the Commission to all of the many NRC
19	employees who make this conference possible, as Brian
20	was noting.
21	As I note each year this is a tremendous
22	undertaking and it is fueled by the hard work of our
23	many NRC staff and the RIC volunteers who actually are
24	here during the implementation of the conference and
25	make everything run smoothly.

1	Their hard work and dedication are a chief
2	contributor to what makes this conference a success on
3	the part of the U.S. NRC.
4	I would also like to acknowledge the
5	presence of our important partners from both other
6	federal agencies and state agencies within the United
7	States as well as the many international colleagues who
8	are joining us this week.
9	The NRC's critical relationships with
10	other governmental entities and collaboration on
11	lessons learned with international counterparts
12	facilitate the effective achievement of our mission.
13	Thank you for taking the time to be here
14	and in some cases for agreeing to being a presenter at
15	one of the technical sessions this week.
16	Finally, I would like to acknowledge my
17	Commission colleagues, Commissioner Baran and
18	Commissioner Burns. They are both fine individuals
19	and good colleagues and I am very, very grateful for
20	that.
21	Where we agree, we agree, where we
22	disagree, we disagree, and we move past it quickly, and
23	you don't have to agree on everything with someone in
24	order to like them and enjoy working with them.
25	Now most of us in this room know that to

1	be true. Outside these doors, well, I'm not sure I can
2	always vouch for that, but I really thank my Commission
3	colleagues for the productivity of our working
4	relationship, so, thank you, both, and I look forward
5	to your remarks later in the morning.
6	So a big milestone is coming up for me later
7	this month. I will hit the big 1-0. I will complete
8	a decade's worth of service on this Commission and that
9	makes this my tenth RIC speech.
LO	So last week I was meeting with our EDO
L1	Victor McCree and I said in case you are wondering,
L2	Victor, when it is that the well on RIC speeches and
L3	creativity runs dry the answer is the number ten, but
L 4	at the end of the day all of you will be the judge of
L5	that, not me.
L 6	And as Brian has mentioned the remarks of
L 7	our Executive Director of Operations Vic McCree will
L 8	follow mine with a presentation of various agency
L 9	initiatives, and I am going to leave that broad terrain
20	for him to cover as our Executive Director.
21	As it has been mentioned there are
22	individuals who are or will be circulating in the aisles
23	to collect your written questions. So if you pass your
24	question cards down to them they will bring them up the
25	row to be collected and brought to Brian so he can be

1	prepared to ask me the questions at the appropriate
2	time.
3	But we can't break a 10-year record, I
4	decided maybe year 11 is an opportunity for reset, but
5	I am worried that some ill fortune would befall me if
6	I didn't begin by telling a bad joke.
7	So, okay, is everybody ready to groan? In
8	unison is best, it's the most, there is maximum effect
9	if you all groan in unison. So this is the joke, I'd
10	tell you a chemistry joke but I'm not sure I'd get a
11	reaction.
12	(Laughter)
13	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: There you go. Okay,
14	that's the joke. See, I didn't want you to miss the
15	joke because it's a joke about telling a joke. So it's
16	kind of like the Mobius strip of jokes, if you know what
17	that is, it turns back in on itself. Perhaps you will
18	laugh later when you circle back around to it.
19	(Laughter)
20	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: So since I last
21	addressed this conference the NRC has continued to
22	challenge itself to deliver our safety and security
23	mission in an effective, efficient, and agile manner.
24	Our public outreach to and engagement with
25	those we regulate, public policymakers, and the broader

1	range of interested stakeholder, continues to provide
2	valuable insights to inform and shape many ongoing NRC
3	program changes and enhancements.
4	These insights are continually factored
5	into the Agency's work. That said, at its heart our
6	central safety and security mission remains unchanged
7	even as our methods and means to accomplish it may
8	evolve.
9	At this year's RIC you will have the
10	opportunity to hear from NRC staff and a diverse set
11	of external presenters as they provide information and
12	engage in dialogue with each other on panel sessions
13	about a range of topics.
14	One of the breakout sessions this
15	afternoon has generated significant early interests
16	from attendees, in fact, I think it might already be
17	oversubscribed, and that is the technical session that
18	begins at 1:30 entitled "Transformation at the NRC."
19	In his remarks following mine Vic McCree
20	will discuss at a high level the NRC's transformation
21	initiative that he has charted and that is currently
22	underway. I am going to leave that topic for him to
23	discuss.
24	Instead, I decided to highlight an
25	initiative that perhaps isn't as visible outside of the

Т	NRC, and that is the NRC's innovation forum.
2	In assessing the results of employee
3	viewpoint surveys of recent years on the statement "my
4	organization values innovation" the NRC staff thought
5	that the low to moderate level of employee agreement
6	with that statement presented an opportunity to bring
7	greater focus, structure, and Agency-wide attention to
8	capturing the many employee innovations and
9	suggestions that probably were out there but they
10	weren't necessarily finding a home.
11	Although a handful of NRC offices had
12	something along these lines, such as Region II's Idea
13	Greenhouse, the communications Agency-wide were
14	piecemeal and not all employees had access to the same
15	opportunity.
16	Not being content with this as the status
17	quo and with the support from the EDO and other agency
18	executives a diverse cross section of employees from
19	across NRC's programs, regions, subject matter
20	disciplines, and levels of experience came together to
21	create the NRC Innovation Forum.
22	They are bringing tremendous energy to the
23	cause of innovation and are off to a promising start.
24	Unlike transformation, ideas submitted to the
25	Innovation Forum need not be grammed. I confess to

1	occasionally hopping on the NRC internal sharepoint
2	webpage for the forum and browsing the log of recent
3	suggestions.
4	I discovered, for instance, that moving to
5	electronic concurrence on documents continues to be a
6	very popular cause here at NRC. I was wondering if
7	there are any members of the innovation forum here today
8	I would ask you to raise your hands, but if you are not
9	in the room I still want to thank you for establishing
10	this forum and for your dedication and your infectious
11	energy to harnessing all the creativity that we as NRC
12	employees know exist in our organization.
13	More broadly the NRC continues to endeavor
14	to forecast our work with greater accuracy and identify
15	changes to our resource needs in the current very
16	dynamic nuclear environment.
17	The Agency is pursuing activities such as
18	standardizing and centralizing support staff's
19	functions for both headquarters and regional offices
20	and establishing a common prioritization process to
21	prepare the Agency to evaluate emerging work more
22	readily and to staff it more efficiently.
23	We are also implementing an enhanced
24	strategic workforce planning process to improve the
25	training, agility, and utilization of our capable

1	workforce.
2	In or programmatic work the NRC continues
3	its pursuit of risk-informed regulation through which
4	we strive to put focus on those issues that are most
5	important based on their safety significance.
6	The NRC staff continues to evaluate and
7	update key risk-informed decision making guidance,
8	develop a graded approach where using risk information
9	more fully in licensing reviews, enhance our
10	communication of risk activities, and advance other
11	risk-informed initiatives across the Agency.
12	This includes steps to ensure uniform
13	implementation of backfitting regulations, improve
14	training and oversight leading to more consistent
15	identification and treatment of potential backfitting
16	issues.
17	The conference breakout sessions will
18	examine activities such as these in greater detail as
19	well as many others as Brian has noted, such as cyber
20	security, radiation protection, decommissioning,
21	low-level waste, digital instrumentation and control,
22	and emergency preparedness.
23	With this broad set of topical sessions I
24	hope each of you will find the time you spend here at
25	this conference to be very productive.

reflect on the many activities 1 2 underway if I had to describe my personal assessment of how NRC is doing in one sentence it would be I 3 continue to be fully confident in our ability to fulfill 4 5 our mission and yet never satisfy that we have perfected the means and methods of how we go about it, and that's 6 okay. 8 The NRC is not complacent. We are a 9 continuously-learning organization and an inquisitive 10 bunch of people. Will we extract the right lessons and 11 evolve in the right ways while staying ahead of the 12 changing world around us? Ι don't think 13 organization knows that for sure. The proof will be 14 in the doing. 15 In my view the staff's innovation and 16 transformation efforts are central to charting the 17 course to NRC's future. As a member of our Commission 18 and as an NRC old-timer I actually flittered with the 19 term OG, or old guard, of ten years I am fully committed 20 to this process and to helping them be successful in 21 any way that I can. 2.2 The way I see it the failure to adapt to 23 change, standing still if you will, simply is not an 24 option for this or any organization. Change is hard 25 work though and I know that.

1	So I look for inspiration where I can find
2	it. Last fall I was invited back to my alma mater, the
3	University of Michigan. The visit coincided with
4	homecoming weekend, which was fitting I suppose.
5	As I walked the engineering camps at campus
6	I did a lot of thinking about the intervening nearly
7	30 years since I was a student there my first thought
8	was where did all those years go, and that's a thought
9	best not lingered over, the passage of time.
10	But since I needed to address some of the
11	students and alumni my thoughts turned to why I chose
12	to become and engineer in the first place and the truth
13	is I think engineering is beautiful.
14	Engineers have been known to be much
15	maligned as a personality type, if not a profession.
16	So I decided that I wanted to dispel the notion in my
17	remarks at the University that we cannot be poetic as
18	engineers but maybe not being as poetic myself as I
19	would like to be I was forced to turn to the words of
20	others.
21	I was helped in this by the author H.G.
22	Wells. I was reading, and I appreciate that some of
23	you have said I always work in something about a book
24	I am reading into my remarks, so here it goes, I was
25	reading within the course of the last year what I found

1	to be a really interesting history of the
2	electrification of America and it was entitled "Empires
3	of Light: Edison, Tesla, Westinghouse and the Race to
4	the Electrify the World," and it's written by a
5	historian, not a technical individual, so I think that
6	it had maybe a broader scope than other histories of
7	this same topic, but I came across a quote from H.G.
8	Wells.
9	He was describing his visit in the year
10	1895 to the Niagara Falls Power Station, which was the
11	first major hydroelectric facility in the United
12	States, in describing what he saw he wrote the
13	following.
14	"These dynamos and turbines of the Niagara
15	Falls Power Company impressed me far more profoundly
16	than the Cave of the Winds are indeed to mind greater
17	and more beautiful than accidental eddying of air
18	beside a downpour.
19	They are well made visible, thought
20	translated into easy and commanding things, they are
21	clean, noiseless, starkly powerful. All the clatter
22	of the early age of machinery is passed and gone here.
23	There is no smoke, no grit, no dirt at all.
24	These are all together noble masses of machinery, huge,
25	slumbering monsters, great sleeping tops that engineer

1	irresistible forces in their sleep.
2	All these great things are as silent, as
3	wonderfully made as the heart in a living body, and
4	stouter and stronger than that. I fell into a daydream
5	of the coming power of man and how that power may be
6	used by them."
7	I think of those words every time I stand
8	on a turbine deck at a nuclear power plant. The people
9	in this room work in and around the most powerful
LO	technology on planet earth.
L1	On any given day that keeps me both
L2	inspired and humble and I hope it does you as well. I
L3	thank you for your kind attention and I am happy to take
L 4	any questions you have.
L5	(Applause)
L 6	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Okay, Brian, what do
L7	we got?
L8	MR. HOLIAN: Good. I have a selection,
L 9	Chairman, so we'll get to them. Chairman Svinicki, do
20	you think the NRC will ever achieve being fully
21	risk-informed?
22	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Well the question was
23	made easy by the term "fully" because, well, I don't,
24	I'm not trying to pick it apart, but I think, and I was
25	asked last vear about NRC's progress and journey

1	towards risk-informed regulation and I think it really
2	is that, a journey.
3	But I think over the course of time there
4	can be a different pace of change that occurs and it
5	may be in my opinion that with the dynamic environment
6	right now NRC may stand at the threshold of maybe making
7	more of a step change through the transformation work
8	maybe even through the internal innovation cradle that
9	we have created inside the Agency.
10	I don't think progress always occurs at a
11	consistent pace and my 10-year observation of NRC I
12	think that what's happening now is around maybe looking
13	at we've gathered a lot of information, have we added
14	to our knowledge in a way that we have the time and space
15	to take a fundamental stepping back and really looking
16	at targeting some areas where there could be a more
17	transformational increase in our risk-informed
18	regulation.
19	So I don't know that "fully," "fully" to
20	me would imply that you wouldn't be continuing to gather
21	risk insights and if nothing else as new technology is
22	adopted you have to build a base of understanding and
23	risk understanding and safety understanding of that
24	technology.
25	So I think we'll always be adding to that

1	and it comforts me that the NRC does have such a
2	continuous learning approach to its work that I think
3	I can't envision a future NRC 30 or 40 years from
4	now that wouldn't still be seeking out those risk
5	understandings and insights and then feeding them back
6	into the process.
7	MR. HOLIAN: I've got at least five
8	questions on one subject. I give credit, some
9	well-prepared individual who has a typed printout, came
L 0	prepared with questions they put on cards, so that's
L1	a new one for me.
L2	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: You know, that's
L3	quite brilliant. I think that that's wonderful.
L 4	MR. HOLIAN: All five of them are very
L5	similar. At last year's RIC, Chairman, you expressed
L 6	your support for making progress on digital I&C. We
L7	have seen very little significant movement this past
L8	year.
L 9	Why not? Is digital I&C still a top
20	priority for the NRC? What is the Commission doing to
21	drive progress within the staff? A couple of the other
22	questions talk about the safety improvements that they
23	hope that they can leverage and that aspects of digital
24	I&C has been proven to reduce scram initiators on
25	non-safety-related systems, so questioning our

1	progress.
2	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: I think that I
3	encourage the questioner and others, and I know there
4	is broad interest in digital I&C, please question the
5	NRC staff presenters.
6	I think that my assessment of the NRC staff
7	is they are ready to have a vigorous dialogue on digital
8	I&C and have been having it in various fora where they
9	engaged outside of the NRC on this.
10	I think in my first year on this Commission
11	in 2008 one of the things that I did was visit the
12	nuclear Navy in the U.S. to talk about their success
13	in adopting digital instrumentation and control
14	technologies.
15	That was ten years ago and I am fairly
16	confident in saying the following, if you were to ask
17	any NRC subject matter expert or the NRC managers if
18	they are content with the pace of issue resolution or
19	digital I&C I am fairly confident they would tell you
20	that they are not personally satisfied with it.
21	With that being said, I think that there
22	have been a lot of issues that have been brought to the
23	floor and I think that the notion, I think success on
24	digital I&C could actually be a precursor to other kind

of risk-informed approaches that could spread into

1	other areas of the NRC's work.
2	So it may be a reason why it is, we're
3	taking the time to work through with some finality the
4	digital I&C questions because they may philosophically
5	have connection to other modernized technology that we
6	know will be coming our way and we'll want to be sure
7	that as we approach digital I&C we set a path that is
8	going to work in terms of a risk-informed approach
9	overall to new technologies that are similar to what
10	the regulated community is engaging us on now.
11	It does take a bit of a paradigm shift. I
12	will admit that personally I view coming to some greater
13	resolution on digital I&C I think that will be a leading
14	indicator of NRC having confronted some tough issues
15	on risk and being risk informed.
16	And I will say that I also observed that
17	NRC makes a broad acknowledgment that digital I&C
18	systems, in addition to being necessary to address
19	obsolescence issues in the supply chain, also offer
20	safety advantages and I think that NRC is very committed
21	to moving through these issues so that those safety
22	enhancements for operators can be, we can accomplish
23	that in the United States.
24	MR. HOLIAN: Yes, thank you, Chairman.
25	And I know at the transformation session this afternoon

1	digital I&C will be one of the items brought up by Dan
2	Dorman at the transformation session and I do
3	highlight, as the Chairman mentioned, Session T8,
4	Progress and Challenges in Implementing Digital I&C in
5	the Nuclear Industry," so NRR is prepared to answer more
6	in-depth questions at that session.
7	Chairman Svinicki, what do you worry about
8	day-to-day versus year in and year out?
9	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: I think one of the
10	luxuries for the members of our Commission is the
11	tremendous technical depth in the Agency that allows
12	us day-to-day to proceed with an extremely high
13	confidence, and I know I do and I think this is true
14	to all the members I have served with, you can go
15	day-to-day with great confidence that should some event
16	happen at an NRC-regulated facility we are going to be
17	able to have the people and processes and capability
18	to address that on behalf of the American people.
19	So that is a luxury to know that day-to-day
20	just the basic operational issues are not something
21	that I need to worry about because my confidence is so
22	high and the quality of how we would respond to an event.
23	Year-to-year is a different question
24	though because that is about peering over the horizon
25	and saying for the time that I am at NRC am I

1 contributing to putting in place the things that when I arrived my predecessors were thoughtful enough so 2 that certain things I didn't have to immediately take 3 4 on and worry. 5 I don't favor the term "leaving a legacy," but I think all of us in our work say that I want that 6 those who follow on after me will go, well, I didn't 8 know that Kristine Svinicki but thank goodness that she 9 thought that this might be a problem ten years from now 10 and she at least started to encourage people to put 11 something in place to address that. 12 So I think if year-to-year I worry about 13 anything it's kind of about NRC as an organization, all 14 of us, and the way the culture that we are creating, 15 the way we are working with each other, and are we 16 creating a legacy as kind of an organic organization 17 that is going to make all of our successors, going to 18 position them for success, and so I think year-to-year 19 I hope I am being as thoughtful as my predecessors were. 20 MR. HOLIAN: A couple related to that 21 topic on timing and in the future, there is two 2.2 questions. One individual says I have been in nuclear 23 power 47 years. Where do you see nuclear power going 24 in America the next 47 years? That's prophetic. 25 And another question congratulating you on

1	your ten years at the NRC. Where do you see yourself
2	ten years from now?
3	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Okay. Well I didn't
4	think I'd be standing here today, so it makes me humble
5	about predictions. Isn't that supposed to be a fool's
6	errand to make predictions?
7	I think So this is really me and this
8	is not coming from anybody else, but I have been in
9	So I don't have 47 years in and around the nuclear
L 0	profession but I do have at the end of this year it will
L1	be 28 years, not counting my time in the educational
L2	system.
L3	So I have observed things from, you know,
L 4	being in a more technology development environment at
L5	the Department of Energy, being in a kind of a pure,
L 6	raw, policy-making environment of the Congress and
L7	Capitol Hill and then coming here and seeing, you know,
L8	a kind of exquisite high fidelity mission execution
L 9	that I think, and I offer that so sincerely as a
20	complement to NRC as an enduring organization and I
21	think they should pride themselves on that.
22	But I know it's ego to feel like you stand
23	in uniquely dynamic times, but, gosh, it sure feels like
24	that to me. It feels Right now I don't know if it's
25	iust I've progressed through enough of my career that

1	I have enough runway, that I have enough runway still
2	in front of me but enough in my rearview mirror that
3	I that was a horrible mixed metaphor.
4	(Laughter)
5	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: That, you know, I have
6	some perspective and maybe a little bit of going forward
7	run time that it seems like the future of nuclear in
8	the United States is going to be determined by what
9	happens, and this is a crazy thing I shouldn't say as
10	Chairman, I think it's going to be determined by what
11	happens in the next five to seven years.
12	And so depending on what happens in the
13	next five to seven years I would be able to answer that
14	question about 47 years from now.
15	But I think that the paradigms all around
16	large light-water reactors, the program that we have
17	in the U.S., I think it's at a pivot point and if for
18	the part of the mission we execute, for the part of the
19	mission that technology designers execute for those who
20	support the whole nuclear enterprise, I think unless
21	there are some kind of experimentation, some pilots,
22	modeling of new paradigms, I am not sure that the old
23	models can carry us through the next 47 years.
24	And by that I say, you know, I think the
25	whole business of nuclear by my observation has changed

1	and, therefore, the fact that you can have a design
2	certification review in front of the NRC for, you know,
3	even a model milestone of four years or six years or
4	eight years, if you talk to the communities that are
5	investing the future of nuclear they're not going to
6	hold a business case together that long.
7	So I think that, you know, some of what you
8	see here, our Innovation Forum, which is inward looking
9	and those are the tweaks to the process, the
10	transformation initiative that you will hear more
11	about, I think fundamentally that is NRC's recognition
12	of the fact that we've got to examine maybe, again, not
13	our core mission changing, but some of the methods and
14	modes through which we conduct it.
15	And so we are looking at it, and if you want
16	to you can fault NRC for a lot of things, we are pretty
17	persnickety, we're very deliberate, we're very
18	thorough, if that bothers people, but we're not closed
19	off.
20	I just, you know, that's one thing, I think
21	there is a lot of defensiveness and debates about policy
22	and stuff going on right now. This is the least
23	defensive organization with which I have worked.
24	I think there is an authentic spirit of
25	saying we don't have the answers and so when I engage

1	policymakers I work hard to communicate how genuine
2	that is on our part.
3	If we are not doing enough to prepare for
4	advanced reactors here's what we are doing, what do you
5	see that's missing, tell me and I will take it back,
6	and we will take it on board because we do, that doesn't
7	mean our proposed approach to it would be the same as
8	anybody else's.
9	But you can't fault this place for being,
10	you know, thinking we have all the answers and being
11	closed off. If you see it tell me about it, but I don't
12	see it.
13	MR. HOLIAN: Okay. Thank you, Chairman.
14	A couple of questions on timing of items. Why hasn't
15	the Commission completed its deliberations on the final
16	50.46(c) rule? That's been up there.
17	There seems to be a second question or
18	votes by the Commission, it is a low level, could you
19	comment on that progress?
20	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Yes. As everyone
21	knows our Commission under law has the potential to have
22	turnover on new members and members leaving our
23	Commission, so every year we confront this.
24	But we have not been at our full compliment
25	of five commissioners for some years now and now we have

1	been at three commissioners for some period of time.
2	Rulemaking is very, very important Some
3	of the question seems to go around the rulemaking votes
4	and the rules that are in process at the Agency. We
5	have regulations on the books that have not been
6	revisited in 20 or 25 years.
7	So one of the reasons that I as a member
8	of the Commission is I have always taken rulemaking as
9	one of the most sober and important things I do is that
10	upon completion of a final rule that rule might stay
11	as a regulatory requirement for decades to come and not
12	be revisited in the absence of a need to revisit it.
13	So getting it right is a lot more important
14	than getting it done super quickly. We use other
15	instruments for safety or security issues that need to
16	be addressed immediately. We have many other
17	authorities we can do immediately effective orders.
18	So rulemaking is about putting in place the
19	things that are likely to be in place for a very long
20	period of time. The Administrative Procedure Act,
21	under which we are required to develop those
22	regulations, in general is a process that takes I think
23	three years if you do it quick, five, six years is not
24	unheard of for a regulation.

So where members of our Commission need

1	time to investigate issues around pending either a
2	proposed rule or a draft final rule, I know I approach
3	that with care, I have observed every other member of
4	the Commission I have served with over the years, takes
5	that as a very, very serious responsibility.
6	And I think there are a lot of benefits to
7	rulemaking but haste is not a positive attribute. Now
8	some may say, you know, something taking a couple of
9	years is not hasty.
10	The other thing, the reason I mentioned the
11	composition of the Commission is that although we have
12	the authority to move forward with three members,
13	having served on five-member commissions I observed
14	that we have the greatest confidence as a Commission
15	that each of the unique perspectives brought by a member
16	of the Commission adds value and completeness and
17	thoroughness to our deliberation on the final product,
18	which, again, might be in place for some decades to
19	come.
20	So where you see that action is fast or slow
21	by our Commission the tempo of our work is something
22	that we work as a deliberative body of three, four, or
23	five, to pace that.
24	And I am very I have been blessed to
25	serve on commissions that where a colleague needed more

1	time to continue to work through issues, we tend to
2	respect that with each other, again, knowing that where
3	are the Agency needs to act quickly rulemaking is not
4	the means through which we do that.
5	MR. HOLIAN: Thank you, Chairman. This
6	questioner has enjoyed your references to popular
7	culture in the past. What film or movie reference
8	comes to mind when you think about your experience as
9	chairman versus a commissioner?
L 0	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Oh, gosh, so many
L1	answers. And I don't share everything that goes
L2	through my head. Those of you who work with me probably
L3	think do you ever have an unexpressed thought.
L 4	You know, it's interesting, this, because
L 5	actually I do have a lot of cable channels that run
L 6	movies all the time and so I tend to see my old
L7	favorites, you know, I'm folding laundry or something
L 8	on the weekends, so I have something on in the
L 9	background.
20	And recently something has been brought
21	back forward, although it's a film from 1980, I think.
22	I remember I first saw it I was in high school, but it
23	so I'll get to this in a minute, but Coal Miner's
24	Daughter, I don't know if anyone has ever seen that.
25	My grandfather was an iron miner, although

it's not quite as quippy to say iron miner's
granddaughter, but Coal Miner's Daughter is a really
great movie, and we have an international audience
here, I don't know if people know this film, but it is
based on an autobiography by the first lady of country
music, Ms. Loretta Lynn.

And one of the reasons the movie inspires is just I have a view, I am a fan of popular culture, but I think that women in the arts, we look at them as being entertaining and everything, but the truth is during certain periods of time in our history, and maybe, too, today, think about those women had to be entrepreneurs, businesswomen, in addition to being tremendously creative, brilliant artists so much.

And often I have to assume they had to do all this in an environment while caretaking, maybe providing for their families, or not being treated all that well all the time probably.

I think that's probably true, but this is a story of the hard life that Loretta Lynn had and she goes on to be very, very successful, of course, but she has a moment where the success and the stress traveling all the time, trying to care for her family, she has a rather epic meltdown on stage, which I am not about to reenact right now, and I am not having a meltdown

2.2

1	being Chairman, that's not the point of this story.
2	But they have a point in that meltdown
3	And, again, she won the academy award for best actress,
4	if you have not watched it, I recommend it. If you just
5	look at the subtleties of Sissy Spacek's performance
6	as Loretta, she just embodied the woman and just the
7	subtlety of how she portrayed such a big personality
8	and she deserved it.
9	And she did all her own singing if my memory
10	serves, so it was just an amazing, amazing performance,
11	speaking of another woman in the arts. But in that kind
12	of stream of consciousness on stage, I am finally
13	answering this question, she gets to a point, Doo, her
14	husband, Doolittle, is, you know, kind of in the aisle
15	and he's looking at her and he is seeing her kind of
16	just collapse form exhaustion almost, but she said, you
17	know, I wanted to come out here and just tell something
18	to all you all good people, she said Doo doesn't want
19	me to say anything.
20	So she reminds me a little bit of myself
21	because I am like, oh, I shouldn't be saying this but
22	I'm going to say it anyway, but she says and her good
23	friend was Patsy Cline.
24	She actually really admired Patsy Cline,
25	but she said, so she's got this stream of consciousness

and she goes Patsy's always saying, and, girl, you got to run your own life.

So that's what I think of as Chairman because, and Mr. Burns knows this really well, is that the pace of small items demanding your attention every single day, so the core of what we do, chairman, member of the Commission, all those core authorities are the same.

We have the same vote in the process but the number of items of maybe not the greatest significance but time-sensitivity that demands your attention, so I think there is some sympathy for anyone in this room knows what it is to come into your office and feel like they just ran your whole day.

So sometimes I have to take a breath and say, girl, you got to run your own life, so I've to set my own priorities. The other great thing, and I don't do a great Kentucky accent, but that was pretty darn good, but the other thing she says to do later on, because he's always making this sound in his chest throughout the movie, and it was Tommy Lee Jones, who also did a great job, he might have been nominated but I don't think he got the Oscar for best supporting actor, but she says -- I got to take, I got to make sure get this, and she goes you sound like old bear

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1	growling.
2	(Laughter)
3	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: That's pretty good.
4	It's pretty good. Well, you go listen to the movie,
5	that was pretty good.
6	(Applause)
7	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: Oh, the other,
8	there's the other Okay, so my final great line from
9	that movie is Doolittle is talking about growing up,
LO	I think he grew up in West Virginia, anyway I just, I
L1	love the culture of that part of America, which I don't
L2	think we have honored as much Appalachia and that part
L3	of the country, I mean some of our most authentic
L 4	culture, American, pure American culture comes from
L5	that part of the United States, but, so Doolittle, this
L 6	is the one line of his, and I don't have his accent down
L7	as well, but he goes, honey, there's three things you
L8	grow up in West Virginia, you got three choice, coal
L 9	mine, moonshine, or move it on down the line.
20	(Laughter and applause)
21	MR. HOLIAN: Very good, thank you.
22	Chairman, St. Patrick's Day is coming up, I don't know
23	if you have an Irish accent in there, but I won't ask.
24	That's my side of the family.

CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: I do not.

1	MR. HOLIAN: Okay, Irish joke. That's my
2	side also if you need to Chairman, one last question,
3	and, Vic, we saved some for you here, but on innovation
4	and transformation there were a couple related
5	questions.
6	You know, do you believe incremental
7	change is enough in the current environment or is more
8	needed? It sounds like an NRC staffer had a question
9	about I am young in my career at the NRC what can I do
LO	to drive change and modernize regulatory activities?
L1	And another spin on that was should I as
L2	an NRC member encourage innovation and new technology,
L3	is that my role in the NRC mission?
L 4	CHAIRMAN SVINICKI: That's a great set of
L5	questions. I think the first of those about
L 6	incremental change versus step change, I think that I
L7	have had remarks around that in some of the other
L8	answers that I have given and in my remarks to say that,
L 9	and I don't know, and it will be NRC employees to decide
20	what, where the transformation initiative goes and
21	things like that.
22	Of course there will be, ultimately, I
23	think proposals for the Commission, but taking on the
24	hard work of seeing if there is transformation
25	opportunity and achieving it that is going to fall to

1	many of the NRC staff that are probably here listening
2	or back over at the office building making sure that
3	everything is functioning well right now.
4	I think the role of every person in any
5	organization is to show up and don't withhold their best
6	ideas. I spent a lot of the early part of my career,
7	and I know this is inconceivable based on sitting here
8	even this morning, but I was afraid to speak up because
9	I just, I felt, I had the surely's as I call them.
10	Surely there is somebody else in this room
11	that knows better than me. Surely there is somebody
12	else, you know, those people been here longer than me
13	and they know better, and I just, at some point I
14	realized that first of all the thing you are thinking
15	that your lack of, you know, like my idea is probably
16	not that good I shouldn't say it, a lot of other people
17	in that room are withholding their ideas as well and
18	we're not going to get there if that's our approach.
19	So I think it's everybody's job. That's
20	been my approach in my career once I had this point of
21	understanding that I realized that I should toss my
22	ideas out into the mix and I wanted to be part of
23	creating the organization that I was showing up to every
24	day.
25	So I think whether or not it enthuses you

1	I bet you have something that is of value to somebody
2	else if you put it forward and, you know, I think the
3	pace of change is something that it will be uneven and
4	we'll continue to have an uneven pace of change, but
5	I am excited for NRC's future just very sincerely.
6	And that doesn't mean that we don't have
7	a lot of hard stuff to take onboard and that, you know,
8	we are doing equally well in every area, but I stand
9	here very optimistic, as I said, fully confident but
10	never satisfied, and that's where I am and I approach
11	my work that way and I think both of those things car
12	co-exist.
13	MR. HOLIAN: Thank you, Chairman
14	Svinicki.
15	(Applause)

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701