10.4 Benefit-Cost Balance

The benefits and costs of constructing and operating STP 3 & 4 are discussed in the following paragraphs. The results are summarized in Table 10.4-2. Costs are given as monetary (where feasible), quantitative, or qualitative.

A summary of the overall benefits and costs of the proposed project and the comparison to the alternative sites, discussed in Section 9.3, is included in Subsection 10.4.3. Table 10.4-3 is a comparative summary of the benefits of the proposed project and alternative sites. Table 10.4-4 summarizes the unavoidable adverse impacts, which could be considered qualitative costs, of the proposed project at the alternative sites and measures and controls to reduce environmental impacts.

10.4.1 Benefits

10.4.1.1 Need for Power

STP 3 & 4 will each generate approximately 1350 MWe for a total of approximately 2700 MW. Assuming a reasonably low capacity factor of 85%, the two-unit plant average annual electrical-energy generation would be more than 20,000,000 MW-hours. A reasonably high capacity factor of 93% would result in approximately 22,000,000 MW-hours of electricity.

As discussed in Chapter 8, the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT), the independent system operator for the electric grid for most of Texas, conducted several studies on the need for power in their service area. ERCOT has concluded that a significant amount of new generation will be needed to meet the demand projected for 2016 along with maintaining the recommended minimum of a 12.5% reserve margin. The addition of 20,000,000 to 22,000,000 MW-hours of electricity from STP 3 & 4 will help maintain system reliability by increasing the availability of baseload power in the ERCOT distribution area.

10.4.1.2 Fuel Diversity and Natural Gas Alternative

Fuel diversity is the key to affordable and reliable electricity. A diverse fuel mix protects electric companies and consumers from contingencies such as fuel unavailability, price fluctuations, and changes in regulatory practices (Reference 10.4-1). Experience has shown that it is risky to develop an over-reliance on any one energy source. In fact, a balanced energy portfolio has been the key to providing the United States with a growing supply of affordable electricity for the past 30 years (Reference 10.4-2).

Nuclear power plants currently generate approximately 20% of the electricity produced in the United States; however, recent electric generating capacity additions and projected future additions are primarily fueled by natural gas. According to the Department of Energy, an over-reliance on a single fuel source, like natural gas, is a potential vulnerability to the long-term security of our nation's energy supply. Additional new nuclear plants must be built in the next decade to address increasing concerns over air quality and to ease the pressures on natural gas supply (Reference 10.4-3). The ERCOT region fuel mix consists of approximately 46.6% natural gas, 37.4% coal,

13.6% nuclear, 1.9% renewables and 0.4% from other sources (actual energy production values June 2005–May 2006) (Reference 10.4-4).

Maintaining fuel diversity is a matter of maintaining a balance of fuel mixes. Relying heavily on natural gas is a matter of choosing a limited resource over more abundant fuels. High prices for natural gas and the intense, recurring periods of price volatility experienced over the last several years are influenced partly by demand for natural gas in the electric generation sector. Electric sector demand for natural gas is being driven by new gas-fired electric generating capacity built in the United States during the last decade. More than 90% of all new electric generating capacity added over the past 5 years is fueled with natural gas. New nuclear plants provide forward price stability that is not available from generating plants fueled with natural gas. The intense volatility in natural gas prices experienced over the last several years is likely to continue, and leaves the U.S. economy vulnerable. Although nuclear plants are capital-intensive to build, the operating costs are stable and dampen the volatility elsewhere in the electricity market (Reference 10.4-5). Natural gas has uses that are not readily served by other fuel choices, such as many manufacturing processes. This led the U.S. House of Representatives to prepare a Majority Staff report that included the following findings (Reference 10.4-6):

- To enhance competitiveness and protect American jobs, natural gas must not be used for baseload electricity generation or for new generating capacity. Natural gas should be reserved for industries that use it as a feedstock or for primary energy and cannot substitute for it by fuel-switching.
- Nuclear energy must become the primary generator of base load electricity, thereby relieving the pressure on natural gas prices and dramatically improving atmospheric emissions.

For Texas, the addition of STP 3 & 4 would represent a step towards maintaining what has been a successful mix of fuel types for generating electricity. STP 3 & 4 will help maintain the state's fuel diversity while meeting state and national goals of creating new baseload generation that would not use natural gas as a fuel.

10.4.1.3 Emissions Reduction

Nuclear generation contributes considerable air quality benefits to the nation. Unlike electricity generated from coal and natural gas, nuclear energy does not result in significant emissions of air pollutants associated with global warming and climate change (e.g., nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, carbon dioxide) or methyl mercury (Reference 10.4-6). Fossil fuel-fired power plants are responsible for 64% of the nation's sulfur dioxide emissions, 26% of nitrogen oxide emissions, 33% of mercury emissions, and 36% of man-made carbon dioxide emissions. The majority of the industry's emissions are from coal-fired plants (Reference 10.4-6).

Subsections 9.2.3.1 and 9.2.3.2 analyze coal- and gas-fired alternatives to STP 3 & 4, respectively. Air emissions from these alternatives and for nuclear power are summarized in Table 10.4-1.

10.4-2 Benefit-Cost Balance

Regardless of which reasonable alternative one compares to nuclear power, STP 3 & 4 would represent a substantial benefit in emission reduction, or emission avoidance, assuming that an alternative power source would be constructed if STP 3 & 4 were not.

10.4.1.4 Advantages of Nuclear Power

Concerns about global warming and climatic change make it reasonable to expect that, eventually, the United States may have to strictly curb emissions from fossil-fuel electric generation plants, conceivably to the point of displacing coal- and gas-fired electricity generation. If environmental policies greatly restrict carbon emissions in the future, the cost of building and operating fossil-fired plants could increase by 50% to 100%. Nuclear power is the only technology currently available that is a viable alternative to fossil-fired plants for baseload generation. In view of the time that it takes the nuclear industry to regain its capacity for construction and operation, the prospect of needing nuclear power to displace fossil-fuel power is one of the reasons for national concern with maintaining a nuclear energy capability (Reference 10.4-7).

10.4.1.5 Licensing Certainty

The regulatory scheme used for the existing domestic fleet of nuclear plants, under 10 CFR 50, was a two-step process that resulted in much uncertainty about cost projections and, in retrospect, final costs. This was due, in part, to the fact that the industry had to make large capital investments before resolving licensing issues. In large, capital-intensive construction projects, interest costs are a significant portion of the project cost. Interest charges on overnight capital costs account for a quarter of the levelized cost of electricity from nuclear power plants (Reference 10.4-7). For existing nuclear power plants built under 10 CFR 50, licensing delays quickly and substantially increased project cost. Design changes, whether driven by licensing concerns, backfit requirements, or other factors, had similar effects.

STPNOC is looking to NRC's 10 CFR 52 process to increase the licensing certainty of proposed new nuclear power plants. This new regulatory process provides for early resolution of siting issues before making large investments of financial capital and human resources in new plant design and construction, early resolution of issues on the environmental impacts of construction and operation of proposed reactors, the ability to bank sites on which nuclear plants may be located, and the facilitation of future decisions on whether to build new nuclear plants. STPNOC believes that the resultant increase in licensing certainty will reduce project costs by decreasing premiums associated with uncertainty and making licensing and construction scheduling more controllable and reliable.

10.4.1.6 Tax Payments

During construction, STPNOC has projected the sales tax payments to be an estimated \$23.9 million per unit, with \$5.8 million due to Bay City and \$18.1 million to the state of Texas over the construction period. These payments would provide a total of \$11.6 million to Bay City over the 7-year construction period. Increased tax revenues will also come from housing purchases by the incoming workforce and increased school and property taxes as a result of the construction of STP 3 & 4.

The owners will pay property taxes on STP 3 & 4 for the duration of the 40-year operating licenses. Matagorda County receives the taxes paid on STP property. As described in Subsection 5.8.2.2.2, over the life of the plant, annual franchise tax payments could range from approximately \$4.7 million during initial operations to approximately \$10 million in the last years of the 40-year operational life. Additional tax revenue would be generated from sales and use taxes, and property taxes on the operational workforce housing. Most people consider large tax payments a benefit to the taxing entity because they support the development of infrastructure which supports further economic development.

10.4.1.7 Local Economy

STP 3 & 4 would require a construction peak workforce of 5950 people. As presented in Subsection 4.4.2.2.1, 4790 direct and indirect jobs would be created during the 7-year construction period, assuming that 50% of the workforce migrates into the 50-mile region. The creation of these jobs could inject between \$67.6 and \$676 million dollars into the regional economy during the life of the construction project, reduce unemployment by up to 20%, and create business opportunities for housing and service-related industries.

STP 3 & 4 would require an operations workforce of about 888 people. Of this total, 444 are assumed to migrate into the 50-mile region. According to the multiplier effect, for every one job at STP 3 & 4, an estimated 1.47 jobs would be created within the 50-mile region. This would result in the creation of 653 additional indirect jobs. In total, 1097 new jobs within about a 50-mile radius of the plant (Subsection 5.8.2.2.1) would be created by the startup of STP 3 & 4 and would be maintained throughout the life of the plant. Many of these indirect jobs would be in the service sector and could be filled by local residents, lessening demands on social service agencies in addition to strengthening the economy. The economic multiplier effect of the increased spending by the direct and indirect labor force created as a result of STP 3 & 4 would increase the economic activity in the region, most noticeably in rural Matagorda County. The dollar impact of these jobs, as discussed in Subsection 5.8.2.2.1, would be approximately \$45,000,000 to \$55,000,000 per year within the 50-mile region.

Nuclear plants such as the STP site are estimated to generate approximately \$350 million in total output for the local community and roughly \$60 million in total labor income. The Southern States Energy Board reference (Reference 10.4-8) does not provide specific years for the \$350 and \$60 million figures, nor does it specifically identify the studies done by the NEI to support this statement. However, the Southern States Energy Board is considered a reliable source of data. STPNOC believes that the Southern States Energy Board's interpretation of NEI's data is correct, reasonably current (within the late 1990s to early 2000s), and useful for this analysis, even if the exact years of the data cannot be determined. These figures include direct effects, which reflect expenditures for goods, services, and labor, and indirect effects, which include subsequent spending in the community. The economic multiplier effect is one way of measuring indirect effects. Every dollar spent by nuclear plants results in the creation of an additional \$1.13 in the community (Reference 10.4-8).

10.4-4 Benefit-Cost Balance

10.4.1.8 Benefit Summary

Table 10.4-2 includes a summary of the benefits of the proposed project (STP Units 3 & 4). In ER Section 9.3, STPNOC evaluated environmental impacts of construction and operation of the proposed project at three alternate sites (Red 2 greenfield site, Allens Creek greenfield site, and Trinity 2 greenfield site). Two additional sites were previously selected and evaluated as alternate sites in other revisions of the ER: Malakoff and Limestone. For completeness, similar evaluations of these two sites are also included in ER Section 9.3. Table 10.4-3 provides a comparison of the benefits of construction and operation of STP Units 3 & 4 to those at the three alternate sites and the two additional sites.

10.4.2 Costs

10.4.2.1 Monetary-Construction

In evaluating the STP 3 & 4 monetary cost, STPNOC reviewed published literature, vendor information, internally generated general information, and internally generated site-specific information. There are many cost studies available in the literature with a wide range of cost estimates. STPNOC found four studies to be most authoritative due to the breadth and depth of their analyses and the fact that other studies tend to be based on them. These are:

- University of Chicago Study (Reference 10.4-7)
- MIT Study (Reference 10.4-9)
- OECD Study (Reference 10.4-10)
- EIA Study (Reference 10.4-11)

The phrase commonly used to describe the monetary cost of constructing a nuclear plant is "overnight capital cost." The capital costs are those incurred during construction, when the actual outlays for equipment, construction, and engineering are expended. Overnight costs are exclusive of interest and include engineering, procurement, and construction costs, owner's costs, and contingencies (Reference 10.4-7).

Estimates of overnight capital costs in 2003 dollars range from \$1000 per kW to \$2500 per kW (Reference 10.4-10), with \$1500 to \$2000 per kW being the most representative range (Reference 10.4-10). Many factors account for the range in cost, such as:

- The specific technology used
- Assumptions about the number of like-units built
- Allocation of first-of-a-kind cost
- Site location

- Parity adjustments to allow comparison between countries
- Allowances for contingencies

The estimates are not based on nuclear plant construction experience in this country, which is more than 20 years old. Actual construction costs overseas have been less than the most recent domestic construction, suggesting that the industry has learned from the domestic experience. There is an assumption that the overseas experience can be applied domestically and the studies have found the overseas experience to be most applicable to estimating the cost of new domestic nuclear plant construction (Reference 10.4-7). There is reason to believe that new reactors will be less expensive to build than those currently in operation in the United States. Over the past 30 years, there have been technological advances in construction techniques that would reduce costs. In addition, simplified, standardized, and pre-approved designs clearly result in cost savings. The newer plants have fewer components and therefore would be less expensive. Because the designs of advanced reactors are pre-approved by the NRC, much of the design work will be done before construction begins, and this will lower the costs (Reference 10.4-11)

The four studies tend to support \$2000 per kW as a reasonable high-end overnight capital cost estimate. The \$2500 value is based on construction in Japan. While no explanation is offered as to why the cost in Japan is so high in this study, it is reasonable to suggest that contributing factors are the high cost of living in Japan (labor accounts for more than 20% of costs) and difficulties associated with construction on an island. Construction experience with ABWR plants worldwide will be used to minimize First of a Kind Engineering (FOAKE) costs discussed in the studies. For the purposes of analysis in this environmental report, to avoid understating the cost, STPNOC has chosen to use the \$2000 per kW value (year 2003 dollars). Together with an installed capacity of 2700 MWe, \$2000 per kW results in a STP 3 & 4 construction cost of approximately \$5.4 billion in 2003 dollars.

10.4.2.2 Monetary-Operation

The four studies discussed in Subsection 10.4.2.1 show a wide range of operation cost estimates. Operation costs are frequently expressed as levelized cost of electricity, which is the price at the busbar needed to cover operating costs and annualized capital costs. The levelized cost of a project is equivalent to the constant dollar ("real") price of electricity that would be necessary over the life of the plant to cover all operating expenses, interest, and principal repayment obligations on project debt, taxes, and provide an acceptable return to equity investors over the economic life of the project (Reference 10.4-9). Overnight capital costs account for a third of the levelized cost, and interest costs on the overnight costs account for another 25%. Levelized cost estimates range from \$36 to \$65 per MW-hour (3.6 to 6.5 cents per kW hour) (Reference 10.4-7). Factors affecting the range include choices for discount rate, construction duration, plant lifespan, capacity factor, cost of debt and equity and split between debt and equity financing, depreciation time, tax rates, and premium for uncertainty. It is concluded that \$65 per MW-hour (6.5 cents per kW-hour) is a reasonably conservative high-end levelized cost of electricity for nuclear generation. This includes nuclear fixed operation and maintenance and fuel costs of approximately

10.4-6 Benefit-Cost Balance

\$60,000 per MW-hr (\$60 per kW – hour) and \$4.35 per MW-hr (0.435 cents per kW-hour), respectively. Decommissioning costs have been estimated for one reactor at STP to be approximately \$517 million (in year 2006 dollars), consistent with the formula established by the NRC in 10 CFR 50.75.

In addition to nuclear plant costs, the four studies provide coal- and gas-fired generation costs for comparison to nuclear generation costs. One study shows nuclear costs competitive with coal and gas (Reference 10.4-10). The other studies show nuclear costs that exceed those of coal and gas. The MIT study indicates that new nuclear power is not economically competitive but goes on to suggest steps that the government could take to improve nuclear economic viability (Reference 10.4-9). Since this study, the government has undertaken those steps as follows:

- U. S. Department of Energy has provided financial support for plants testing the U. S. NRC licensing processes for early site permits and combined operating licenses.
- The U. S. government has endorsed nuclear energy as a viable carbon-free generation option.
- The Energy Policy Act of 2005 instituted a production tax credit for the first advanced reactors brought on line in the United States.

STPNOC has concluded that the government steps have negated the MIT study's conclusion that new nuclear power is not economically competitive.

10.4.2.3 Environmental and Material

Section 10.1 identifies unavoidable adverse impacts of the proposed action (i.e., impacts after consideration of proposed mitigation actions), and Section 10.2 identifies irretrievable commitments of resources. Table 10.4-2 includes these costs. The qualitative costs that are unavoidable impacts to the environment are in the general categories of land and water.

Environmental impacts of construction and operation of the proposed project at three alternate sites (Red 2 greenfield site, Allens Creek greenfield site, and Trinity 2 greenfield site) are discussed in ER Section 9.3. Two additional sites were previously selected and evaluated as alternate sites in other revisions of the ER: Malakoff and Limestone. For completeness, similar evaluations of these two sites are also included in ER Section 9.3. Table 10.4-4 describes the impacts of construction and operation of the proposed project at the three alternate sites and the two additional sites, and provides details regarding potential mitigation, and the unavoidable adverse impacts after mitigation has been considered.

Consistent with Regulatory Guide (RG) 4.2, each site was evaluated using publicly available and reconnaissance level information. Consequently, the costs of mitigation must be estimated. Many costs would be built into the project design (e.g., scheduling to ensure that construction is completed in the shortest possible time; using construction best management practices to limit erosion, fugitive dust, runoff, spills,

and air emissions; providing first aid stations at the construction site). Other cost categories would be dependent on communications between STPNOC and the affected communities to mitigate the impacts and associated costs.

10.4.3 Summary

Table 10.4-3 summarizes benefits of the proposed action in comparison to the benefits of constructing the units at alternate sites, as identified in Section 9.3. Table 10.4-4 summarizes the environmental costs (adverse impacts) associated with construction and operation of the proposed project at the three alternate sites. Most of the impacts associated with construction of the proposed project, particularly operational impacts, would be the similar regardless of the location of the site.

10.4.4 References

- 10.4-1 "Fuel Diversity," Edison Electric Institute 2006. Available at http://www.eei.org/industry_issues/energy_infrastructure/fuel_diversity/index.htm, accessed March 19, 2007.
- 10.4-2 "Fuel Diversity," Center for Energy and Economic Development 2006. Available at http://www.ceednet.org/ceed/index.cfm?cid=7500,7583, accessed March 19, 2007.
- 10.4-3 "Nuclear Power 2010," U.S. Department of Energy, available at http://nuclear.energy.gov/np2010/neNP2010a.html, accessed March 22, 2007.
- 10.4-4 "Electric Reliability and Resource Adequacy Update," Electric Reliability Council of Texas July 13, 2006. Available at http://www.ercot.com/news/presentations/2006/index.html, accessed August 7, 2007.
- "Nuclear Energy's Role in Reducing Demand for Natural Gas Through Diversification of Energy Sources Used for Electricity Generation," Nuclear Energy Institute 2005, January 24, 2005, responding to questions posed by the Senate Energy and Natural Resource Committee for its Natural Gas Supply and Demand Conference, Quotation from Report of the President's National Energy Policy Development Group, May 2001. Available at http://www.nei.org/ documents/White_Paper_Reducing_Demand_Natural_Gas_1-24-05.pdf, accessed March 19, 2007.
- "U. S. House of Representatives, Securing America's Energy Future, Majority Staff Report to Committee on Government Reform and Subcommittee on Energy and resources," Nuclear Energy Institute 2005, May 8, 2006. Available at http://www.nei.org/documents/House_Energy_Report_5-8-06.pdf, accessed March 19, 2007.

10.4-8 Benefit-Cost Balance

- 10.4-7 "The Economic Future of Nuclear Power; A Study Conducted at The University of Chicago," University of Chicago 2004, August 2004. Available at http://np2010.ne.doe.gov/reports/NuclIndustryStudy.pdf, accessed March 19, 2007.
- "Nuclear Energy: Cornerstone of Southern Living, Today and Tomorrow," Southern States Energy Board 2006. Available at http://np2010.ne.doe.gov/reports/NuclIndustryStudy.pdf, accessed March 19, 2007.
- 10.4-9 "The Future of Nuclear Power, An Interdisciplinary MIT Study," Massachusetts Institute of Technology 2003. Available at http://web.mit.edu/nuclearpower/, accessed March 19, 2007.
- 10.4-10 International Energy Agency, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, and International Energy Agency, Projected Costs of Generating Electricity; 2005 Update, available at http://www.iea/org/Textbase/ publications/free_new_Desc.asp?PUBS_ID=1472, accessed March 23, 2007.
- 10.4-11 "Annual Energy Outlook 2004," Energy Information Administration.
 Available at http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/archive/aeo04/pdf/0383(2004).pdf, accessed April 2, 2007.

Table 10.4-1 Avoided Air Pollutant Emissions

Pollutant	Coal Emissions (tons per year/ 2700 MWe) [1]	Gas Emissions (tons per year/ 2700 MWe) [1]	Nuclear Emissions (tons per year) [2]
Sulfur dioxide	2,900	41	0
Nitrogen oxides	2,000	680	0
Carbon monoxide	2,800	141	0
Carbon dioxide	27,000,000	6,900,000	0
Mercury	0.46	0	0
Particulates having a diameter of less than 10 microns	50	0	0
Particulates having a diameter of less than 2.5 microns	13	119	0

^[1] Based on constructing two units to replace the power produced by STP 3 & 4 (gross power) (see Section 9.2).

10.4-10 Benefit-Cost Balance

^[2] Nuclear power plants have emergency and auxiliary equipment that is fossil-fuel-fired and emits pollutants. The equipment is generally operated only for testing purposes for less than 250 hours per year. As such, the emissions are considered minimal and are excluded here.

Table 10.4-2 Benefit-Cost Summary

Benefit-Cost Category	Description
	Benefits
Electricity generated	20,000,000 (85% capacity) to 22,000,000 (93% capacity) MW-hours per year
Generating capacity	2700 MW (gross)
Fuel diversity and natural gas alternative	Nuclear option to coal- and gas-fired baseload generation
Emissions reduction	Avoidance of 41 to 2900 tons per year sulfur dioxide Avoidance of 680 to 2000 tons per year nitrogen oxides Avoidance of 141 to 2800 tons per year carbon monoxide Avoidance of 6,900,000 to 27,000,000 tons per year carbon dioxide Avoidance of 13 to 119 tons per year fine particulates
Advanced Light Water Reactor development	Maintaining domestic nuclear technology capability as hedge against possible need to control global warming
Tax payments (construction and operation)	Projected sales tax payments on construction goods at an estimated \$23.9 million per unit, with \$5.8 million due to Bay City and \$18.1 million to the State of Texas over the 7 yr construction period. \$4.7 to \$5.4 million in franchise taxes in 2015, the first year of operation for STP 3, and an estimated \$8.6 to \$10.0 million in 2016, when STP 4 comes on line, and in subsequent years.
Socioeconomics	2975 direct and 1815 indirect jobs added to local economy during construction. 444 direct jobs and 653 indirect jobs added to local economy during operation. The creation of jobs during construction could inject between \$67.6 and \$676 million dollars into the regional economy during the life of the construction project, reduce unemployment by up to 20 percent, and create business opportunities for housing and service-related industries. The operations workforce impact on the regional economy would be estimated at between approximately \$45,000,000 to \$55,000,000 per year in the 50-mile region.

Table 10.4-2 Benefit-Cost Summary (Continued)

Benefit-Cost Category	Description
	Costs
Construction cost	\$5.4 billion dollars (overnight capital cost)
Operating cost	6.5 cents per kW-hour (levelized cost of electricity) \$60 per kW fixed O&M cost 0.435 cents per kW hour nuclear fuel cost \$517 million for decommissioning of one reactor
Land use	90 acres (excluding the Main Cooling Reservoir [MCR]) occupied on long-term basis by STP 3 & 4 and associated infrastructure. On-site landfill may restrict future uses of that land.
	Total annual land requirements for fuel cycle support would be 21 permanently committed acres and 160 temporarily committed acres per unit.
Hydrology - Groundwater use	During operations, the expected average rate of groundwater removal for STP 3 & 4 would be 975 gpm for normal operations and 3434 gpm for maximum (peak) operations. During the construction period, dewatering of shallow, water-table aquifer would have only small, local effect.
Hydrology - Surface water use	The expected rate of withdrawal of Colorado River water to replace water losses from the MCR (attributable to STP Units 3 & 4) will be 22,799 gpm for normal two-unit operations and 47,489 gpm during maximum (peak) use operations
Material (per reactor building)	240,000 yards concrete 13,000 tons structural steel 2,500,000 linear feet cable for reactor building 6,500,000 linear feet of cable for a single reactor 55,000 feet of piping having diameter >2.5 inches 17,000 metric tons of uranium

10.4-12 Benefit-Cost Balance

Table 10.4-3 Benefits of the Proposed Project

Benefit Category	Project as Proposed	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
Description of Project	STP Units 3 & 4	Proposed Project at Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Allens Creek Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Trinity 2 Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Malakoff Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Limestone Site (Greenfield)
			Monetary Benefits			
Electricity Generated		otion applies to all pro 0,000 MW-hours per y	posed/alternate/additi rear	onal sites.		
Generating Capacity	The following descript 2,700 MW	otion applies to all pro	posed/alternate/additi	onal sites.		
		State	and Local Tax Payn	nents		
During Construction	During the 7-year construction period for STP 3&4, NRG would not pay franchise tax, but would pay additional property taxes, and state will see an increase in sales tax revenues. Projected sales tax payments on construction goods at an estimated \$23.9 million per unit, with \$5.8 million due to Bay City and \$18.1 million to the State of Texas.	During the 7-year construction period, additional property tax will be paid to Fannin County and the state will see an increase in sales tax revenues.	During the 7-year construction period, additional property tax will be paid to Austin County and the state will see an increase in sales tax revenues.	During the 7-year construction period, additional property tax will be paid to Freestone County and the state will see an increase in sales tax revenues.	During the 7-year construction period, additional property tax will be paid to Henderson County and the state will see an increase in sales tax revenues.	During the 7-year construction period, additional property tax will be paid to Freestone County and the state will see an increase in sales tax revenues.

Environmental Report

Table 10.4-3 Benefits of the Proposed Project (Continued)

Benefit Category	Project as Proposed	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2	
Description of Project	STP Units 3 & 4	Proposed Project at Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Allens Creek Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Trinity 2 Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Malakoff Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Limestone Site (Greenfield)	
During Operations	\$4.7 to \$5.4 million in franchise taxes in 2015, the first year of operation for STP 3, and an estimated \$8.6 to \$10.0 million in 2016, when STP 4 comes on line, and in subsequent years.	During operation, tax payments for the 2 new units will be made to Fannin County.	During operation, tax payments for the 2 new units will be made to Austin County.	During operation, tax payments for the 2 new units will be made to Freestone County.	During operation, tax payments for the 2 new units will be made to Henderson County.	During operation, tax payments for the 2 new units will be made to Freestone County.	
	I	Effect	s on Regional Produ	ictivity	1		
During Construction	5,950 direct jobs (2,9	975 would in-migrate)	posed/alternate/additi and 1,815 indirect job nd \$676 million dollars	s added to local ecor	-	,	
During Operation	888 direct jobs and 1		posed/alternate/additi led to local economy. 064,880 per year.		force impact on the re	gional economy is	
		Technical a	nd Other Non-Monet	ary Benefits			
Fuel Diversity	Diversity The following description applies to all proposed/alternate/additional sites. Nuclear option to coal- and gas-fired baseload generation.						
Emissions Reduction	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Advanced Light Water Reactor Development The following description applies to all proposed/alternate/additional sites. Maintaining domestic nuclear technology capability as hedge against possible need to control global warming.							

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
		Constru	uction-Related		
	water intake line (35 acres) would not be available for other uses. Mitigation Measure – Comply with applicable laws, regulations, zoning and permit requirements and use good engineering	Adverse Impact — Approximately 10,300 acres of the site would be disturbed during construction. This includes 9,500 acres (cumulative) for a cooling water reservoir to support the nuclear plant combined with a water supply reservoir currently planned for the same	Adverse Impact — Approximately 2,500 acres of the site would be disturbed during construction (including construction of a cooling reservoir up to 1,700-acres in size, based on existing topography). Land devoted to construction of access roads (27 acres), rail spur line (120 acres), and makeup water intake line (36 acres), would not be available for other uses. Mitigation Measure — Comply with applicable laws, regulations, zoning and permit requirements and use good engineering construction practices (Best Management Practices or BMP). Implement environmental controls required in a Stormwater Pollution Protection Plan (SWPPP) such as weekly compliance inspections, documentation of runoff controls, etc. Restrict	Adverse Impact — Approximately 3,100 acres of the site would be disturbed during construction (including construction of a cooling reservoir up to 2,300-acres in size, based on existing topography). Land devoted to construction of access roads (29 acres), rail spur line (16 acres), and makeup water intake line (42 acres) would not be available for other uses. Mitigation Measure — Comply with applicable laws, regulations, zoning and permit requirements and use good engineering construction practices (Best Management Practices or BMP). Implement environmental controls required in a Stormwater Pollution Protection Plan (SWPPP) such as weekly compliance inspections, documentation of runoff controls, etc. Restrict	Adverse Impact — Approximately 4,000 acres of the site would be disturbed during construction (including construction of a cooling reservoir up to 3,200-acres in size, based on existing topography). Land devoted to construction of makeup water intake line (582 acres) would not be available for other uses. Mitigation Measure — Comply with applicable laws, regulations, zoning and permit requirements and use good engineering construction practices (Best Management Practices or BMP). Implement environmental controls required in a Stormwater Pollution Protection Plan (SWPPP) such as weekly compliance inspections, documentation of runoff controls, etc. Restrict construction to designated areas within the site. Re-

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

GOTY Alternate Site #1 Alternate Site #2 Alternate Site #3 Additional Site #1 Additional Site

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
Land Use	construction to designated	Management Practices or	construction to designated	construction to designated	contour and re-vegetate
(continued)	areas within the site. Re-	BMP). Implement	areas within the site. Re-	areas within the site. Re-	land used for temporary
	contour and re-vegetate	environmental controls	contour and re-vegetate	contour and re-vegetate	construction purposes.
	land used for temporary	required in a Stormwater	land used for temporary	land used for temporary	Identify and avoid wetlands
	construction purposes.	Pollution Protection Plan	construction purposes.	construction purposes.	to the extent possible
	Identify and avoid wetlands	(SWPPP) such as weekly	Identify and avoid wetlands	Identify and avoid wetlands	(although no high quality
	to the extent possible	compliance inspections,	to the extent possible (high	to the extent possible	wetlands were identified on
	(although no high quality	documentation of runoff	quality wetlands would be	(although no high quality	the site). Install fencing
	wetlands were identified on	controls, etc. Restrict	impacted by reservoir	wetlands were identified on	around wetlands during
	the site). Install fencing	construction to designated	construction). Install	the site). Install fencing	construction to protect
	around wetlands during	areas within the site. Re-	fencing around wetlands	around wetlands during	against inadvertent
	construction to protect	contour and re-vegetate	during construction to	construction to protect	excursion into the area.
	against inadvertent	land used for temporary	protect against inadvertent	against inadvertent	Stabilize and contour
	excursion into the area.	construction purposes.	excursion into the area.	excursion into the area.	permanently disturbed
	Stabilize and contour	Identify and avoid wetlands	Stabilize and contour	Stabilize and contour	locations in accordance with
	permanently disturbed	to the extent possible (high	permanently disturbed	permanently disturbed	design specifications.
	locations in accordance with	quality wetlands would be	locations in accordance with	locations in accordance with	Unavoidable Adverse
	design specifications.	affected by reservoir	design specifications.	design specifications.	Environmental Impacts -
	Unavoidable Adverse	construction). Install	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse	Areas within the exclusion
	Environmental Impacts -	fencing around wetlands	Environmental Impacts -	Environmental Impacts -	zone would be excluded
	Areas within the exclusion	during construction to	Areas within the exclusion	Areas within the exclusion	from future agricultural and
	zone would be excluded	protect against inadvertent	zone would be excluded	zone would be excluded	recreational use. Small
	from future agricultural and	excursion into the area.	from future agricultural and	from future agricultural and	unavoidable adverse
	recreational use. Small to	Stabilize and contour	recreational use. Small to	recreational use. Small to	impacts would be expected
	moderate unavoidable	permanently disturbed	moderate unavoidable	moderate unavoidable	during construction within
	adverse impacts would be	locations in accordance with	adverse impacts would be	adverse impacts would be	immediate site footprint
	expected during	design specifications.	expected during	expected during	(since most of area has
	construction of the plant.	Unavoidable Adverse	construction of the plant.	construction of the plant,	been previously disturbed);
		Environmental Impacts -	_	depending on final size of	small to moderate adverse
		Areas within the exclusion		reservoir and extent to	impacts at reservoir site
		zone (if necessary) would		which forested areas are	depending on final size of
		be excluded from future		affected.	reservoir and extent to
		agricultural and recreational			which forested areas are

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
Description of Project	Proposed Project at Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Allens Creek Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Trinity 2 Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Malakoff Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Limestone Site (Greenfield)
Land Use (continued)		use. Moderate to large unavoidable adverse impacts would be expected during construction of the plant (considering cumulative impacts from planned construction of water supply reservoir at same location).			affected.
Land Use (Transmission)	Adverse Impact – Three new 345-kilovolt transmission lines would be	Adverse Impact – Three new 345-kilovolt transmission lines would be	Adverse Impact – Three new 345-kilovolt transmission lines would be	Adverse Impact – Three new 345-kilovolt transmission lines would be	Adverse Impact – Three new 345-kilovolt transmission lines would be
	possible select corridors that follow existing rights-of-way. Avoid impacts to streams, ponds, reservoirs and wetlands. If required, conduct siting study that takes into account	required, each in a new 200-foot wide corridor. Construction of new transmission line corridors (2,060 acres) would be required. Mitigation Measure – Where possible select corridors that follow existing rights-of-way. Avoid impacts to streams, ponds, reservoirs and wetlands. If required, conduct siting study that takes into account environmental impacts.	Mitigation Measure – Where possible select corridors that follow existing rights-of-way. Avoid impacts to streams, ponds, reservoirs and wetlands. If required, conduct siting study that takes into account	required, each in a new 200-foot wide corridor. Construction of new transmission line corridors (total of 970 acres) would require new right-of-way, and would occur in an area consisting primarily of farmland and woodlands. Mitigation Measure – Where possible select corridors that follow existing rights-of-way. Avoid impacts to streams, ponds, reservoirs and wetlands. If required,	345 kV connections exist. Once at the Limestone plant, it is assumed that the lines could parallel existing ROW (with potential need
	environmental impacts. Incorporate recommendations of federal and state agencies into route selection decisions. Route new corridors to avoid state or federal parks, and critical or sensitive	Incorporate recommendations of federal and state agencies into route selections. Site new corridors to avoid state or federal parks, and critical or sensitive habitats or species as much as possible.	environmental impacts. Incorporate recommendations of federal and state agencies into route selection decisions. Route new corridors to avoid state or federal parks, and critical or sensitive	conduct siting study that takes into account environmental impacts. Incorporate recommendations of federal and state agencies into route selection decisions. Route new corridors to	for expansion). Minimal impact given the short distance between site and transmission tie-in, and area has been previously disturbed. Additional siting study not expected to be required. Restrict

	Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
			Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
D	escription of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
	Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
(Tra		as possible. Restrict construction activities to transmission corridors and access roads. Before site disturbance, conduct archaeological and ecological surveys and determine site-specific erosion control measures. Comply with all applicable laws, regulations, permits, zoning requirements, good	ecological surveys and determine site-specific erosion control measures. Comply with all applicable laws, regulations, permits, zoning requirements, good engineering, environmental management, and construction practices. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Moderate unavoidable adverse impacts of new transmission lines on land	as possible. Restrict construction activities to transmission corridors and access roads. Before site disturbance, conduct archaeological and ecological surveys and determine site-specific erosion control measures. Comply with all applicable laws, regulations, permits, zoning requirements, good engineering, environmental management, and construction practices. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Small unavoidable adverse impacts of new transmission lines on land use.	avoid state or federal parks, and critical or sensitive habitats or species as much as possible. Restrict construction activities to transmission corridors and access roads. Before site disturbance, conduct archaeological and ecological surveys and determine site-specific erosion control measures. Comply with all applicable laws, regulations, permits, zoning requirements, good engineering, environmental management, and construction practices. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Moderate unavoidable adverse impacts of new transmission lines on land use, based on assumption that portion would be in previously undisturbed	construction activities to transmission corridors and access roads. Sensitive resources not expected to be found within the corridors; however, before site disturbance, conduct archaeological and ecological surveys and determine site-specific erosion control measures. Comply with all applicable laws, regulations, permits, zoning requirements, good engineering, environmental management, and construction practices. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts — Small unavoidable adverse impacts of new transmission lines on land use.

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

The following description applies to all alternate/additional sites.

Adverse Impact – Construction debris would be disposed in onsite and/or offsite landfills.

Mitigation Measure – Use waste minimization to reduce volume of debris.

<u>Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts</u> – Small unavoidable adverse impacts to land use. Landfill space would be consumed for disposal of construction debris and would not be available for disposal of other wastes.

rights-of-way.

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmenta	al Impacts of Proposed F	Project at Alternate Site	es (Continued)
---	--------------------------	---------------------------	----------------

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2		
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at		
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site		
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)		
Land Use (Cultural Resources)	The following description applies to all alternate/additional sites. <u>Adverse Impact</u> – Potential to disturb buried historic, archaeological, or paleontological resources. <u>Mitigation Measure</u> – Consult State Historic Preservation Officer. Before site disturbance, conduct archaeological surveys. Develop and implement procedures that include actions to protect or recover cultural, historic, or paleontological resources. <u>Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts</u> – Potential for destruction of unanticipated historic, cultural, or paleontological resources. Small						
	unavoidable adverse impacts	to cultural resources from co	onstruction.	•	-		
Hydrology and Water Use	The following description applies to all alternate/additional sites. Adverse Impact – Construction would require up to approximately 600 gpm (normalized) of groundwater for use during construction. Mitigation Measure – Comply with applicable water rights requirements, laws, regulations, and permit requirements. Practice water conservation as practical. No other measures or controls would be necessary. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Small unavoidable adverse impacts. Adverse Impact – Install excavation dewatering wells for use during construction. Mitigation Measure – Install drainage system to divert dewatering runoff to settling basin before discharge through a permitted TPDES outfall. Follow best management practices for erosion control.						
	Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Small unavoidable adverse impacts. Adverse Impact – Construction along river banks or stream banks could introduce sediments into waterways. Mitigation Measure – Develop and implement a construction Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP); conduct monitoring as required the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, re-seed the areas. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Small unavoidable adverse impacts. Adverse Impact – Use of heavy equipment introduces the possibility of petroleum spills that could enter surface water. Mitigation Measure – Use good maintenance practices to maintain equipment, and prevent spills and leaks. Prepare and implement Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures (SPCC) Plan for construction activities. Restrict activities using petroleum products that are equipment spill containment. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Small unavoidable adverse impacts.						

Environmental Report

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
Aquatic Ecology	Adverse Impact - Reservoir	Adverse Impact - Reservoir	Adverse Impact - Reservoir	Adverse Impact - Reservoir	Adverse Impact – Reservoir
	construction would inundate	construction would inundate	construction would inundate	construction would inundate	construction would inundate
	the natural aquatic habitat	the natural aquatic habitat	the natural aquatic habitat	the natural aquatic habitat	the natural aquatic habitat
	along existing streams in	along existing streams in	along existing streams in	along existing streams in	along existing streams in
	the area (e.g., Bushy	the area (e.g., Allens	the area (e.g., Tehuacana	the area (Cedar Creek and	the area (Red Hollow
	Creek). Construction/	Creek). Construction/	Creek and Big Brown	Walnut Creek). Some high	Channel and Lynn Creek).
	dredging along the reservoir		Creek). Construction/	quality wetlands are in the	Some high quality wetlands
	shoreline, including an	shoreline, including an	dredging along the reservoir	site area.	along Lynn Creek are in the
	intake structure, would	intake structure, would	shoreline, including an	Construction/dredging along	potential reservoir area.
	cause the loss of some	cause the loss of some	intake structure, would	the reservoir shoreline,	Construction/dredging along
	organisms, and temporary	organisms, and temporary	cause the loss of some	including an intake	the reservoir shoreline,
		degradation of habitat.	organisms, and temporary	structure, would cause the	including an intake
	However, no Federally listed	There are no Federally	degradation of habitat.	loss of some organisms and	structure, would cause the
	aquatic species are found in	listed aquatic species in	However, no Federally listed	temporary degradation of	loss of some organisms,
		Austin County; however, a		habitat. However, no	and temporary degradation
		candidate species	Freestone County/Trinity	Federally listed aquatic	of habitat. However, no
		(sharpnose shiner) has	River. Transmission line	species are found in	Federally listed aquatic
	crossing of waterbodies or	potential to occur in the	and rail construction could	Henderson County.	species are found in
		Brazos River. Transmission	require crossing of	Transmission line and rail	Freestone County/Trinity
		line and rail construction	waterbodies or erection of	construction could require	River. Construction of water
	cause the loss of some	could require crossing of	towers within waterbodies	crossing of waterbodies or	intake line could require
	organisms and temporary	waterbodies or erection of	and would cause the loss of	erection of towers within	crossing of waterbodies and
	degradation of habitat.	towers within waterbodies	some organisms and	waterbodies and would	would cause temporary
			temporary degradation of	cause the loss of some	degradation of habitat.
		some organisms and	habitat.	organisms and temporary	Mitigation Measure - Install
		temporary degradation of	I	degradation of habitat.	cofferdam and store
	soils in spoils area designed		cofferdam and store	Mitigation Measure - Install	excavated sediment and
			excavated sediment and	cofferdam and store	soils in spoils area designed
	wetlands and watercourses;	cofferdam and store	soils in spoils area designed	excavated sediment and	to prevent loading in
		excavated sediment and	to prevent loading in	soils in spoils area designed	wetlands and watercourses;
			wetlands and watercourses;	to prevent loading in	use storm water retention
		to prevent loading in	use storm water retention	wetlands and watercourses;	basins as needed; re-seed
		I -	basins as needed; re-seed	use storm water retention	spoils area after
	implement a construction	use storm water retention	spoils area after	basins as needed; re-seed	construction. Develop and

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Description of Proposed Project at Red 2 Site (Greenfield) Aquatic Ecology (continued) SWPPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies wetlands and water bodies and sand water bodies and sand water bodies and water bodies and water bodies and water bodies and water bodies that must be crossed; use	coposed Project at Limestone Site (Greenfield) ment a construction PP; conduct coring as required by corm water general it. Stabilize upslope and adjacent to eline construction sites erosion control devices
Project Red 2 Site (Greenfield) (Greenfield) (Greenfield) (Greenfield) Aquatic Ecology (continued) SWPPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies witnemsussion routes to minimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sand water bodies and water bodies that must be crossed; use Aquatic Ecology (Greenfield) (Greenfield) (Greenfield) (Greenfield) (Greenfield) (Greenfield) (Greenfield) (Greenfield) (Greenfield) (specification Develop and implement a construction. Develop and implement a construction. SWPPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction. Develop and implement a construction. Develop and implement a construction. SWPPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to monitoring as required by the storm water general areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, research and a	ment a construction PP; conduct toring as required by form water general it. Stabilize upslope and adjacent to eline construction sites erosion control devices
Aquatic Ecology (continued) SWPPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies minimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sand water bodies that must be crossed; use Med 2 Site (Greenfield) (Continued) SWPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction. Develop and implement a construction. Develop and implement a construction. SWPPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes	ment a construction PP; conduct coring as required by corm water general it. Stabilize upslope and adjacent to eline construction sites erosion control devices
monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies when possible; plan that must be crossed; use Monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction. Develop and implement a construction SWPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies that must be crossed; use SWPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan when possible; plan wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to when possible; plan	PP; conduct coring as required by corm water general it. Stabilize upslope and adjacent to eline construction sites erosion control devices
the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies that must be crossed; use the storm water general implement a construction. Develop and implement a construction sometime to construction. Develop and implement a construction sometime to construction. Develop and implement a construction sometime the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies that must be crossed; use the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies that must be crossed; use construction. Develop and implement a construction swither storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, resed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies when possible; plan	toring as required by storm water general it. Stabilize upslope and adjacent to eline construction sites erosion control devices
permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sand water bodies and water bodies and water beta and an water bodies and water bodies that must be crossed; use implement a construction surplement a construction sites and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodie	form water general it. Stabilize upslope and adjacent to eline construction sites erosion control devices
areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas minimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies that must be crossed; use SWPPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies that must be crossed; use SWPPP; conduct monitoring as required by the storm water general permit. Stabilize upslope areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan ininimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan ininimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan ininimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan ininimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan ininimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan ininimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites and after construction, research and after construction, research and after construction, research and after construction, research and after construction sites and after construction, research and after construction sites and af	it. Stabilize upslope and adjacent to line construction sites erosion control devices
shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas minimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies that must be crossed; use shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, research to shoreline construction, research and after construction, research and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction, research to with erosion control devices and after construction	and adjacent to eline construction sites erosion control devices
with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies arransmission routes to wetlands and water bodies that must be crossed; use with erosion control devices areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reshoreline construction, reshored areas and adjacent to shoreline construction, reshored areas and adjacent to shoreline construction, reshored areas and after construction, reshored areas and after construction, reshored areas and adjacent to shoreline construction, reshored areas and adja	eline construction sites erosion control devices
and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices and after construction, respect the areas. When possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and water bodies and water bodies that must be crossed; use areas and adjacent to with erosion control devices and after construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies that must be crossed; use beginning upsticed areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas that must be crossed; use beginning upsticed areas and adjacent to shoreline construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas that must be crossed; use shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas that must be crossed; use shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas that must be crossed; use shoreline construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to minimize impacts to with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the	erosion control devices
seed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices and after construction, respect the areas. When possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and water bodies and water bodies and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan that must be crossed; use areas and adjacent to shoreline construction sites and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan that must be crossed; use with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission rou	
Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas that must be crossed; use shoreline construction sites with erosion control devices seed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to when possible; plan when possibl	
bodies and sensitive areas with erosion control devices when possible; plan transmission routes to minimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas that must be crossed; use with erosion control devices and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, reseed the areas.	ifter construction, re-
when possible; plan and after construction, retransmission routes to minimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas that must be crossed; use and after construction, reseed the areas. Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction, rebodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan and after construction are also and after construction and after constru	the areas.
transmission routes to minimize impacts to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas that must be crossed; use transmission routes to when possible; plan that must be crossed; use transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to wetlands and water when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water transmission routes to wetlands and water transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands are transmission routes to wetlands and water transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands are transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands are transmission routes to when possible; plan transmission routes t	l wetlands and water
minimize impacts to wetlands and water wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas that must be crossed; use Avoid wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to when possible; plan when possible; plan transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas minimize impacts to when possible; plan wh	s and sensitive areas
wetlands and water bodies that must be crossed; use bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to that must be crossed; use when possible; plan that must be crossed; use when possible; plan transmission routes to transmission routes to transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies and sensitive areas transmission routes to transmission	possible; plan water
that must be crossed; use when possible; plan minimize impacts to transmission routes to wetlar	e pipeline routes to
	nize impacts to
equipment specifically transmission routes to wetlands and water bodies minimize impacts to that m	nds and water bodies
	nust be crossed; use
	ment specifically
	ned for work around
	nds and streams,
	l erosion controls, and
	ment best
	gement practices to
	nize impacts to aquatic
	ms. Before water
	e line construction,
	uct ecological surveys
	letermine site-specific
	on control measures.
	re is potential for
	ruction of new pipeline
	grade habitat of a listed
	tic species, work
the state agency to develop construction of a new habitat of a listed aquatic transmission line to degrade agency	ly with the state

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of Project	Proposed Project at Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	Allens Creek Site (Greenfield)	Trinity 2 Site (Greenfield)	Malakoff Site (Greenfield)	Limestone Site (Greenfield)
Aquatic Ecology (continued)	a construction schedule and construction techniques that are protective of the habitat and species in question. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts — Moderate unavoidable adverse impacts.		species, work closely with the state agency to develop a construction schedule and construction techniques that are protective of the habitat and species in question. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts — Moderate unavoidable adverse impacts.	habitat of a listed aquatic species, work closely with the state agency to develop a construction schedule and construction techniques that are protective of the habitat and species in question. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts — Moderate unavoidable adverse impacts at the reservoir location; small unavoidable adverse impacts at power plant site.	construction schedule and construction techniques that are protective of the habitat and species in question. <u>Unavoidable Adverse</u> <u>Environmental Impacts</u> – Moderate unavoidable adverse impacts at the reservoir location; small unavoidable adverse impacts at power plant site.
Terrestrial Ecology	loss of 2,000 acres of habitat, including the proposed reservoir. Displacement of animals from the construction site, loss of less mobile	Adverse Impact – Habitat loss, and potential impacts to threatened or endangered animals at the site or in the vicinity. Construction activities would result in a permanent loss of up to 9,800 cumulative acres of habitat, including the proposed reservoir(s) (to support the plant and provide public water supply). Displacement of animals from the construction site, loss of less mobile	Adverse Impact – Habitat loss, and potential impacts to threatened or endangered plants or animals at the site or in the vicinity. Construction activities would result in a permanent loss of 2,000 acres of habitat, including the proposed reservoir. There would also be a potential loss of over 300 acres of high quality habitat at reservoir site. Moderate to large (permanent) impacts to habitat and	Adverse Impact – Habitat loss, and potential impacts to threatened or endangered animals at the site or in the vicinity. Construction activities would result in a permanent loss of up to 2,600 acres of habitat, including the proposed reservoir. Displacement of animals from the construction site, loss of less mobile individual animals, and the potential degradation of forested lands and high	Adverse Impact – Habitat loss, and potential impacts to threatened or endangered plants or animals at the site or in the vicinity. Construction activities would result in permanent loss of up to 3,500 acres of habitat, including the proposed reservoir. Displacement of animals from the construction site, loss of less mobile individual animals, and the potential degradation of forested

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

			Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
Terrestrial Ecology		individual animals, and the	wildlife at reservoir site	quality wetlands could also	lands and high quality
(continued)	clearing would be	potential degradation of	given potential for impacting	occur.	wetlands could also occur.
	conducted according to	wetlands could also occur.	high quality habitat during	Mitigation Measures - Land	Mitigation Measures - Land
	federal and state	Mitigation Measures – Land	the construction phase of	clearing would be	clearing would be
	regulations and permits,	clearing would be	the project. Displacement	conducted according to	conducted according to
	zoning requirements, good	conducted according to	of animals from the	federal and state	federal and state
	construction practices, and	federal and state	construction site, loss of	regulations and permits,	regulations and permits,
	established best	regulations and permits,	less mobile individual	zoning requirements, good	zoning requirements, good
	management practices.	zoning requirements, good	animals, and the potential	construction practices, and	construction practices, and
	Schedule equipment	construction practices, and	degradation of wetlands	established best	established best
	maintenance procedures to	established best	could also occur.	management practices.	management practices.
	minimize emission and	management practices.	Mitigation Measures - Land	Schedule equipment	Schedule equipment
	spills. Minimize fugitive	Schedule equipment	clearing would be	maintenance procedures to	maintenance procedures to
	dust by watering. Delineate	•	conducted according to	minimize emission and	minimize emission and
	wetlands and determine	minimize emission and	federal and state	spills. Minimize fugitive	spills. Minimize fugitive
	impacts and mitigation prior	spills. Minimize fugitive	regulations and permits,	dust by watering. Delineate	dust by watering. Delineate
	to beginning construction		zoning requirements, good	wetlands and determine	wetlands and determine
	activities (no high quality	wetlands and determine	construction practices, and	impacts and mitigation prior	impacts and mitigation prior
	wetlands identified).	impacts and mitigation prior	established best	to beginning construction	to beginning construction
	Restrict construction to	to beginning construction	management practices.	activities (no high quality	activities (no high quality
	designated areas.	activities (no high quality	Schedule equipment	wetlands identified).	wetlands identified).
	<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u>	wetlands identified).	maintenance procedures to	Restrict construction to	Restrict construction to
	Environmental Impacts –	Restrict construction to	minimize emission and	designated areas.	designated areas.
	Small temporary impact to	designated areas.	spills. Minimize fugitive	<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u>	<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u>
	habitat and wildlife at	Unavoidable Adverse	,	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –
	immediate plant site during	Environmental Impacts –	wetlands and determine	Small temporary impact to	Small temporary impact to
	the construction phase of	Small temporary impact to	,	habitat and wildlife at	habitat and wildlife at
	the project. Moderate	habitat and wildlife at	to beginning construction	immediate plant site during	immediate plant site during
	(permanent) impacts to	immediate plant site during	activities (no high quality	the construction phase of	the construction phase of
	habitat and wildlife at	the construction phase of	wetlands identified).	the project. Large	the project. Moderate to
	reservoir site.	the project. Large	Restrict construction to	(permanent) impacts to	large (permanent) impacts
		(permanent) impacts to	designated areas.	habitat and wildlife at	to habitat and wildlife at
		habitat and wildlife at	Unavoidable Adverse	reservoir site given potential	reservoir site. Potential for
		reservoir site. Moderate to	Environmental Impacts –	to impact high quality	more forested areas to be
		large impacts along	Small temporary impact to	habitat in Cedar	disturbed than at Malakoff,

Environmental Report

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
Terrestrial Ecology (continued)		transmission depending on percentage of right-of-way that is undisturbed.	habitat and wildlife at immediate plant site during the construction phase of the project. Moderate to large (permanent) impacts to habitat and wildlife at reservoir site. Small impacts are expected at the plant site; and moderate to large impacts at the reservoir site, because of high quality habitat.	Creek/Walnut Creek area, and potential for protected species to be present; small impact in area of facility footprint; and large impact from transmission lines assuming a significant portion of the 970 acres would be in previously undisturbed rights-of-way.	but much of site area has been previously disturbed (industrial area that includes lignite mine) with good existing infrastructure (i.e., minimal to no acreage required for rail, transmission and access road).
Socioeconomic	need for public services. Mitigation Measure –	Adverse Impact — Construction-related population influx of 9,616 into the region would increase the demand for housing, add to school enrollment and increase the need for public services. Mitigation Measure — Project-related employment would increase gradually. An increased demand for local services and more classroom space/teachers would be offset by increased property and sales/use tax revenues generated by the construction project, which counties and cities could use to add staff, facilities, equipment, and services.	Adverse Impact — Construction-related population influx of 9,616 into the region would increase the demand for housing, add to school enrollment and increase the need for public services. Mitigation Measure — Project-related employment would increase gradually. An increased demand for local services and more classroom space/teachers would be offset by increased property and sales/use tax revenues generated by the construction project, which counties and cities could use to add staff, facilities, equipment, and services.	Adverse Impact — Construction-related population influx of 9,616 into the region would increase the demand for housing, add to school enrollment and increase the need for public services. Mitigation Measure — Project-related employment would increase gradually. An increased demand for local services and more classroom space/teachers would be offset by increased property and sales/use tax revenues generated by the construction project, which counties and cities could use to add staff, facilities, equipment, and services.	Adverse Impact — Construction-related population influx of 9,616 into the region would increase the demand for housing, add to school enrollment and increase the need for public services. Mitigation Measure — Project-related employment would increase gradually. An increased demand for local services and more classroom space/teachers would be offset by increased property and sales/use tax revenues generated by the construction project, which counties and cities could use to add staff, facilities, equipment, and services.

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
Socioeconomic	Discuss construction plans	Discuss construction plans	Discuss construction plans	Discuss construction plans	Discuss construction plans
(continued)	and anticipated influx of	and anticipated influx of	and anticipated influx of	and anticipated influx of	and anticipated influx of
	workers with community	workers with community	workers with community	workers with community	workers with community
	leaders. Builders and	leaders. Builders and	leaders. Builders and	leaders. Builders and	leaders. Builders and
	developers would meet the	developers would meet the	developers would meet the	developers would meet the	developers would meet the
	demand for additional	demand for additional	demand for additional	demand for additional	demand for additional
	housing. Because the	housing. Because the	housing. Because the	housing. Because the	housing. Because the
		project has a long lead time,			
	it is likely that if the	it is likely that if the	it is likely that if the	it is likely that if the	it is likely that if the
	community anticipates the	community anticipates the	community anticipates the	community anticipates the	community anticipates the
	increase in population,	increase in population,	increase in population,	increase in population,	increase in population,
	adequate affordable	adequate affordable	adequate affordable	adequate affordable	adequate affordable
	housing, classroom space,	housing, classroom space,	housing, classroom space,	housing, classroom space,	housing, classroom space,
	and public services would	and public services would	and public services would	and public services would	and public services would
	be available.	be available.	be available.	be available.	be available.
	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse	<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u>
	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –
	Large impacts to host	Large impacts to host	Large impacts to host	Moderate impacts to host	Large impacts to host
	county if majority of in-	county if majority of in-	county if majority of in-	county if majority of in-	county if majority of in-
	migrating population resides		migrating population resides	migrating population resides	migrating population resides
	there. Small to moderate	there. Small impacts to two-	there. Small to moderate	there. Small impacts on	there. Large impacts to
		county area and region	impacts on two-county area.	two-county area, given	two-county area, since area
		given site's proximity to	Small impacts to region.	proximity to Dallas	is very rural; small impacts
	Denison metropolitan area	large metropolitan area of		metropolitan area; Ellis	to the region. Note that
	, ,	Houston and the ability of	Adverse Impact – Increased	County includes southern	impacts could be alleviated
	Small impacts to region.	Houston to easily absorb a	traffic on local roads,	suburbs of Dallas and can	to a certain extent if the
		population influx.	especially combined with	readily absorb a population	construction workforce
	Adverse Impact – Increased		workers commuting to	influx.	supporting the planned Unit
	traffic on local roads.	Adverse Impact – Increased	nearby Big Brown coal plant		3 expansion at the
	Mitigation Measure -	traffic on local roads.	and lignite mining operation.	Adverse Impact – Increased	Limestone coal plant
	Develop construction	Mitigation Measure –	Mitigation Measure -	traffic on local roads.	(estimated at 1,000 workers
	management traffic plan	Develop construction	Develop construction	Mitigation Measure -	who would already be living
	prior to the start of	management traffic plan	management traffic plan	Develop construction	in the area) would be
	construction. Add turn	prior to the start of	prior to the start of	management traffic plan	available to support
	lanes at construction	construction. Add turn	construction. Add turn	prior to the start of	construction of the new
	entrance and possible	lanes at construction	lanes at construction	construction. Add turn	

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
Socioeconomic (continued)	second entrance. Install traffic-control lighting and directional signage. Post signs near construction entrances and exists to make the public aware of potentially high construction traffic areas. Encourage carpooling, offer shuttle service to workers to and from site, and stagger shifts to avoid traditional congestion time periods. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts — Small to moderate adverse impacts during construction due to increased traffic on local roads.	entrance and possible second entrance. Install traffic-control lighting and directional signage. Post signs near construction entrances and exists to make the public aware of potentially high construction traffic areas. Encourage carpooling, offer shuttle service to workers to and from site, and stagger shifts to avoid traditional congestion time periods. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts — Small to moderate adverse impacts during construction due to increased traffic on local roads.	traffic areas. Encourage carpooling, offer shuttle service to workers to and from site, and stagger shifts to avoid traditional congestion time periods. <u>Unavoidable Adverse</u> <u>Environmental Impacts</u> – Small to moderate adverse	lanes at construction entrance and possible second entrance. Install traffic-control lighting and directional signage. Post signs near construction entrances and exists to make the public aware of potentially high construction traffic areas. Encourage carpooling, offer shuttle service to workers to and from site, and stagger shifts to avoid traditional congestion time periods. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts — Moderate to large adverse impacts during construction due to increased traffic on local roads which also appear to support area recreation. Primary site access would be SH-31 which is also part of the Texas Lakes Heritage Trails System, and also provides access to Cedar Creek and Richland Chambers Reservoirs.	nuclear facility; this would depend on final scheduling for the two construction projects. Adverse Impact – Increased traffic on local roads, especially combined with workers commuting to nearby Limestone plant (including new Unit 3 which is assumed to be constructed well before peak construction for nuclear plant). Mitigation Measure – Develop construction management traffic plan prior to the start of construction. Add turn lanes at construction entrance and possible second entrance. Install traffic-control lighting and directional signage. Post signs near construction entrances and exists to make the public aware of potentially high construction traffic areas. Encourage carpooling, offer shuttle service to workers to and from site, and stagger shifts to avoid traditional congestion time periods.

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2		
Description of Project	Proposed Project at Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Allens Creek Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Trinity 2 Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Malakoff Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Limestone Site (Greenfield)		
Socioeconomic (continued)					Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Large unavoidable adverse impacts during construction due to increased traffic on local roads.		
Environmental Justice	The following description applies to all alternate/additional sites. <u>Adverse Impact</u> – No disproportionately high or adverse impact on minority or low-income populations from construction of the proposed new units have been identified. <u>Mitigation Measure</u> – None required. <u>Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts</u> – Small unavoidable adverse impacts.						
Physical and Non-Radiological	The following description applies to all alternate/additional sites. Adverse Impact – Temporary and localized noise, fugitive dust, and exhaust emissions during construction. Mitigation Measure – Train and appropriately protect construction workers to reduce the risk of potential exposure to noise, dust and exhaust emissions. Make public announcements or prior notification of atypically loud construction activities. Regularly inspect and maintain equipment to include exhaust and noise aspects. Operate equipment in accordance with federal, state and local emission requirements. Phase construction to minimize daily emissions. Restrict noise-related activities to daylight hours. Restrict delivery times to daylight hours. Develop and implement a dust control plan that includes mitigation measures such as watering unpaved roads, stabilizing construction roads, phasing grading activities and ceasing them during high winds, etc. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Small temporary and localized noise, fugitive dust, and exhaust emissions during construction.						
	Adverse Impact – Construction workers could experience occupational illnesses, injuries, or death. Mitigation Measure – Train contractors on safety requirements. Require construction contractors and subcontractors to develop and impactly procedures. Provide onsite services for emergency first aid; conduct regular health and safety monitoring. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Small, temporary impacts during the construction phase of the project.						
Radiological	The following description app <u>Adverse Impact</u> – None. <u>Mitigation Measure</u> – No mitiq <u>Unavoidable Adverse Enviror</u>	gation required.					

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
Atmospheric and	•	olies to all alternate/additional	, ,	(Creemen,	(0.00)
Meteorological		and localized noise, fugitive		during construction.	
otoo.o.og.ou.		arly inspect and maintain equi			evelop and implement a dust
		tigation measures such as wa			
		ving during high winds and ex			
		ed, re-vegetating medians an			
		nmental Impacts - Small, tem	nporary impacts from localized	I noise, fugitive dust, and exh	aust emissions during
	construction.				
		Opera	tions-Related		
Land Use	Adverse Impact - Land	Adverse Impact - Land	Adverse Impact - Land	Adverse Impact - Land	Adverse Impact – Land
	occupied by plant facility	occupied by plant facility	occupied by plant facility	occupied by plant facility	occupied by plant facility
	and associated reservoir	and associated reservoir(s)	and associated reservoir	and associated reservoir	and associated reservoir
	would be permanently	would be permanently	would be permanently	would be permanently	would be permanently
	dedicated to the plant until	dedicated until	dedicated to the plant until	dedicated to the plant until	dedicated to the plant until
	decommissioning.	decommissioning.	decommissioning.	decommissioning.	decommissioning.
	Operation and maintenance	Operation and maintenance	1 .	Operation and maintenance	Operation and maintenance
	of transmission line and	of transmission line and	of transmission line and	of transmission line and	of transmission line and
	corridors would restrict land	corridors would restrict land		corridors would restrict land	corridors would restrict land
	use within the transmission	use within the transmission	use within the transmission	use within the transmission	use within the transmission
	rights-of-way, but	rights-of-way, but	rights-of-way, but	rights-of-way, but	rights-of-way, but
	transmission operation	transmission operation	transmission operation	transmission operation	transmission operation
	would be potentially	would be potentially	would be potentially	would be potentially	would be potentially
	compatible with cultivation,	compatible with cultivation,	compatible with cultivation,	compatible with cultivation,	compatible with cultivation,
	grazing and hunting but	grazing and hunting but	grazing and hunting but	grazing and hunting but	grazing and hunting but
	preclude residential and	preclude residential and	preclude residential and	preclude residential and	preclude residential and
	industrial use.	industrial use.	industrial use.	industrial use.	industrial use.
	Mitigation Measure - No	Mitigation Measure - No	Mitigation Measure - No	Mitigation Measure - No	Mitigation Measure - No
	mitigation would be required	mitigation would be required	mitigation would be required		mitigation would be required
	for continued land use post	for continued land use post	for continued land use post	for continued land use post	for continued land use post
	construction.	construction.	construction.	construction.	construction.
	Unavoidable Adverse	<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u>	<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u>	<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u>	Unavoidable Adverse
	Environmental Impact –	Environmental Impact –	Environmental Impact –	Environmental Impact –	Environmental Impact –
	Small impacts: land will not	Small impacts: land will not	Small impacts: land will not	Small impacts: land will not	Small impacts: land will not
	be	be available until	be available until	be available until	be available until

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2	
Description of Project	Proposed Project at Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Allens Creek Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Trinity 2 Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Malakoff Site (Greenfield)	Proposed Project at Limestone Site (Greenfield)	
Land Use (continued)	available until decommissioning of the plant.	decommissioning of the plant.	decommissioning of the plant.	decommissioning of the plant.	decommissioning of the plant.	
Land Use (Waste Management)	The following description applies to all alternate/additional sites. Adverse Impact — Operating the new units would generate radioactive and non-radioactive wastes that are required to be disposed in permitted disposal facilities or permitted landfills. Generation of spent fuel will require disposal in accordance with federal requirements. Mitigation Measure — Implement waste minimization program. Disposal area(s) would be permitted waste disposal facility(ies) with a land use designated for such activities. Temporary spent fuel storage facilities would be operated under appropriate regulations and guidelines until such time a NRC licensed high-level waste disposal facility is constructed. At that time, the storage facility area could be restored for other uses. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts — Small unavoidable impacts. Some land would be dedicated to permitted landfills or licensed disposal facilities and would not be available for other uses.					
Hydrology and Water Use	The following description applies to all alternate/additional sites. Adverse Impact – The site is assumed to require construction of a cooling water reservoir. Makeup water would be withdrawn from the cooling water source to replace water lost to evaporation, drift, seepage, and blowdown. Mitigation Measure – Comply with surface water rights and water withdrawal requirements and restrictions. Design and operate intake structures based on best available technology. Monitor hydrological impacts as required by water permit(s). Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Small unavoidable adverse impacts.					
	Adverse Impact – Operations would result in discharge of small amounts of chemicals to Texas waters. Water would be added back to the rive through the blowdown discharge. Mitigation Measure – All discharges would comply with TPDES permit and applicable water quality standards. Prepare and implement a SWP to avoid/minimize releases of contaminated storm water. Prepare and implement a SPCC plan to prevent/minimize contamination from spills. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Small unavoidable adverse impacts.					
	Adverse Impact – Operations may result in a small thermal plume discharged to Texas waters. Mitigation Measure – The differences between plume temperature and ambient water temperature would be maintained within limits set in TPDES permit. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts – Small unavoidable adverse impacts.					

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmenta	al Impacts of Proposed F	Project at Alternate Site	es (Continued)
---	--------------------------	---------------------------	----------------

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2	
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site	
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	
Aquatic Ecology	The following description appadverse Impact – Impacts to Mitigation Measure – Intake Discharges would comply with cooling towers that minimize Unavoidable Adverse Envirology Mitigation Measure – The Trunavoidable Adverse Envirology Adverse Impact – Potential in	polies to all alternate/additional aquatic biota from impingem structure designed to minimize th USEPA and Texas regulati withdrawal of river water for nmental Impacts – Small unates would result in discharge of PDES permit limits are set to nmental Impacts – Small unates and process to aquatic ecology du	I sites. Jent, entrainment and thermal re impingement and entrainment ons (e.g., TPDES permit conceptant operation. Jevoidable adverse impacts. Jensure that discharges do not evoidable adverse impacts on the entry of the entry	discharges. ent mortality with the "Best Te ditions) addressing discharges to Texas waters. t significantly affect aquatic po- aquatic ecology. tine maintenance activities ne	schnology Available". s to surface water. Use of	
Terrestrial Ecology	Unavoidable Adverse Enviro The following description app Adverse Impact – No advers Mitigation Measures – None	nmental Impacts – Small una olies to all alternate/additiona e impacts from cooling tower	l sites. s are anticipated.	nation from spills.		
	Mitigation Measure – Animal Unavoidable Adverse Enviro	displacement due to noise w nmental Impacts – Small tem	g lines could frighten animals. Yould be temporary in nature. Ipporary unavoidable adverse i	mpacts.	naintenance of woody	
	Adverse Impact — Potential impacts to vegetation and habitat within the transmission line rights-of-way from routine maintenance of woody vegetative growth by manual and mechanical methods and herbicides. Mitigation Measure — Implement existing procedures for transmission line maintenance designed to protect flora and fauna. Train personnel in th handling of fuel and lubricants and the clean-up and reporting of any incidental spills. Have adequate spill response equipment on hand during maintenance activities in the corridors. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts — Small unavoidable adverse impacts during the life of the plant.					
Socioeconomic	Adverse Impact – Operations-related direct and indirect workers (and their families) would increase demand for housing, classroom space,	Adverse Impact – Operations-related direct and indirect workers (and their families) would increase demand for housing, classroom space,	Adverse Impact – Operations-related direct and indirect workers (and their families) would increase demand for housing, classroom space,	Adverse Impact – Operations-related direct and indirect workers (and their families) would increase demand for housing, classroom space,	Adverse Impact — Operations-related direct and indirect workers (and their families) would increase demand for housing, classroom space	

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

		Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
Socioeconomic	and public services within				
(continued)	Fannin and Grayson	Austin and Fort Bend	Freestone and Henderson	Henderson and Ellis	Henderson and Ellis
	Counties and the ROI over				
		pre-construction conditions,	pre-construction conditions,	pre-construction conditions,	pre-construction conditions,
	but much less than the				
	construction-related	construction-related	construction-related	construction-related	construction-related
	population.	population.	population.	population.	population.
	Mitigation Measure –	Mitigation Measure -	Mitigation Measure -	Mitigation Measure -	Mitigation Measure -
		Discuss anticipated influx of			
		workers and schedule with			
	community leaders,				
	allowing local and regional				
	officials the opportunity to				
	plan for the influx. Builders				
	and developers would meet				
	the demand for additional				
	housing. Increased tax				
	revenues as a result of the				
	project would fund				
	additional school resources,				
	and could be used to				
	purchase additional				
	facilities/equipment and hire				
	train additional public				
	service staff if necessary.				
	Because the project has a				
	long lead time, it is likely				
	that if the community				
	anticipates the increase in				
	population, adequate				
	affordable housing,				
		classroom space, and	classroom space, and	classroom space, and	classroom space, and
	public services would be				
	available.	available.	available.	available.	available.

(continued)

10.4-32

Red 2 Site (Greenfield)

impacts. Adverse Impact - Additional cooling towers may impact existing viewscape. Mitigation Measure – During locate towers in an area

Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts -Small unavoidable adverse impacts given proximity to metropolitan area of Houston, which is expected influx.

(Greenfield)

Adverse Impact – Operation | during shift change, of two units would increase the traffic on local roads during shift change. Outages at the site would Mitigation Measure shifts to reduce plantassociated traffic on local roads during shift changes; vanpooling and travel reduction incentives (currently in use at STP Units 1 & 2). Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts -Small unavoidable adverse

impacts. Adverse Impact – Additional cooling towers may impact existing viewscape. Mitigation Measure – During limpacts. plant layout, attempt to

Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts -Small to moderate unavoidable adverse impacts.

(Greenfield)

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Adverse Impact – Operation to readily absorb population Adverse Impact – Operation population influx. of two units would increase the traffic on local roads especially if they coincided with operating shifts of workers at nearby Big Brown plant and lignite increase traffic even further. mining operations. Outages at the site would increase Mitigation Measure -Encourage carpooling/vanpooling, offer shuttle service to workers to and from site, and travel reduction incentives (currently in use at STP Units 1 & 2). Consider staggering outage shifts to reduce plant-associated traffic on local roads during shift changes. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts unavoidable adverse Small unavoidable adverse impacts.

Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts -Small unavoidable adverse impacts given site proximity to Dallas suburbs, which is expected to readily absorb

(Greenfield)

Adverse Impact – Operation of two units would increase of two units would increase the traffic on local roads the traffic on local roads during shift change; Trinidad especially given site's close power plant is nearby although its workforce is expected to be significantly smaller given type of plant. Outages at the site would increase traffic even further. Mitigation Measure – Mitigation Measure -Consider staggering outage | shifts to reduce plantshifts to reduce plantassociated traffic on local roads during shift changes; vanpooling and travel reduction incentives (currently in use at STP Units 1 & 2). Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts -Small to moderate

Adverse Impact – Additional

Adverse Impact – Additional | cooling towers may impact

proximity to the existing Limestone coal plant and lignite mining operations. Outages at the site would increase traffic even further. Consider staggering outage associated traffic on local roads during shift changes; vanpooling and travel reduction incentives (currently in use at STP Units 1 & 2). Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts -Small to moderate unavoidable adverse impacts. Adverse Impact - Additional cooling towers may impact

existing viewscape.

Additional Site #2

Proposed Project at

Limestone Site

(Greenfield)

Unavoidable Adverse

Small to moderate

location.

unavoidable adverse

during shift change.

Environmental Impacts -

impacts, given site's rural

Adverse Impact – Operation

Benefit-Cost Balance

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
	Proposed Project at Proposed Project at		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
<u>-</u>		Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
			Adverse Impact – Site is in an area of historic and potential mineral development (evidence of oil and gas drilling and lignite mining operation nearby). Purchase of mineral rights to develop site for nuclear would result	Small unavoidable adverse impacts. Adverse Impact – Site is in an area of historic and potential mineral development (evidence of oil and gas drilling and lignite mining operation	Adverse Impact – Site is in an area of historic and potential mineral development (evidence of oil and gas drilling and lignite mining operation nearby). Purchase of mineral rights to develop site for nuclear would result

	Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2	
			Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	
	Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site	
	Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	
	Socioeconomic			in displacement or loss of	nearby). Purchase of	in displacement or loss of	
	(continued)			active oil/gas wells; lost	mineral rights to develop	active oil/gas wells; or	
				potential to expand lignite	site for nuclear would result	prevent potential plans to	
				mining operations (if	in displacement or loss of	expand existing lignite	
				needed for nearby Big	active oil/gas wells (or	mining operations (if	
				Brown coal plant); and	possible reopening of	needed for Limestone	
				potential loss of oil and gas	historic lignite mine at	plant); and potential loss of	
				exploration jobs.	Malakoff); and potential loss	oil and gas exploration jobs.	
				Mitigation Measure -	of oil and gas exploration	Mitigation Measure -	
				Sufficient lead time for	jobs.	Sufficient lead time for	
				current mineral rights	Mitigation Measure -	current mineral rights	
				owners will allow them to	Sufficient lead time for	owners will allow them to	
				plan for loss of mineral	current mineral rights	plan for loss of mineral	
				rights; workers could	owners will allow them to	rights; workers could	
				presumably find	plan for loss of mineral	presumably find	
				construction work at new	rights; workers could	construction work at new	
				nuclear plant.	presumably find	nuclear plant.	
				<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u> co		Unavoidable Adverse	
			Environmental Impacts –		nuclear plant.	Environmental Impacts –	
				Small unavoidable impact,	Unavoidable Adverse	Small unavoidable impact,	
				including loss of access to	Environmental Impacts -	including loss of access to	
				potentially valuable	Small unavoidable impact,	potentially valuable	
				minerals (coal, oil, gas).	including loss of access to	minerals (coal, oil, gas).	
					potentially valuable		
					minerals (coal, oil, gas).		
	Environmental	Environmental The following description applies to all alternate/additional sites.					
	Justice	Adverse Impact – No disproportionately high or adverse impacts on minority or low-income populations resulting from operation of the propose new units have been identified.					
		Mitigation Measure – No mitigation needed.					
,		<u>Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impact</u> – No unavoidable adverse impacts.					

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
Physical and	Adverse Impact - Potential	Adverse Impact - Potential	Adverse Impact - Potential	Adverse Impact - Potential	Adverse Impact – Potential
Non-Radiological	for occupational injuries and	for occupational injuries and	for occupational injuries and	for occupational injuries and	for occupational injuries and
	illnesses.	illnesses.	illnesses.	illnesses.	illnesses.
	Mitigation Measure -	Mitigation Measure -	Mitigation Measure -	Mitigation Measure -	Mitigation Measure –
	Implement industrial safety	Implement industrial safety	Implement industrial safety	Implement industrial safety	Implement industrial safety
	program.	program.	program.	program.	program.
	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse
	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –
	Small unavoidable adverse	Small unavoidable adverse	Small to moderate	Small unavoidable adverse	Small unavoidable adverse
	impacts.	impacts.	unavoidable adverse impacts, depending on	impacts.	impacts.
	Adverse Impact - The	Adverse Impact - The	mineral reserves found	Adverse Impact - The	Adverse Impact - The
	plants emit low noise.	plants emit low noise.	beneath the site.	plants emit low noise.	plants emit low noise.
	Mitigation Measure - Noise	Mitigation Measure - Noise		Mitigation Measure - Noise	Mitigation Measure - Noise
	levels would normally not be	levels would normally not be	Adverse Impact - The		levels would normally not be
	above background at the	above background at the	plants emit low noise.	above background at the	above background at the
	site boundary. No mitigation	site boundary. No mitigation	Mitigation Measure - Noise	site boundary. No mitigation	site boundary. No mitigation
	is necessary.	is necessary.	levels would normally not be	is necessary.	is necessary.
	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse	above background at the	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse
	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –	site boundary. No mitigation	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –
	Small unavoidable adverse	Small unavoidable adverse	is necessary.	Small unavoidable adverse	Small unavoidable adverse
	impacts.	impacts.	<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u> <u>Environmental Impacts</u> –	impacts.	impacts.
	Adverse Impact - Episodic	Adverse Impact - Episodic	Small unavoidable adverse	Adverse Impact – Episodic	Adverse Impact – Episodic
	loud noises could annoy	loud noises could annoy	impacts.	loud noises could annoy	loud noises could annoy
	nearby residents.	nearby residents.		nearby residents.	nearby residents.
	Mitigation Measure -	Mitigation Measure -	Adverse Impact - Episodic	Mitigation Measure -	Mitigation Measure -
	Handle incidents on a case-	Handle incidents on a case-	loud noises could annoy	Handle incidents on a case-	Handle incidents on a case-
	by-case basis.	by-case basis.	nearby residents.	by-case basis.	by-case basis.
	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse	Mitigation Measure -	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse
	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –	Handle incidents on a case-	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –
	Small temporary	Small temporary	by-case basis.	Small temporary	Small temporary
	unavoidable adverse	unavoidable adverse	<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u>	unavoidable adverse	unavoidable adverse
	impacts.	impacts.	Environmental Impacts –	impacts.	impacts.
			Small temporary		

· _	Table 1011 1 Charling the Continuental Impacts of Frepostal French at Alternate Chief						
}	Category Alternate Site #1		Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2	
		Proposed Project at		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	
	Description of Proposed Project at Allens Creek Site		Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site		
	Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	
F	hysical and	Adverse Impact - New	Adverse Impact - New	unavoidable adverse	Adverse Impact - New	Adverse Impact - New	
١	Ion-Radiological	transmission line has	transmission line has	impacts.	transmission line has	transmission line has	
(continued)	potential to induce electric	potential to induce electric		potential to induce electric	potential to induce electric	
		shock in people standing	shock in people standing	Adverse Impact - New	shock in people standing	shock in people standing	
		near the line.	near the line.	transmission line has	near the line.	near the line.	
		Mitigation Measure - Build	Mitigation Measure - Build	potential to induce electric	Mitigation Measure - Build	Mitigation Measure - Build	
		transmission line to NESC	transmission line to NESC	shock in people standing	transmission line to NESC	transmission line to NESC	
	electric shock. electric shock.		code to minimize noise and	near the line.	code to minimize noise and	code to minimize noise and	
			electric shock.	Mitigation Measure - Build	electric shock.	electric shock.	
			Unavoidable Adverse	transmission line to NESC	Unavoidable Adverse	Unavoidable Adverse	
		<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u> <u>Environmental Impacts</u> – Small unavoidable adverse impacts. <u>Environmental Impacts</u> – Small unavoidable adverse impacts.		code to minimize noise and	Environmental Impacts –	Environmental Impacts –	
				electric shock.	Small unavoidable adverse	Small unavoidable adverse	
				<u>Unavoidable Adverse</u>	impacts.	impacts.	
		impacts.		Environmental Impacts –			
				Small unavoidable adverse			
				impacts.			
F	Radiological	The following description applies to all alternate/additional sites. Adverse Impact – Potential doses to workers and members of the public from releases to air and surface water. Dose to terrestrial and aquatic					
		ecosystems from chronic radiation exposure caused by the small discharges of radioactive liquids. Dose to public and workers due to transport of					
		nuclear fuel.					
		Mitigation Measure – Monitor radiological releases as required by radiological monitoring program. All releases would be well below regulatory					
		limits. No further mitigation required.					
		<u>Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impact</u> – Small unavoidable adverse impacts.					

Adverse Impact – Fuel cycle activities would have liquid discharges.

Mitigation Measure – Monitor radiological releases as required by radiological monitoring program. No further mitigation required.

Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impact – Small unavoidable adverse impacts.

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Table 10.4-4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Impacts of Proposed Project at Alternate Sites (Continued)

Category	Alternate Site #1	Alternate Site #2	Alternate Site #3	Additional Site #1	Additional Site #2
)		Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at	Proposed Project at
Description of	Proposed Project at	Allens Creek Site	Trinity 2 Site	Malakoff Site	Limestone Site
Project	Red 2 Site (Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)	(Greenfield)
Atmospheric and Meteorological	The following description appart Adverse Impact — Operation quickly so noise levels would Mitigation Measure — None re emission sources. Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Adverse Impact — Emissions Mitigation Measure — Power operating air emission source Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Adverse Impact — Relatively Mitigation Measure — No mitigunavoidable Adverse Enviror Mitigation Measure — No mitigunavoidable Adverse Enviror Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Mitigation Measure — No mitigunavoidable Adverse Enviror Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Mitigation Measure — No mitigunavoidable Adverse Enviror Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Mitigation Measure — No mitigunavoidable Adverse Enviror Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Mitigation Measure — No mitigunavoidable Adverse Enviror Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Mitigation Measure — No mitigunavoidable Adverse Enviror Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Mitigation Measure — No mitigunavoidable Adverse Enviror Unavoidable Adverse Enviror Mitigation Measure — No mitigunavoidable Mitigation Measure — No mitigun	t in noise, salt deposition, and ary. Salt deposition of less that ant operation will comply with bidable adverse impacts. diesel generators and other efederal, state (TCEQ), and located bidable adverse impacts. ats would result from fuel cycle	state permit limits and regula emission emitting equipment. cal air quality permitting regula	sult in damage to vegetation. tions for operating air	