

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: February 13, 2012
 Received: February 12, 2012
 Status: Pending_Post
 Tracking No. 80fb387a
 Comments Due: March 01, 2012
 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0022
 State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses Reports

Comment On: NRC-2012-0022-0005
 State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses Reports

Document: NRC-2012-0022-DRAFT-0016
 Comment on FR Doc # 2012-02313

RECEIVED

2012 FEB 13 AM 8:34

RULES & REGULATIONS

Submitter Information

Name: Thomas Gurdziel

2/2/2012
 77 FR 5281

General Comment

11

Item 17

A realistic PWR Station Blackout study needs to also consider that one of the on-site-nuclear plants is moving nuclear fuel with the containment building equipment hatch removed and rolled away, with NO containment (currently required by the US NRC) to protect the public.

If the Station Blackout is initiated by an earthquake, can it be guaranteed that the equipment hatch can be moved back before tsunami arrival (for oceanside PWRs)? Or, can it be moved back at all?

SUNSI Review Complete
 Template = ADM-013

E-REDS = ADM-03
 Call = P. Santiago (952)
 J. Barw (5x67)