

Serial: NPD-NRC-2012-005 February 8, 2012

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

## LEVY NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 DOCKET NOS. 52-029 AND 52-030 ROADMAP OF CHANGES IN COMBINED LICENSE APPLICATION, REVISION 4

Reference: Letter from John Elnitsky (PEF) to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, dated February 2, 2012, "Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2 Submittal of COL Application, Revision 4", Serial: NPD-NRC-2012-002

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The purpose of this letter is to provide information supporting the recent Progress Energy revision of the Combined License Application (COLA) for Levy Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 (see referenced letter). Attached is a "roadmap" of the changes included in the February 2, 2012 submittal along with an enclosure providing an explanation of the information contained in the roadmap.

If you have any questions, or need additional information, please contact me at (919) 546-6992.

Sincerely,

Robert Kitchen Manager – Nuclear Plant Licensing New Generation Programs & Projects

Enclosure/Attachment

cc : U.S. NRC Region II, Regional Administrator Mr. Brian Anderson, U.S. NRC Project Manager Mr. Doug Bruner, U. S. NRC Environmental Project Manager

D094

Progress Energy Florida, Inc. P.O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

# Levy Nuclear Plant Units 1 and 2 Roadmap of Changes in Combined License Application Revision 4 Explanation by Column in Attachment 1

Column	Explanation
Change ID#	Unique identifier for tracking purposes
COLA	Identifies the change as STD (standard) or LNP specific
COLA Part	Part 1 (PT01) through Part 11 (PT11)
Chapter	FSAR or ER Chapter
Section	Section/Subsection of the Chapter or Part
Basis for Change	The source of the change
Change Summary	Short description of the change

Change ID#	COLA	COLA Part	Chapter	Section	Basis for Change	Change Summary
LNP-045	LNP	2	1	01.09.T/T1.9-201	NPD-NRC-2011-082, L- 0996 response	Revise Part 2, FSAR Chapter 1, Table 1.9-201 to add the following Regulatory Guide: "1.221, Design-Basis Hurricane and Hurricane Missiles for Nuclear Power Plants (Rev. 0, October 2011)" with FSAR Subsection locations of "3.3.2.1, 3.5.1.4, 3.5.2, Table 3.5-202" – this change has a LMA of LNP COL 1.9-1.
LNP-046	LNP	2	1	01.AA RG 1.221	NPD-NRC-2011-082, L- 0996 response	Revise Part 2, FSAR Chapter 1, Appendix 1AA, DIVISION 1 – Power Reactors to add the following: "Regulatory Guide 1.221, Rev. 0, 10/11 - Design-Basis Hurricane and Hurricane Missiles for Nuclear Power Plants" Under Criteria, insert "General" and under FSAR Position insert "Conforms." This change has a LMA of LNP COL 1.9-1.
						Revise the text of FSAR Subsection 2.3.1.2.7.6 from: This DCD site parameter is represented by a minimum dry bulb temperature of -10°F, excluding the lowest 1 percent of values. The Minimum Normal temperatures in Table 2.3.1-210, which are based on 99.6-percent annual exceedance temperatures, are well above the DCD site parameter of -10°F dry bulb. The lowest observed Minimum Normal dry bulb temperature at any of the observing stations is only 24°F (Tallahassee).
LNP-043	LNP	2	2	02.03.01.02.07.06	Errata to conform this information to the R3 NPD-NRC-2011-056, L0939 response	To read: This DCD site parameter is represented by a minimum dry bulb temperature of 10°F. The Minimum Normal temperatures in Table 2.3.1 210, which are based on 99.6- percent annual exceedance temperatures, are well above the DCD site parameter of -10°F dry bulb. The lowest observed Minimum Normal dry bulb temperature at any of the observing stations is only 24°F (Tallahassee).
LNP-017	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.3-232	Editorial corrections to references	Reference previously provided with Rev 1. FSAR citation should state ".html" instead of ."htm"
LNP-018	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.3-233	Editorial corrections to references	Reference previously provided with Rev 1. FSAR citation should state ".html" instead of ."htm"
LNP-019	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.3-234	Editorial corrections to references	Reference previously provided with Rev 1. FSAR citation should state ".html" instead of ."htm"
LNP-020	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.3-235	Editorial corrections to references	Reference previously provided with Rev 1. FSAR citation should state ".html" instead of ."htm"
LNP-021	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.3-236	Editorial corrections to references	Reference previously provided with Rev 1. FSAR ditation should state ".html" instead of ."htm" - verify with Dave W. if we should add to Rev 4 roadmap.
LNP-042	LNP	2	2	02.04.06	Editorial corrections to references	Reference 2.4.6-230: Text "draft manuscript, 2011" is replaced with "report prepared by Center for Applied Coastal Research, University of Delaware, Newark, 2011" Reference 2.4.6-231: Text "submitted to" and "June" is deleted from entry Reference 2.4.6-234: Text "Manuscript in preparation for" is deleted from entry
LNP-022	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-331	Editorial corrections to references	Add missing 2 names in the author list from the document (marked in red): Scott, T.M., K.M. Campbell, F.R. Rupert, J.D. Arthur, R.C. Green, G.H. Means, T.M. Missimer, J.M. Lloyd, J.W. Yon, and J.G. Duncan, "Geologic Map of the State of Florida," Florida Geological Survey Map Series 146, scale approximately 1:1,000,000, 2001. Revised 2006, D. Anderson. Note same reference and same issues for 2.5.1-331, 2.5.1-366, 2.5.3-218, and 2.5.3-227.
LNP-023	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-335	Editorial corrections to references	Arthur, J.D., C. Fischler., C. Kromhout., J.M. Clayton, G.M.Kelley, R.A. Lee, L. Li, M. O'Sullivan, R.C. Green, and C.L. WernerDelete extra periods after Fischler and Kromhout in citation (column B). Same as 2.5.1-335, 2.5.1-370 and 2.5.3-217.
I NP-024	INP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-338	Editorial corrections to	Add missing "s" at end of title: "A Revised Seismotectonic Framework for the Charleston, South Carolina Earthquakes,"
LNP-025	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-343	Editorial corrections to references	The page range should be pp. 11-18, not 11-118. The document uses an "I" in front of the page numbers making it appear as 111-118. Hamilton, R. M., J.C. Behrendt, and H.D. Ackermann, "Land Multichannel Seismic-Reflection Evidence for Tectonic Features near Charleston, South Carolina," in Gohn, G.S., ed., Studies Related to the Charleston, South Carolina, Earthquake of 1886—Tectonics and Seismicity: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1313, 1983, pp. 11 - 118.
I NP-026	INP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-358	Editorial corrections to	Add clarification for the citation (remove full page numbers, and extra dates, etc.): Roberts, D.L., Z. Jacobs, P. Karkanas, and C.W. Marean, *Onshore Expression of Multiple Orbitally Driven Late Quaternary Marine Incursions on the Ultra-stable Southern South African Coast,* Quaternary International 167-168 Supplement, July 2007, pp. 345. Poster presented at the International Quaternary Association (MOLIA) 2007 Congress
LNP-027	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-359	Editorial corrections to	If desired, change period after 1977 to a comma.

Change ID#	COLA	COLA Part	Chapter	Section	Basis for Change	Change Summary
LNP-028	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-361	Editorial corrections to references	Capitalize "thesis." This reference is also 2.5.1-330 where thesis is capitalized.
LNP-029	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-366	Editorial corrections to references	Add missing 2 author names: Scott, T.M., K.M. Campbell, F.R. Rupert, J.D. Arthur, R.C. Green, G.H. Means, T.M. Missimer, J.M. Lloyd, J.W. Yon, and J.G. Duncan, "Geologic Map of the State of Florida," Florida Geological Survey Map Series 146, scale approximately 1:1,0000,000, 2001. Revised 2006, D. Anderson. Note same reference for 2.5.1-331, 2.5.1-366, 2.5.3-218, and 2.5.3-227.
LNP-030	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-370	Editorial corrections to references	Arthur, J.D., C. Fischler., C. Kromhout., J.M. Clayton, G.M.Kelley, R.A. Lee, L. Li, M. O'Sullivan, R.C. Green, and C.L. WernerExtra periods after Fischler and Kromhout in citation (column B). Same as 2,5,1-335, 2,5,1-370 and 2,5,3-217.
LNP-031	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-373	Editorial corrections to references	Capitalize the "c". Means, H., Personal Communication via email, May 28, 2009.
LNP-032	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-376	Editorial corrections to references	Add via email to referrence.
LNP-033	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.1-377	Editorial corrections to references	Add via email to referrence.
LNP-034	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.2-210	Editorial corrections to references	Delete extra period left at end of entry.
LNP-035	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.2-225	Editorial corrections to references	The date of the citation should be 2008, not 2007. " accessed March 27, 2008."
LNP-036	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.3-217	Editorial corrections to references	Delete extra periods after Fischler and Kromhout in citation. Same as 2.5.1-335, 2.5.1-370 and 2.5.3-217.
LNP-037	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.3-218	Editorial corrections to references	Add missing 2 author names: Scott, T.M., K.M. Campbell, F.R. Rupert., J.D. Arthur, R.C. Green, G.H. Means, T.M. Missimer, J.M. Lloyd, J.W. Yon, and J.G. Duncan, "Geologic Map of the State of Florida," Florida Geological Survey Map Series 146, scale approx. 1:1,000,000, 2001. Revised 2006, D. Anderson. Same issue for 2.5.1-331, 2.5.1-366, 2.5.3-218, and 2.5.3-227.
LNP-038	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.3-225	Editorial corrections to references	"s" after communcations not needed; Revise to read: Upchurch, S.B., Personal Communication via email, June 12, 2009.
LNP-039	LNP	2	2	Reference 2.5.3-227	Editorial corrections to references	Add missing 2 author names: Scott, T.M., K.M. Campbell, F.R. Rupert., J.D. Arthur, R.C. Green, G.H. Means, T.M. Missimer, J.M. Lloyd, J.W. Yon, and J.G. Duncan, "Geologic Map of the State of Florida," Florida Geological Survey Map Series 146, scale approx. 1:1,000,000, 2001. Revised 2006, D. Anderson. Same issue for 2,5.1-331, 2,5.1-366, 2,5.3-218, and 2,5.3-227.
LNP-040	LNP	2	2	02.05.01.02.05.03	Editorial correction from Draft SER review by AMEC and CH2M Hill	Delete the word "conjugate" in the last sentence of the 2nd paragraph of Section 2.5.1.2.5.3.
LNP-041	LNP	2	3	тос	Editorial	In the Table of Contents in Chapter 3, there needs to be a line added between the entries for Section 3.7 and 3.7.1.1.1 to be consistent with the rest of the TOC.
LNP-013	LNP	2	3	03.03.02.01	NPD-NRC-2011-082, L- 0996 response	1) And the following paragraph at end of Subsection 3.3.2.1. The 10-7 annual non exceedance probability hurricane wind speed of 195 mph at the LNP site based on Regulatory Guide 1.221 is bounded by the design tornado wind speed given in DCD Subsection 3.3.2.1.
12 12				u u		<ol> <li>Add new Subsection 3.5.1.4 with LMAs of LNP COL 3.3-1, LNP COL 3.5-1</li> <li>3.5.1.4 MISSILES GENERATED BY NATURAL PHENOMENON</li> <li>Add the following text to the end of DCD Subsection 3.5.1.4.</li> <li>Hurricane missiles are defined in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011, The hurricane missile parameters considered for the LNP site are summarized in</li> </ol>
					NPD-NRC-2011-082, L-	Table 3.5-202.
LNP-014	LNP	2	3	03.05.01.04	0996 response	
LNP-015	LNP	2	3	03.05.T/T3.5-202	NPD-NRC-2011-082, L- 0996 response	3) Add new Table 3.5-202 (see Attachment 19.75 from NPD-NRC-2011-082

Change ID#         COLA         Part         Change         Section         Basis for Change         Change Summary           Change ID#         COLA         Part         Change         Section         Basis for Change         Change Summary           Add here Subsection 3.5.2         3.5.2         PROTECTION TO EXTERNALLY GENERATED MISSILES         Add the following text to here of DDS Subsection 3.5.2.           Hurricane wind and missile velocities are based on an annual non exceedance probability of 10.7, the same as that for tomades in Regulatory Guide 1.76 Revision 1. Th             using the tomado missile structural acceptance criteria for the hurricane generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.21, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site             specific hurricane generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site             specific hurricane generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site             specific hurricane generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site             specific hurricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 5.40.20. The hurricane generated missile valuation is             velocity of tomacine generated missile valuation is             refort the 6.625-in. diameter pipe missile, the LNP alles pacific hurricane generated missile valuation and based for LNP             market and the following text to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 Inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile valuation is             refort the 6.625-in. diameter pipe missile, the LNP allog penetrated missile bas than 13 for hores. As stated in DCD Situbscention 3.5.3, the mini		<u> </u>			1		
Change ID#         COLA         Part         Chapter         Section         Basis for Change         Change Summary           4) Add new Subsection 3.5.2.         3.5.2         PROTECTION FROM EXTERNALLY GENERATED MISSILES         Add the following text to the end of DCD Subsection 3.5.2.         3.5.2         PROTECTION FROM EXTERNALLY GENERATED MISSILES           Add the following text to the end of DCD Subsection 3.5.2.         3.5.2         PROTECTION FROM EXTERNALLY GENERATED MISSILES           Add the following text to the end of DCD Subsection 3.5.2.         3.5.2         The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated missile evaluation is appropriate.           The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site-specific hurinane generated missile valuation is appropriate.           The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, Dottober 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site-specific hurinane generated missile valuation and be summarized at Site wature of the Coloving to the LNP site specific hurinane generated missile valuation and be summarized at Site valuation and be second be sinde Site Valuation and be	-		COLA				
<ul> <li>4) Add new Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>3.5.2 PROTECTION FROM EXTERNALLY GENERATED MISSILES Add the following tot to the of 0 DCD Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>Hurricane wind and missile velocities are based on an annual non exceedance probability of 10 7, the same as that for tomados in Regulatory Guide 1.76 Revision 1. Th using the tomado missile structural acceptance criteria for the hurricane winds and missiles evaluation is appropriate.</li> <li>The comparison between the DCD Tire 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site specific hurricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-20: The hurricane generated missile solutions the hurricane wind and of 195 mph at the LNP site, using the figures and tables in RG 1.221. The LNP site-specific hurricane generated missile based on maximum Murricane wind part of 195 mph at the DCD Tire 1 Table 5.0-1 voicin (for tomado generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-20: The hurricane generated missile solutions the hurricane generated missile solutions the hurricane generated missile solutions the hurricane generated missile based on maximum Murricane wind part of 195 mph at the DCD Tire 1 Table 5.0-1 voicin (for tomado generated missile based in DCD Subsciento 1.5, the Table 3.5, the first and solutions the hurricane generated missile solutions the hurricane wind part of the 6.625-in. diameter pipe missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile solution DCD Subsciento 3.5, the ortical velocity is 53 mph. For this missile the multimum concrete (for=4.000 pb) hib/chase sequence of the undeer island storic vella above grade and root is 24 inches and 15 inches respectively. The minimum concrete for 4.000 pb is islandes are used to induce the disade storic vella above grade and root is 24 inches and 15 inches respectively. The minimum concrete for 4.000 pb is islandes are to DCD Subsciento 3.4.6.1.1, For impact, the energy of the 5 in</li></ul>	Change ID#	COLA	Part	Chapter	Section	Basis for Change	Change Summary
<ul> <li>4) Add new Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>3.5.2 PROTECTION FROM EXTERNALLY GENERATED MISSILES Add the following text to the end of DCD Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>Hurricane wind and missile velocities are based on an annual non exceedance probability of 10.7, the same as that for tomados in Regulatory Guide 1.76 Revision 1. The using the tomado missile structural acceptance criteria for the hurricane winds and missiles avaluation is appropriate.</li> <li>The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 formado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, Dcobber 2011 (RCI 1.221) based LNP site- specific hurricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5.20. The hurricane generated missile velocities are based on maximum huricane wind spe of 195 mph at the LVP site, using the figures and tables in RCI 1.221. The LNP site-specific hurricane generated missile structure as dollows: - For the 1-in atel sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile horizonial velocity is 33 mph. For this missile the minimum concerts (in ensult on reguired.</li> <li>For the 6.0-2.5 diameter pipe missile, hot LVP site specific hurricane generated missile horizonial velocity is 30 mph. For this missile the minimum concerts (in ensult on reguired.</li> <li>For the 6.0-2.5 diameter pipe missile, the LVP site specific hurricane generated missile horizonial velocity is 30. The for insumm michores required (fC=4.000 pipe) hickness required to prevent parentarization or scabbiling is lass than 13 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5.3. Ite minimum concerts (fC=4.000 pip) hickness required to prevent parentarization or scabbiling is lass than 1.5 horizonata velocity is 30. The for the substate for 4.000 paid is 0.1 bounds the energy of the corresponding LVP alide specific 6.8.25 inches and 15 inches 1.5.6.1 for a 4.000 bas subcerbided by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missis horizontal velocit</li></ul>					1		
<ul> <li>Add new Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>3.5.2 PROTECTION FROM EXTERNALLY GENERATED MISSILES Add the following text to the and of DCD Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>Huricane wind and missile structural acceptance criteria for the huricane winds and missiles evaluation is appropriate.</li> <li>The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.21, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site- specific huricance evine and table is no for the huricane winds and missiles evaluation is appropriate.</li> <li>The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site- specific huricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-202. The huricane generated missile volcibies are based on maximum huricane wind spe of 159 mph at the LNP aik using the figures and tables in RG 1.221. The LNP site-specific huricane generated missile volcibies are based on saminum duricane of required.</li> <li>For the 1-in Set is specific huricane generated missile horizontal velocity is 59 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 pa) hickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is less than 13 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5, 3, the minimum concrete fc or 4,000 pa) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is less than 3 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5, 4, the minimum concrete fc or 4,000 pa) thickness required do prevent penetration or scabbing is less than 3 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.4, 6, 1.1, For impact, the energy of the 5 inch here informado missile specifica in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomad generated missile automobile missile, the LNP site specific huricane generated missile velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomad generated missile automobile missile, the LNP site specific huricane generated automobile missile specifica in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomad gene</li></ul>					•		
<ul> <li>4) Add new Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>3.5.2 PROTECTION FROM EXTERNALLY GENERATED MISSILES Add the following text to the end of DCD Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>Hurricane wind and missile velocities are based on an annual non exceedance probability of 10 7, the same as that for lomados in Regulatory Guide 1.76 Revision 1. Th using the tomado missile structural acceptance criteria for the hurricane winds and missile evaluation is appropriate.</li> <li>The comparison between the DCD Tirr 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site- specific hurricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-202. The hurricane generated missile horizontal missile velocities are based on maximum hurricane wind sp of 195 mph at the LNP site, using the figures and tables in RG 1.221. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile horizontal missile valuation can be summarized as follows: • For the 1.015. Site of the site sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 velocity for tomado generated missile horizontal velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fC=4,000 pis) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabibing is fess than 13 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.6.3, the minimum thickness and the uncertain low reaction walls above grade and rooi is 2 inches and 5 inches respectively. The minimum concrete (fC=4,000 psi) hickness required do pravent penetration or scabibing is less than 13 inches. As stated in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the eminimum concrete (fC=4,000 psi) hickness required and troit used and rooi is 2 inches and 5 inches respectively. The minimum concrete (fC=4,000 psi) hickness required and troit uses and the near generated missile velocity is 58 mph. The is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile velocity is 58 mph. The is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile velocity i</li></ul>							
<ul> <li>4) Add new Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>3.5.2 PROTECTION FROM EXTERNALLY GENERATED MISSILES Add the following text to the end of DCD Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>Hurricane wind and missile velocities are based on an annuel non exceedance probability of 10 7, the same as that for tomados in Regulatory Guide 1.76 Revision 1. Th using the tomado missile structural acceptance criteria for the hurricane winds and missiles evaluation is appropriate.</li> <li>The comparison between the DCD Tir 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site- specific hurricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-202. The hurricane generated missiles evaluation are summarized as follows: <ul> <li>For the 1.01 site, using the figures and tables in RG 1.221. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missiles evaluation are summarized as follows: <ul> <li>For the 6.256-in. diameter pipe missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile evaluation are summarized in the summarized in 15 inches respecific hurricane generated missile for LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (C=4,000 psi) hickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile sounds the top psi histice for LNP on top site subsections 3.8.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 5 inch sense generated missile protected gainst the 6.62-5.1. diameter pipe hurricane for the 4.000 psi submicrobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile specific huricane generated missile horizonti velocity is 12 mph. The 12 mph automobile missile velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tir 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile horizonti velocity of 74 mph and no further evaluation is required. For the 4.000 psis suurobobile missil</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul>							
<ul> <li>A) Add IneW Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>PROTECTION FROM EXTERNALLY GENERATED MISSILES</li> <li>Add the following text to the end of DCD Subsection 3.5.2.</li> <li>Hurricane wind and missile velocities are based on an annuel non exceedance probability of 10 7, the same as that for tomados in Regulatory Guide 1.76 Revision 1. Th         using the tomado missile structural acceptance criteria for the hurricane winds and missile sevaluation is appropriate.</li> <li>The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tormado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site-         specific hurricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-202. The hurricane generated missile velocities are based on maximum hurricane wind spe         of 195 mph at the LNP site, using the figures and tables in RG 1.221. The LNP site-specific hurricane generated missile velocities are based on maximum hurricane wind spe         of 195 mph at the LNP site, using the figures and tables in RG 1.221. The LNP site-specific hurricane generated missile the minimum concrete (fC=4,000         point) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 180 shoulds the hurricane generated missile serical velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the         minimum concrete (fC=4,000 pi) hitchces arequired to prevent penetration or scabbing is 180 should in DCD Subsection 3.5.4, its minimum         thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 150 should in DCD Subsection 3.5.4, its minimum         the corresponding LNP site specific furricane generated missile velocity as 58 mph. This is bound be the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornad         generated missile, bound she ener         of the corresponding LNP site specific furricane generated missile velocity is 23 mph. For this missile the         minimum concrete (fC=4,000 Dis automobile horizontal missile velocity at 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornad         generated</li></ul>							
Add the following text to the and of DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Hurricane wind and missile structural acceptance criteria for the hurricane winds and missiles evaluation is appropriate. The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site- specific hurricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-202. The hurricane generated missile sealuation can be summarized as follows: + For the 1.in steel sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 velocity for tomado generated missile bounds the hurricane generated missile and tables in RG 1.221. The LNP site-specific hurricane generated missile. Thus, no additional evaluation is required. + For the 1-in steel sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 velocity for tomado generated missile horizontal velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fC=4,000 psi) hickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile verical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fC=4,000 psi) hickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 10 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile verical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fC=4,000 psi) hickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 10 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile verical velocity is 56 mph. Tor this missile the coll subsection 3.5.4, the minimum concrete for 4,000 psi hickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 10 inches respectively. The minimum concrete for C4,000 psi is used for LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 56 mph. Tor this site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 56 mph. Tor this missile the constructure for C4,000 psi is used for LNP site specific hurricane generated missile velocity is 100 mph. The 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocity is 50 mph. Tous at							4) Add new Subsection 3.5.2.
Huricane wind and missile velocities are based on an annual non exceedance probability of 10 7, the same as that for tomados in Regulatory Guide 1.76 Revision 1. Th using the tomado missile structural acceptance criteria for the huricane winds and missiles evaluation is appropriate. The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site- specific huricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-202. The huricane generated missile evaluation can be summarized as follows: For the 1-in steel sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 velocity for tomado generated missile velocities are based on maximum huricane wind spe of 195 mph at the LNP site, using the figures and tables in RG 1.221. The LNP site specific huricane generated missile. Thus, no additional evaluation is required. For the 6.625-in. diameter pipe missile, the LNP site specific huricane generated missile when in the UNP site, specific huricane generated missile velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4.000 ps) hickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is less than 15 inches. As stated in DCD Subsciention 3.5.3, the minimum thicknesses of the nuclear island extorior walls above grade and roof is 24 inches and 15 inches respectived. To DS ubscelified in DCD tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the energy of the 6.000 bas iluknesse required to prevent penetration or scabbing is less than 15 inches. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile. For the 4.000 Ibs automobile missile, the LNP site specific huricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile velocity of 10 mph. Thus, for the huricane generated missile velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile velocity of 10 mph. Thus, for the huricane generated missile indicities for the 1.00 Dis automobile missile velocity of 10							Add the following last to the and of DCD Subsection 3.5.2
Hurricane wind and missile velocities are based on an annuel non exceedance probability of 10 7, the same as that for tormados in Regulatory Guide 1,76 Revision 1. Th using the tormado missile structural acceptance criteria for the hurricane winds and missiles evaluation is appropriate. The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tormado generated missile parameters and the Regenzated rule velocities are based on maximum hurricane wind spe of 195 mph at the LNP site, using the figures and tables in RG 1.221. The LNP site-specific hurricane generated missile evaluation can be summarized at sfollows: • For the 1-in steel sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tormado generated missiles bounds the hurricane generated missile velocity is 33 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile specific hurricane generated missile specified in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum thicknesses of the nuclear island exterior walls above grade and roof is 24 inches and 15 inches respecified in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum thicknesses of the nuclear island exterior walls above grade and roof is 24 inches and 15 inches respecified in DCD Subsection 3.5.4. In terms the corresponding LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tormads generated missile excital velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocities are based for LNP nuclear island structures or PCD Subsection 3.8.4.6.1.1. For impact. • For the 4,000 bis automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tormads generated missile vertical velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tormad generated missile horizontal velocity is 102 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile, the LNP sit							
using the tomado missile structural acceptance criteria for the hurricane winds and missiles evaluation is appropriate. The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site- specific hurricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-202. The hurricane generated missile evaluation can be summarized as follows: • For the 1-in steel sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 velocity for tornado generated missile bounds the hurricane generated missile. Thus, no additional evaluation is required. • For the 6.625-in. diameter pipe missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fC=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches and 15 inches. Pasted in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum thickness of the nuclear island extorior walls above grade and rooi 12 4 inches required to inches respecified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the energy of the 6.625-in. diameter pipe missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the energy of the corresponding LNP site specific 6.625 inch pipe missile. Thus, the LNP nuclear island staudoual by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated missile. • For the 4.000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated automobile missile, wertical velocity of 105 mph. Thus,							Hurricane wind and missile velocities are based on an annual non exceedance probability of 107, the same as that for tomados in Regulatory Guide 1,76 Revision 1, Thus,
The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site- specific hurricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-202. The hurricane generated missile evaluation can be summarized as follows: • For the 1-in steel sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 velocity for tornado generated missile borizontal velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 12 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 13 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum thicknesses of the nuclear island stabove grade and roof is 24 inches and 13 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum thicknesses of the nuclear island stabove grade and roof is 24 inches and 15 inches. As stated in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the ener of the corresponding LNP site specific 6,625 inch pipe missile. Thus, the LNP nuclear island is adequately protected against the 6,625-in. diameter pipe hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocity is 58 mph. This is boundad by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated automobile missile, velocity of 105 mph. Thus, for the nurricane generated missile index of the determine generated automobile missile velocity of 105 mph. Thus, for the nurricane generated missile index of the size and the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated automobile missile velocity of 105 mph. Thus, for the nurricane generated automobile index of wells are begin in the other the LNP nuclear island stracture develotity is 120 mph. The 120				•			using the tornado missile structural acceptance criteria for the humicane winds and missiles evaluation is appropriate.
The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile parameters? specific hurricane generated missile parameters are summarized in Table 3.5-202. The LNP site-specific hurricane generated missile are based on maximum hurricane wind specific hurricane generated missile are based on maximum hurricane wind specific hurricane generated missile are based on maximum hurricane wind specific hurricane generated missile are summarized in Table 5.5-0.1 velocity for tornado generated missile specific hurricane generated missile setulation can be summarized as follows: • For the 1-in steel sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 velocity for tornado generated missile horizontal velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specifies in 13 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 11 inches respectively. The minimum concrete fc = 4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 11 inches respectively. The minimum concrete fc = 4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 11 inches. The LNP site specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the energy of the 3 inch site specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the energy of the corresponding LNP site specific 6.625 inch pipe missile. Thus, the LNP nuclear island structures per CDD Subsection 3.8.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 3 inch site specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated missile evolution is required. For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated missile velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automob							
specific function generated missile parameters are summarized in 1 able 3.5-202. The function generated missile velocities are based on maximum humcane wind specific of 195 mph at the LNP site, using the tables in RG 1.221. The LNP site-specific humcane generated missile velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific humcane generated missile velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific humcane generated missile velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 15 inches specific humcane generated missile velocity is 50 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 16 inches specific humcane generated missile velocity is 50 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 16 inches specific humcane generated missile velocity is 50 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete fc of 4,000 psi is used for LNP nuclear island studures per DCD Subsection 3.8.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 8 inch shell tomado missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the energ of the comsponding LNP site specific humcane generated missile velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile, an evaluation was performed to determine generated missile velocity of 105 mph. Thus, for the humcane generated automobile missile, an evaluation was performed to determine whether the LNP nuclear island struction valis are adequated to withstand the effect of the suble impact in addition was performed to determine whether the LNP nuclear island exterior valis are adequated to withstand the ef							The comparison between the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile parameters and the Regulatory Guide 1.221, October 2011 (RG 1.221) based LNP site-
<ul> <li>For the 1-in steel sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 velocity for turbe size specific hurricane generated missile evaluation can be summarized as follows:</li> <li>For the 1-in steel sphere, the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 velocity for turbe size bounds the hurricane generated missile wertical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is less than 13 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum thicknesses of the nuclear island exterior wells above grade and roof is 24 inches and 15 inches respectively. The minimum concrete fc of 4,000 psi is used for LNP nuclear island structures per DCD Subsection 3.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 8 inch shell tomado missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomads generated missile.</li> <li>For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomad generated missile horizontal velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomad generated missile horizontal welocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile inpact the enveluation is required. For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile horizontal velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile result in sisile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile wertical velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomad generated automobile horizontal missile weakuation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation of the tomado generated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it weakuation used the same methodology that</li></ul>							specific humcane generated missile parameters are summarized in 1 able 3.5-202, the humcane generated missile velocities are based on maximum humcane wind speed of 105 mb the LNP the public velocities are based on maximum humcane wind speed
required. • For the 6.625-in. diameter pipe missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile borizontal velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specifies hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum thicknesses of the nuclear island exterior walls above grade and roof is 24 inches and 15 inches respectively. The minimum concrete fc of 4,000 psi is used for LNP nuclear island structures per DCD Subsection 3.8.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 8 inch shell tormado missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the ener of the corresponding LNP site specific furricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tormad generated missile horizontal velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tormad generated missile horizontal velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocitop is generated missile horizontal webcity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tormad generated missile horizontal missile in pact together with the 195 mph hurricane winds. This velocity of 106 mph. Thus, for the hurricane generated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation is evaluation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation of the formate during denerated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it was used for evaluation or the formate during denerated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it was used for evaluation or the tormado generated automobile missile in the topic in generated automobile missile method is advantabile termined the evaluation of the formado generated automobile missi							to resome a une two and using the injures and tables in KG 1.221. The two site-specific numbers degenerated missing evaluation can be summarized as routed in the forth and the summarized as routed in the summarized as routed a
<ul> <li>For the 6.625-in. diameter pipe missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile horizontal velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 12 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5.4, the minimum concrete (fc=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is the specific hurricane generated missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the ener of the cornsponding LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomad generated missile.</li> <li>For the 4.000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomad generated missile vertical velocity is 12 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomad generated missile horizontal missile velocity is 12 mph. Thus, the the furnicane for the cornsplic missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated automobile horizontal missile velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile, an evaluation was performed to determine whether the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to withstand the effect of the submerview of the some methodology that was used for evaluation or the invitie metha duronobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we concluded that the LNP submerview prevented automobile missile horizontal respective terecented automobile missile in DCD Sub</li></ul>							
psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete ((°=4,000 psi) hickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is less than 13 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum thicknesses of the nuclear island exterior walls above grade and roof is 24 inches and 15 inches. As stated in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the ener of the corresponding LNP site specific 6.625 inch pipe missile. Thus, the LNP nuclear island ortic is adequately protected against the 6.625-in. diameter pipe hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the ener of the corresponding LNP site specific 6.625 inch pipe missile. Thus, the LNP nuclear island structures per DCD Subsection 3.5.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 8.602 bit is 180 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the ener of the corresponding LNP site specific 6.625 inch pipe missile. Thus, the LNP nuclear island structures per DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation is required. For the 4.000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated automobile horizontal missile vertical velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile missile in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated missile horizontal missile velocity of 105 mph. Thus, for valuation vas performed to determine whether the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to withstand the effect of the automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we concluded that the LNP successific advice advice the two invertion extended advorbible missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we concluded that the the two invertion extended advance and advice the two invertion extended advance and adverse the valuation of the consolited advice adv							• For the 6.625-in. diameter pipe missile, the LNP site specific humicane generated missile horizontal velocity is 93 mph. For this missile the minimum concrete (fc=4,000
minimum concrete (*c=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is less than 13 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum thicknesses of the nuclear island exterior walls above grade and roof is 24 inches and 15 inches respectively. The minimum concrete / c of 4,000 psi is used for LNP nuclear island structures per DCD Subsection 3.8.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 8 inch shell tornado missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the ener of the corresponding LNP site specific 6.625 inch pipe missile. Thus, the LNP nuclear island structures per DCD subsection 3.8.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 8 inch shell tornado missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the ener of the corresponding LNP site specific 6.625 inch pipe missile. Thus, the LNP nuclear island is adequately protected against the 6.625-in. diameter pipe humicane generated missile vertical velocity of 74 mph and no further evaluation is required. For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific humicane generated missile vertical velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velotant and the UCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated missile horizontal missile velocity of 74 mph and no further evaluation is required. For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific humicane generated missile velocity of 105 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocation was performed to determine whether the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to withstand the effect of the automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we valuation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation of the formate quere dual method to minimize minimized minimized minimized minimized minimized min							psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is 17 inches. The LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. For this missile the
thicknesses of the nuclear island exterior walls above grade and roof is 24 inches and 15 inches respectively. The minimum concrete fc of 4,000 psi is used for LNP nuclear island structures per DCD Subsection 3.8.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 8 inch shell tomado missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the ener of the corresponding LNP site specific 6.625 inch pipe missile. For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomac generated missile vertical velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile vertical velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomac generated missile horizontal velocity is in the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to withstand the enter detunobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we valuation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation of the formate querated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we concluded that the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to exclusion to the formate querated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we concluded that the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to acceler the trained automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation is evaluation on the tomado generated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation is devaluation of the tomado generated automobile missile in the the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to acceler the vertion of the tomado generated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we concluded that the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation is advaluation in advaluation is advaluation in the initial interest interest advaluation in the initial interest interest advaluation in adval							minimum concrete (f'c=4,000 psi) thickness required to prevent penetration or scabbing is less than 13 inches. As stated in DCD Subsection 3.5.3, the minimum
Inuclear island structures per DCD Subsection 3.8.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 8 inch shell tomado missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the energy of the 8 inch shell tomado missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the energy of the 8 inch shell tomado missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile. I For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific humicane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile vertical velocity is 74 mph and no further evaluation is required. For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile, an evaluation was performed to determine whether the LNP nuclear island verticity of 105 mph. Thus, for the humicane generated automobile missile, an evaluation was performed to determine whether the LNP nuclear island verticity are dequate to withstand the effect of the simple missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we valuation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation or the tomado generated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we concluded that the LNP success index available motion advanted available motion advante							thicknesses of the nuclear island exterior walls above grade and roof is 24 inches and 15 inches respectively. The minimum concrete fc of 4,000 psi is used for LNP
of the corresponding LNP site specific 0.025 inch pipe missile. Thus, the LNP fulciear island is adequately protected against the 0.025-in. diameter pipe humcane generated missile. • For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific humcane generated missile vertical velocity is 58 mph. This is bounded by the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomace generated automobile missile vertical velocity of 74 mph and no further evaluation is required. For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific humcane generated automobile missile vertical velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocital in the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tomado generated missile horizontal velocity is 105 mph. Thus, for the humcane generated automobile horizontal missile, an evaluation was performed to determine uutomobile missile velocity of 105 mph. Thus, for the humcane generated automobile horizontal missile impact together with the 195 mph humcane winds. This evaluation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation of the tomado generated dutomobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it we							nuclear island structures per DCD Subsection 3.8.4.6.1.1. For impact, the energy of the 8 inch shell tornado missile specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 bounds the energy
Period unsale. Instale. Insta							To the corresponding LNP site specific 0.025 inch pipe missile. Thus, the LNP nuclear Island is adequately protected against the 0.625-in. diameter pipe numcane
generated automobile missile vertical velocity of 74 mph and no further evaluation is required. For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific hurricane generated missile horizontal velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 formado generated automobile missile horizontal missile velocity of 105 mph. Thus, for the hurricane fearer the automobile missile inpact together with the 195 mph hurricane winds. This whether the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to withstand the cancer the automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it w concluded that the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to withstand the origine timesile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it w					· ·		generated massie.
generated missile horizontal velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 formado generated automobile missile velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 formado generated automobile horizontal missile velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 formado generated automobile horizontal missile velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 formado generated automobile horizontal missile velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 formado generated automobile horizontal missile velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 formado generated automobile horizontal missile and evaluation was performed to determine whether the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to withstand the effect of the selfer with the 105 mph humanicane winds. This evaluation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation of the formado generated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it were available to the LNP nuclear indicide advantative transported to evaluate out on the invite greater than the priorite investion of the table LNP nuclear indicide advantative transported reacting the priorite invite invite invite invite invite invited invited in the nuclear invited invited in the priorite invited							generated automobile missile vertical velocity of 74 mph and no further evaluation is required. For the 4,000 lbs automobile missile, the LNP site specific humcane
automobile missile horizontal missile velocity of 105 mph. Thus, for the hurricane generated automobile horizontal missile, an evaluation was performed to determine whether the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to withstand the effect of the automobile missile impact together with the 195 mph hurricane winds. This evaluation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation of the formado generated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it w							generated missile horizontal velocity is 120 mph. The 120 mph automobile horizontal missile velocity is greater than the DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 tornado generated
whether the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to withstand the effect of the automobile missile impact together with the 195 mph humicane winds. This evaluation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation of the formado generated automobile missile inDCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation is advantable motion and that the LNP nuclear island evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation is advantable motion evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation is advantable motion evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation of the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation evaluation is the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation evaluation is the top LNP nuclear island evaluation. It was used for evaluation evaluation evaluation evaluatis is the top NNP nuclear island evaluation evaluation eva							automobile missile horizontal missile velocity of 105 mph. Thus, for the humcane generated automobile horizontal missile, an evaluation was performed to determine
evaluation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation of the formado generated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it v	1	1					whether the LNP nuclear island exterior walls are adequate to withstand the effect of the automobile missile impact together with the 195 mph humicane winds. This
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1						evaluation used the same methodology that was used for evaluation of the formado generated automobile missile in DCD Subsection 3.5.2. Based on the evaluation, it was
		I MD	2	2	02.05.02	NPD-NRC-2011-082, L-	concluded that the LNP indicear island is adequately protected against the numcane generated automobile missile impact.
					03,03,02	NPD-NPC-2011-081 L-	
LNP-001 LNP 2 10 10.04 F/F10.4-201 0095 F Revised Figure 10.4-201 to show vacuum breaker location on the blowdown line and correctly show tie-ins for WWS and WI S	LNP-001	LNP	2	10	10.04 F/F10 4-201	0995 response	Revised Figure 10 4-201 to show vacuum breaker location on the blowdown line and correctly show tis-ins for WWS and WLS

Page 3 of 5

	r				r	
Change ID#	COLA	Part	Chapter	Section	Basis for Change	Change Summary
						Revise Subsection 11.2.1.2.4 from: "The exterior radwaste discharge piping is enclosed within a guard pipe and monitored for leakage. The radwaste discharge piping connects to the cooling tower blowdown piping. The double wall radwaste discharge piping terminates at this connection. Dilution of the radwaste with cooling tower blowdown occurs at this connection. Beyond this point of connection, the cooling tower blowdown piping is singlewalled, buried and constructed of High Density Polyethylene. Downstream of the reducted relatives are and when on each burdown piping is singlewalled, burdown locat to proved to particular data and are
						capable of manual operation as required for pump startup. The radwaste discharge line will be isolated during pump startup. As required during pump startup, and the solated during pump startup. As required during pump startup, and the solated during pump startup. As required during pump startup, and the solated during pump startup. The radwaste discharge line will be isolated during pump startup. As required during pump startup, and the solated during pump startup. As required during pump startup, and the solated during pump startup. The radwaste mixture will be accompliance with Radiation Protection and ALARA Program requirements. Leak detection of the cooling tower and radwaste mixture will be accomplished by ground water monitoring and periodic walk down of the vent valves in accordance with NB 08-08A. This reduces the potential for undetected leakage from this discharge to the environment to support compliance with 10 CFR 20.1406. The cooling tower blowdown with the diluted radwaste is discharged to the Crystal River Energy Complex discharge canal."
						To Read:
						"The exterior radwaste discharge piping is enclosed within a guard pipe and monitored for leakage. The radwaste discharge piping connects to the cooling tower blowdown piping. The double wall radwaste discharge piping terminates at this connection. Dilution of the radwaste with cooling tower blowdown occurs at this connection. Upstream of the connection point, at the high point on the system, two vacuum breakers exist on the blowdown line to preclude water hammer during pump shutdown and startup and ensure the continued integrity of the line. The vacuum breaker location is shown on Figure 10.4-201; this location ensures liquid radwaste always remains downstream of the vacuum breakers. Planned liquid radwaste releases are only executed with dilution flow established either from the blowdown or Salt Water Sub-System of the Raw Water System.
		2				Beyond this point of connection, the cooling tower blowdown piping is singlewalled, buried and constructed of High Density Polyethylene. Downstream of the radwaste discharge connection will be one vent valve on each blowdown line. The vents shall be capped and locked closed to prevent inadvertent operation and are capable of manual operation as required for pump startup. The radwaste discharge line will be isolated during pump startup. As required during pump startup, personnel will be present at the vent valves to allow air to escape and then to close the valve when the line fills with water. Any spillage shall be contained and properly managed in accordance with Radiation Protection and ALARA Program requirements. Leak detection of the cooling tower and radwaste mixture will be consentioned uptor precision and relation protection and ALARA Program requirements. Leak detection of the cooling tower and radwaste mixture will be consentioned uptor precision and relation protection and ALARA Program requirements. Leak detection of the cooling tower and radwaste mixture will be consentioned uptor precision and relation protection and ALARA program requirements. Leak detection of the cooling tower detection of the protection and protection and PLARA program requirements. Leak detection of the cooling tower detection of the protection by protection and protection
					NPD-NRC-2011-081, L-	per accompanies by ground water informating and periode wate own or the vent vent vent vent vent vent vent ven
LNP-002	LNP	<sup>~</sup> 2	11	11.02.01.02.04	0995 response	Energy Complex discharge canal.
LNP-044	LNP	2	13	13.04.T/T13.4-201	Errata to correct LMA	Revise LMA for COLA, Item 16 of Table 13.4-201 from "LNP COL 13.4-201" to "LNP COL 13.4-1."
						19) Add new Subsection 17,4,7,1,6 with a LMA of LNP SUP 17,4-1
					NPD-NRC-2011-080 L	17.4.7.1.0 site-specific Society to be induced in D-RAP
LNP-003	LNP	2	17	17.04.07.01.06	0992 response	described in the table."
					NPD-NRC-2011-080, L-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
LNP-004	LNP	2	17	17.04.T/T17.4-201	0992 response	10) Add new Table 17.4-201 contained in the L-0992 response with a LMA of LNP SUP 17.4-1
						1) Pavise last sentence of the 3rd paragraph of Subsection 19 55 6 3 Site-Specific Seismic Marrin Analysis from
					•	"Thus, liquefaction potential of soil beyond the nuclear island perimeter which will be left in place will not lower the HCLPF values calculated for the certified design."
						To read:
						"Thus, liquefaction potential of soil beyond the nuclear island perimeter which will be left in place has the potential to drive the plant level HCLPF; however the soil
I NP-005	INP	2	19	19 55 06 03	NPD-NRC-2011-080, L-	Industracion HCLPF exceeds the 1.67 GMRS goal for the plant level HCLPF.
						2) 2) Revise last sentence of the 4th paragraph of Subsection 19,55,6,3 Site-Specific Seismic Margin Analysis from: "Thus, there is no adverse Seismic Category II/I interaction between the NI and the adjacent buildings that would lower the HCLPF values calculated for the certified design."
						To read:
I NP-006		2	19	19 55 06 03	NPD-NRC-2011-080, L-	*Thus, Seismic Category I/I interaction between the NI and the adjacent buildings has the potential to drive the plant level HCLPF; however the HCLPF for Seismic Category I/I interaction between the NI and the adjacent buildings exceeds the 1.67*GMRS goal for the plant level HCLPF.*
000		•			[ ioopoileo	

-

	1	-			T	
		COLA				
Change ID#	COLA	Part	Chapter	Section	Basis for Change	Change Summary
						3) Add the following paragraph after the 4th paragraph of Subsection 19.55.6.3 Site-Specific Seismic Margin Anatysis: "The LNP RCC bridging mat is designed to span the postulated (conservative) design basis karst void of 10 ft. The failure of the RCC bridging mat can result in displacement of the AP1000 nuclear island foundation in excess of the maximum 6 in. displacements specified in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1. In the AP1000 PRA-based Seismic Margin Assessment, the RCC bridging mat failure is conservatively assumed to fall within the gross structural collapse event modeled in the hierarchical event tree discussed in DCD Section 19.55. As gross structural collapse is assumed to directly lead to core damage, failure of the RCC bridging mat has the potential to drive the plant level high confidence low probability of failure (HCLPF) value. The HCLPF capacity of the RCC mat was calculated as 0.12g using the conservative deterministic failure margin (CDFM) methodology of Reference 19.55.7-201. The 0.12g HCLPF capacity of the RCC bridging mat is 1.76 times the LNP site-specific GMRS peak ground acceleration; this exceeds the overall plant HCLPF capeting of 1.67*GMRS. Table 19.55-201 summarizes the HCLPF capacities of the LNP site-specific design features (e.g., RCC bridging mat, potential against soil liguefaction, and seismic category
LNP-007	LNP	2	19	19.55,06.03	NPD-NRC-2011-080, L- 0992 response	IVI interaction between the nuclear island and the adjacent buildings)."
LNP-008	LNP	2	19	19.55.06.03	NPD-NRC-2011-080, L- 0992 response	<ul> <li>A) Revise 5th paragraph (now 6th paragraph) of Subsection 19.55.6.3 Site-Specific Seismic Margin Analysis from:         <ul> <li>Thus, it can be concluded that the Seismic Margin Assessment analysis documented in Section 19.55 is applicable to the LNP site.</li> <li>To read:             <ul> <li>Thus, it can be concluded that the Seismic Margin Assessment analysis documented in Section 19.55 is applicable to the LNP site.</li> <li>Thus, it can be concluded that the Seismic Margin Assessment analysis documented in Section 19.55 is applicable to the LNP site.</li> <li>Thus, it can be concluded that the Seismic Margin Assessment analysis documented in Section 19.55 is applicable to the LNP site. Exceeding the HCLPF capacities for soil liquefaction and Seismic Category II/I interaction effects of buildings adjacent to the nuclear island will not affect the plant level HCLPF capacity. The RCC bridging mat HCLPF capacity, while potentially driving the plant-level HCLPF, exceeds the plant level HCLPF goal of 1.67*GMRS.*</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>
LNP-009	LNP	2	19	19.55.07	NPD-NRC-2011-080, L- 0992 response	5) Add new Subsection 19.55.7 as follows: *19.55.7 REFERENCES Add the following information at the end of DCD Subsection 19.55.7: 201. EPRI Report No. NP-6041-SL, "A Methodology for Assessment of Nuclear Power Plant Seismic Margin", Revision 1, August 1991."
LNP-010	LNP	2	19	19.55.T/T19.55-201	NPD-NRC-2011-080, L- 0992 response	6) . Add new Table 19.55-201, HCLPF Capacities for LNP Site Specific Design Features, with LMA of LNP COL 19.59.10-6, as shown in attachment 19-75 from L-0992 response
						7) Add the following paragraphs to the end of Subsection 19.59, 10.5 *In the AP1000 PRA-based Seismic Margin Assessment (SMA), the RCC bridging mat failure is conservatively assumed to fall within the gross structural collapse event modeled in the hierarchical event tree discussed in DCD Section 19.55. As gross structural collapse is assumed to directly lead to core damage, failure of the RCC bridging mat has the potential to drive the plant level high confidence low probability of failure (ICLCPF) capacity. The assessment of risk significance of the LNP RCC bridging mat is based on the assumption that events that which result in demand beyond the CDFM HCLPF capacity is of the RCC bridging mat will lead to, gross structural collapse occurs. A more realistic assessment of is that an event beyond the conservative deterministic failure mode (CDFM) HCLPF capacity for the RCC bridging mat may result in some cracking within the RCC bridge mapbridging mat which in tur may result in limited damage to the NI structures. Thus, exceeding the CDFM HCLPF capacity may would only have a limited effect on the NI structure performance. The CDFM HCLPF capacity for soil liquefaction potential is based on no liquefaction potential for the LNP 10 <sup>-5</sup> UHRS. A seismic event larger than the 10 <sup>-5</sup> UHRS seismic event is required for soil liquefaction. For the larger event, liquefaction will be confined to isolated areas under the adjacent Turbine and Annex buildings and may result in limited damage to the NI structures. For Seismic Category IU interaction between the nuclear island and the adjacent buildings the CDFM HCLPF capacity is based on calculated less than 1 in. relative displacements between the NI and the adjacent building foundations. A seismic event larger than the 10-5 UHRS seismic event is required for the relative displacement between the NI and the adjacent building foundations. A seismic event larger than the 10-5 UHRS seismic event is required for the relative displacement between the NI and th
LNP-011	LNP	2	19	19.59.10.5	NPD-NRC-2011-080, L- 0992 response	Category I/I interaction between the nuclear island and the adjacent buildings will not affect the plant level HCLPF capacity. Table 19.59-201 summarizes the PRA-based insight for the RCC bridging mat (site-specific design feature).*
I NP-012		2	19	19 59 T/T19 59-201	NPD-NRC-2011-080, L-	8) Add new Table 19 50 201 DDA Dared Incipite for Site Snapific SSCs with a LNA of LND COL 10 50 10 5 or shown in all advectment 40 75 from L 2000
LINE -012	LINE	4	19	119.00.1/119.00-201	10332 162bourse	por numeri autorition as shown in attachment 19-75 from L-0992 response

.