# WCOutreachCEm Resource

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Cummings, Kristopher W [cumminkw@westinghouse.com] Friday, February 17, 2012 12:57 PM WCOutreach Resource Westinghouse Comments on Draft NRC Report LTR-NRC-12-17.pdf

Ms. Pineda,

Attached is the Westinghouse letter transmitting comments of the NRC Draft Report, "Background and Preliminary Assumptions for an Environmental Impact Statement – Long Term Waste Confidence Update".

Email Confirmation of receipt of this transmittal would be appreciated.

Sincerely, Kristopher Cummings Manager, Fuel Engineering Licensing Nuclear Fuel

Westinghouse Electric Company 1000 Westinghouse Drive Cranberry Township, PA 16066 Phone: +1 (412) 374-2151 Cell: +1 (412) 807-8690 Fax: +1 (412) 374-3670 Email: <u>cumminkw@westinghouse.com</u> Home Page: <u>www.westinghousenuclear.com</u>

| Federal Register Notice: | 99FR99992 |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| Comment Number:          | 29        |

Mail Envelope Properties (F7EB8A049E9359429C11C733F516E97B0AE8365E5E)

| Subject:       | Westinghouse Comments on Draft NRC Report |
|----------------|---|
| Sent Date:     | 2/17/2012 12:56:45 PM                     |
| Received Date: | 2/17/2012 12:57:22 PM                     |
| From:          | Cummings, Kristopher W                    |

Created By: cumminkw@westinghouse.com

#### **Recipients:**

"WCOutreach Resource" <WCOutreach.Resource@nrc.gov> Tracking Status: None

| Post Office:   | SWEC9982.w-intra.net           |   |
|--|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>Files</b><br>MESSAGE<br>LTR-NRC-12-17.pdf   | <b>Size</b><br>667<br>126959   | <b>Date &amp; Time</b><br>2/17/2012 12:57:22 PM |
| Options<br>Priority:<br>Return Notification:<br>Reply Requested:<br>Sensitivity:<br>Expiration Date:<br>Recipients Received: | Standard<br>No<br>No<br>Normal |   |



Westinghouse Electric Company Nuclear Services 1000 Westinghouse Drive Cranberry Township, Pennsylvania 16066 USA

Christine Pineda, Project Manager Mail Stop EBB-2B2 Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 2055-0001

Direct tel: (412) 374-4643 Direct fax: (724) 720-0754 e-mail: greshaja@westinghouse.com

> LTR-NRC-12-17 February 17, 2012

Subject: Westinghouse Comments on Draft NRC Report: "Background and Preliminary Assumptions for an Environmental Impact Statement – Long-Term Waste Confidence Update"

Westinghouse appreciates the opportunity to comment upon the subject NRC document. Westinghouse believes that addressing the long-term solution to used nuclear fuel serves the nation in providing safe, reliable nuclear energy as part of a balanced energy mix. The draft report provides a good foundation for further development of the environmental impact statement to support an update to the Waste Confidence Rule.

Westinghouse supports the efforts by the NRC to develop a long-term waste confidence rule, which will provide a greater level of acknowledgement to the nuclear industry's commitment to safely and responsibly manage used nuclear fuel into the future. With the continued emphasis on a long-term approach in managing used fuel through extended storage timeframes, it is essential that the Waste Confidence Rule be reflective of that emphasis. During the development of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) it will be important to keep under consideration the following points:

- There remains a need for a publicly and politically acceptable waste solution goal that is based on technologies that achieve improvement in fuel cycle economics and decrease the final waste burden on a geologic repository,
- Interim storage methods, either at reactor sites or at separate regional or centralized storage installations must continue to be supported and justified on a scientific basis to ensure the support of state and local constituencies for these facilities,
- The approach to evaluating the environmental impacts of a long term waste confidence rule should be focused on minimizing the impacts on the environment and members of the public to the extent that it provides reasonable assurance of safe operations.

Enclosed is a copy of Westinghouse Electric Company's comments on the draft NRC report: "Background and Preliminary Assumptions for an Environmental Impact Statement – Long-Term Waste Confidence Update"

Page 2 of 2 LTR-NRC-12-17 February 17, 2012

Correspondence with respect to the enclosed Westinghouse comments should be addressed to J. A. Gresham, Manager, Regulatory Compliance, Westinghouse Electric Company, Suite 428, 1000 Westinghouse Drive, Cranberry Township, Pennsylvania 16066.

Very truly yours,

J. A. Gresham, Manager Regulatory Compliance, Nuclear Services

Enclosures

#### **COPYRIGHT NOTICE**

The reports transmitted herewith each bear a Westinghouse copyright notice. The NRC is permitted to make the number of copies of the information contained in these reports which are necessary for its internal use in connection with generic and plant specific reviews and approvals as well as the issuance, denial, amendment, transfer, renewal, modification, suspension, revocation, or violation of a license, permit, order, or regulation subject to the requirements of 10 CFR 2.390 regarding restrictions on public disclosure to the extent such information has been identified as proprietary by Westinghouse, copyright protection notwithstanding. Copies made by the NRC must include the copyright notice in all instances and the proprietary notice if the original was identified as proprietary.

Westinghouse Comments on Draft NRC Report: "Background and Preliminary Assumptions for an Environmental Impact Statement – Long-Term Waste Confidence Update"

> Westinghouse Electric Company LLC 1000 Westinghouse Drive Cranberry Township, Pennsylvania 16066

© 2012 Westinghouse Electric Company LLC All Rights Reserved Westinghouse comments on specific sections of the draft guidance are provided below:

### 1. Section 7

There appears to be a basic assumption that repackaging of the used fuel will be necessary at some point in the future. This assumption should be removed until such time that it is validated or refuted based on the future work anticipated to be completed as part of the gap analysis that the NRC will soon release. Alternatively, any scenarios that consider repackaging should also evaluate the environmental impact of not repackaging, but rather transportation of any package in its existing form.

# 2. Section 8.1

Assumption 5: This assumption states that "Long-term storage and handling facilities will operate under a framework of extended aging management that is designed to monitor, detect, and mitigate significant aging impacts." Westinghouse believes additional clarification would be necessary in the following areas:

- 1. This assumption should be considered in the context of decommissioned plants where the spent fuel pool is no longer available.
- 2. Assumption 5 does not align with preliminary Assumption 7, "The EIS will assess the impacts of storing and transporting reprocessing wastes." There is currently limited experience with storage and transport of reprocessing waste, in that it seems premature to include this analysis with existing waste streams.

### 3. Section 8.2

General Comment: There should be included one baseline scenario that does not include the assumption that used fuel will be transported to a final geological repository.