10 CFR 71.95 REPORT EVALUATION FORM							
Docket No.:	71-9239						
Package Model No.:	MCC						
Report Submitted By:	Tanya Sloma, Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC						
Report Date:	December 21, 2011						
whether the report identifies a gen Note that a high safety significance	ermine if additional Commission or staff action is warranted. The review should consider eric defect or problem with the package design and the safety significance of the issue. e represents a potential for significant radiation exposure, medium safety significance oderate radiation exposure, and low safety significance represents little or no potential for						
1. The report identifies:							
Defect with a safety	n in the effectiveness of a package during use; significance; conditions of the approval were not observed.						
2. What is the safety sign	ificance?HighMediumLow						
3. Summary of the report:							
• •	llets, that were supposed to be scrapped, were loaded into fuel rods and lo. MCC package between 8/24/2010 and 9/18/2010.						
In the shipment, one minimum manufactu	pellet tray contained a pellet with a diameter of 0.2 mils less than the ring tolerance.						
conditions to evaluat Results indicate that	ere performed for a 17x17 fuel assembly type in both NCT and HAC to the increase in reactivity (K_{eff}) due to the changed pellet diameter. The maximum K_{eff} value was still less than the upper subcritical limit in the criticality safety evaluation of the Model No. MCC package.						
The small increase in	n K _{eff} had no adverse impact on the safety basis of the package.						
4. Corrective actions take	n by the licensee:						
Departments to r identification of n performance of r non-conforming t	on Process (CAP) was issued to instruct Westinghouse Nuclear Fuel eview the consequences of deviation disposition requests, i.e., ion-conformances pertaining to pellet diameter specifications, e-measurement procedures, marking of "out of specification" material in grays as "scrap", re-sampling scrap trays, physical verification that scrap eally scrapped and not released for use, etc.						
 An evaluation of 	the criticality safety analysis was performed and documented.						

10 CFR 71.95 REPORT EVALUATION FORM

Docket No.:	71-9239
Package Model No.:	MCC
Report Submitted By:	Tanya Sloma, Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC
Report Date:	December 21, 2011

5. Staff comments:

There was no radiation exposure due to the non-conforming pellets being shipped in the Model No. MCC package.

6. Staff conclusion:

✓ The report does NOT identify generic design or license/certificate issues that warrant additional Commission or staff action. This report is considered closed.

___ There is a need to take additional action. Provide a summary of the bases and recommended actions:

DISTRIBUTION: SFST 71.95 Report File M. Ferdas, RI S. Vias, II C. Lipa, III B. Spitzberg, IV B. Benny C. Araguas D. White, FSME NMED Project Manager

G:\SFST\Part 71\71.95 reports\71.95 Report Evaluations\71-9239 12-21-2011.docx

ADAMS Accession No.:

OFC	SFST	с	SFST	с	SFST	с		
NAME	PSaverot		MDeBose		MWaters MM			
DATE	01/18/2012		01/19/2012		2781	1		

C = COVER E = COVER & ENCLOSURE N = NO COPY

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY