


Regulatory Information Conference

**Part 52 Expectations vs. Reality
Met or Not?**

March 13, 2008
Rockville, Maryland


1



UniStar Partial Application Experience

- Background
 - High quality partial application submitted for Calvert Cliffs; all applicable aspects of RG1.206 were addressed
 - Subsequent letters were to correct errata; there was no need to supplement the application
 - Revision 1 addressed NRC requests; involved changes to only about 40 pages of the partial application vs. the 642-page figure reported in the press (Additional reports were also submitted)
- Lessons Learned
 - Acceptance review more akin to technical review
 - Alternatives to RG1.206 guidance treated as "non-compliance" and become an obstacle to acceptance
 - Technical differences raised during acceptance review are of the nature of RAs raised during detailed review
 - Examples:
 - SAMDA
 - Backfill

2



UniStar Partial Application Experience

1. Pre-construction resolution of safety/environmental issues
 - COLA reviews just begun: too early to determine
2. Reduction of applicant/licensee financial risk through standardization
 - S-COLA will follow R-COLA to the greatest extent possible
3. Improvements in regulatory predictability
 - Too early to say

3
