NRC FORM 591M PAR	Γ1			U.S NUCLEAR RE	EGULATORY COMMISSION		
(06-2010) 10 CFR 2.201				•			
10 01 11 2.231	SAFETY	INSPECTION REP	ORT AND COMPLIA	NCE INSPECTION	ON		
	J	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
1. LICENSEE/LOCATIO	N INSPECTED:		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE				
OCCIDENTAL	Chemical	CORP.	USNRC				
1600 S.MA	DISON ST		RegionIII	· 110 2d			
1. LICENSEE/LOCATIO OCCIDENTAL /600 5. MA LUDINGTON, REPORT NUMBER(S)	WI 48.431	<i>'</i>	2443 WARREN	ville Ry			
REPORT NUMBER(S)	2011-001		REGIONTIL 2443 WARREN LISTE, IZ	60532			
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S		4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S	/	5. DATE(S) OF INS	PECTION		
030-132	98	21-1777	2-01	1/30/12			
LICENSEE:				1 170077			
		and an advantage of the control of t	and the second section of the second section of	alah and be seened	a colle the About		
			inse as they relate to radiation s ur license. The inspection consi				
			spector. The inspection findings				
1. Based on the	he inspection findings, no	violations were identified.					
15.3							
I	2. Previous violation(s) closed.						
			inon-cited violations, are not be				
	self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied						
	Non-cited violation(s) we	ere discussed involving the f	iollowing requirement/e):				
Non-cited violation(s) were discussed involving the following requirement(s):							
	•						
			,				
	4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC						
requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19,11							
	,		1				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Statement of	Corrective Actions				
I hereby state that, with	in 30 days, the actions	described by me to the in	spector will be taken to correct R 2.201 (corrective steps alre	ct the violations ident	ifled. This statement of		
			written response to NRC will				
	<u> </u>		,		-		
Title		Printed Name	Sig	nature	Date		
LICENSEE'S	 						
REPRESENTATIVE					1		
NEC MARKET							
NRC INSPECTOR				,	3		

E. L. KULZER

JAMARA BLOOMER

Branch Chief

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (10-2011)								
Docket File Information SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECT			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
Occidental Chemical Corporation 1600 S. Madison Street Ludington, MI 49431			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352					
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2012-0	001							
3. DOCKET NUMBER(\$)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION				
030-13298		21-17772-01		1/30/2012				
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USE	ED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS						
ip 87124		03.01-03.07						
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION								
1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03120	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Jim Glover		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER (231) 845-4474				
✓ Main Office Inspec	otion	Next Inspection	Date: 1/2017	<u> </u>				
Field Office Inspection								
Temporary Job Site Inspection								
		PROGRAM SO	OPE					
The licensee produces calcium chloride and employs 130 individuals. The licensee possesses eight specifically licensed fixed gauges used in the production of calcium chloride. The licensee has disposed of five gauges since the last inspection. Currently, three individuals are involved with use and oversight of the devices including the RSO. Gauges are leak tested at three-year frequencies in accordance with manufacturers specifications. Inventories and shutter checks are performed at required frequencies. The licensee stated that the gauges are relocated, removed, etc. by the manufacturer. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer.								
Performance Observations								
The plant facility was toured and approximately six gauges were observed in conjunction with the licensee's current inventory. The RSO demonstrated survey techniques used if/when a gauge is relocated. Proper lock-out and leak test procedures were also adequately described. Interviews conducted with plant workers revealed that under no circumstances would a vessel be entered without RSO supervision of lock-out/tag- out procedures. The licensee had not locked out any gauges at the time of this inspection.								