



New Reactor Fuel Design

RIC 2008 Technical Issues/Systems Session

Fred M. Forsaty
NRC Office of New Reactors
March 12, 2008



Outline

- New Reactor Fuel Design Features
- New Reactor Fuel Acceptance Criteria
- New Reactor Fuel Design Impacts on Regulatory Review
 - Critical Heat Flux
 - Fuel Management and Safety Limits
 - Large Break Loss of Coolant Accident



New Reactor Fuel Design Features

PWR

- 14 ft fuel active length

BWR

- 10 and 12 ft fuel active length

PWR / BWR

- Up to 24-month cycle
- Higher density pellet (up to 97% Theoretical Density)
- Higher burnable poison content
- *Maximum rod burnup*
- *U235 enrichment < 5 wt%*



New Reactor Fuel Acceptance Criteria

- 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, "General Design Criteria For Nuclear Power Plants"
- Regulatory Guide 1.206, "Combined License Applications for Nuclear Power Plants"
- NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan," (Chapter 4, Reactor)
 - Provides guidance to assure compliance with 10 CFR 50, Appendix A



New Reactor Fuel Design Impacts on Regulatory Review

Example: Critical Heat Flux Correlation (CHF) review for a new reactor design with a core height outside of vendor's operational experience in United States.

CHF: Represents the upper limit of allowable heat flux

- Core height dependent
- Requires Lead Test Assembly program or testing

Challenge: Not all applications contain sufficient test data to support acceptance per NUREG-0800 guidance



New Reactor Fuel Design Impacts on Regulatory Review (cont.)

Example: Core management and safety limits

- Safety limits are based on planned operation of the core
- Initial and subsequent core loadings must be bounded by safety limits

Challenge: Not all applications contain sufficient analyses. Initial and equilibrium cycle analyses are needed to support the review



New Reactor Fuel Design Impacts on Regulatory Review (cont.)

Example: Large Break Loss of Coolant Accident (LBLOCA)

- Codes and methods must be verified as applicable to the new reactor design
- Critical parameters must be based on approved codes and methods or on test data.

Challenge: Many applications lack statistically significant test data to support the safety limit predications. Example: Realistic LBLOCA



Summary

To support the review schedules, the staff will be working with the applicant:

- To ensure applications contain sufficient detail
- To ensure initial and equilibrium core designs are provided for review
- To ensure that accident analyses is based on accepted methodologies and codes applicable to the new reactor and fuel design
- To ensure that subsequent amendments to the existing applications contain high level of quality
