

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

February 16, 2012

Mr. Michael J. Pacilio President and Chief Nuclear Officer Exelon Nuclear 4300 Winfield Road Warrenville, IL 60555

SUBJECT: BRAIDWOOD STATION, UNIT NO. 2 AND BYRON STATION, UNIT NO. 2 -

INFORMATION REQUEST PURSUANT TO 50.54(f) RELATED TO THE ESTIMATED EFFECT ON PEAK CLADDING TEMPERATURE RESULTING FROM THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY DEGRADATION IN THE WESTINGHOUSE

FURNISHED REALISTIC EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM

EVALUATION (TAC NO. M99899)

Dear Mr. Pacilio:

This letter is being issued in accordance with the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) regulation in Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), Part 50, Section 50.54(f). Pursuant to this regulation, Exelon Generation, LLC (Exelon), is required to provide information regarding the effect of a potentially significant error, as defined in 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(i), associated with thermal conductivity degradation (TCD), on peak cladding temperature in the Westinghouse Electric Company (Westinghouse)-furnished realistic emergency core cooling system (ECCS) evaluation models, to enable the NRC staff to determine whether the Braidwood Station, Unit No. 2 and Byron Station, Unit No. 2 licenses should be modified, suspended, or revoked.

The NRC staff issued Information Notice (IN) 2011-21, "Realistic Emergency Core Cooling System Evaluation Model Effects Resulting from Nuclear Fuel Thermal Conductivity Degradation," on December 13, 2011. The IN identified an error in the Westinghouse-furnished realistic ECCS evaluation models, the estimated effect of which was potentially significant, as defined in 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(i), in plant-specific applications. According to 10 CFR 50.46(a)(3)(i), "a significant change or error is one which results in a calculated peak fuel cladding temperature different by more than 50 °F [degrees Fahrenheit] from the temperature calculated for the limiting transient using the last acceptable model..."

Exelon Generation Station Co., LLC has received approval to implement Westinghouse realistic ECCS evaluation models as follows:

- Braidwood Station, Unit No. 2 received approval to implement ASTRUM by Amendment 164 dated December 21, 2010 (Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML103270403).
- Byron Station, Unit No. 2 received approval to implement ASTRUM by Amendment 170 dated December 21, 2010 (ADAMS Accession No. ML103270403).

To date, the NRC does not have information from Exelon estimating the effect of the TCD error on the Braidwood Station, Unit No. 2 and Byron Station, Unit No. 2 peak cladding temperatures calculated for the limiting transient using the last acceptable ECCS evaluation model.

The regulation at 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1)(i) requires the identification and assessment of uncertainties in the analysis method and inputs so that the uncertainty in the calculated results can be estimated. This uncertainty must be accounted for, so that, when the calculated ECCS cooling performance is compared to the criteria set forth in 10 CFR 50.46(b), there is a high level of probability that the criteria would not be exceeded.

The information obtained from Westinghouse, and discussed in IN 2011-21, indicates that the uncertainty assessments in the Westinghouse realistic ECCS evaluation models are in error, because they do not realistically model the effects of thermal conductivity degradation.

The currently reported peak cladding temperature associated with the Byron Station, Unit No. 2, and Braidwood Station, Unit No. 2, ASTRUM ECCS evaluations is 2041 °F, applicable to both units.

Based on the proximity of these peak cladding temperatures to the regulatory limit of 2200 °F, and in combination with the information obtained by the NRC to date, the NRC staff is currently unable to verify that there remains a high probability that the 2200 °F acceptance criterion would not be exceeded, consistent with the regulation at 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1)(i).

Therefore, further information is needed so that the Commission can verify that the Braidwood Station, Unit No. 2 and Byron Station, Unit No. 2 ECCS evaluations are consistent with the 10 CFR 50.46 analysis and reporting requirements.

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.54(f), this information is required to "verify licensee compliance with the current licensing basis," which includes the applicable requirements contained in 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1)(i). Specifically, the information sought will be used to ensure that, once corrected for TCD, the realistic ECCS evaluations demonstrate, with a high level of probability, that the 10 CFR 50.46(b)(1) acceptance criterion, concerning peak fuel cladding temperature, would not be exceeded.

Additionally, 10 CFR 50.46(a)(2), states that the Director, NRR, may impose restrictions on operation if ECCS evaluations submitted are inconsistent with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1)(i). The information sought by the Commission will enable it to determine whether your license should be modified as permitted by 10 CFR 50.46(a)(2).

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 161c, 161o, 182a, and 186 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.54(f), in order for the Commission to determine whether your license should be modified, suspended or revoked, you are required to provide information within 30 days of the date of this information request.

- 3 -

The Exelon response shall address the following specific issues:

- 1) An estimation of the effect of the thermal conductivity degradation error on the peak fuel cladding temperature calculation for the emergency core cooling system evaluations at Braidwood Station, Unit No. 2 and Byron Station, Unit No. 2.
- 2) A description of the methodology and assumptions used to determine the estimates. This description shall include consideration of experimental data relevant to thermal conductivity degradation and specific information regarding any computer code model changes which were necessary to address these data.

Exelon's response should provide sufficient detail to allow the staff to determine whether, consistent with 10 CFR 50.46(a)(1)(i), there remains a high level of probability that the acceptance criterion at 10 CFR 50.46(b)(1), concerning the peak fuel cladding temperature, would not be exceeded, when the model is corrected for TCD.

This request is covered by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) clearance number 3150-0011, which expires October 21, 2014. The estimated reporting burden for this collection of information is 72 hours. This estimate includes the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, performing necessary analyses, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments on any aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Services Branch (T5-F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet electronic mail to <a href="mailto:infocollects@nrc.gov">infocollects@nrc.gov</a>; and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202 (3150-0011). Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390, "public inspections, exemptions, and requests for withholding," a copy of this letter and your response will be made available for inspection and copying at the NRC Website at <a href="https://www.nrc.gov">www.nrc.gov</a>, and/or at the NRC Public Document Room. If you believe that any of the information to be submitted meets the criteria in 10 CFR 2.390 for withholding from public disclosure, you must include sufficient information, as required by the subsection, to support such a determination.

Please address the required written response to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852 under oath or affirmation under the provisions of Section 182a of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amendment and 10 CFR 50.54(f). In addition, please submit a copy of the response to the Director of NRR.

After reviewing your response, the NRC will determine whether further action is necessary in accordance with 10 CFR 50.46(a)(2) to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements.

If you have any questions on this matter, please contact Brenda Mozafari at 301-415-2020.

Sincerely,

Michele G. Evans, Director

Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

allen D. Howe for

Docket Nos. STN 50-455 and STN 50-457

cc: Listserv

- 4 -

After reviewing your response, the NRC will determine whether further action is necessary in accordance with 10 CFR 50.46(a)(2) to ensure compliance with regulatory requirements.

If you have any questions on this matter, please contact Brenda Mozafari at 301-415-2020.

Sincerely,

## /RA by A. Howe for/

Michele G. Evans, Director Division of Operating Reactor Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. STN 50-455 and STN 50-457

cc: Listserv

**DISTRIBUTION**:

**PUBLIC** A. Ulses, NRR J. Paige, NRR RisNrrDorlDpr RidsNrrLASRohrer RidsOgcRp

LPL3-2 R/F B. Parks, NRR

RidsNrrDorlLpl3-2

RidsRgn3MailCenter

RidsNrrPMByron

RidsNrrPMBraidwood

ADAMS Accession No.: ML120410134				*Via email	
OFFICE	NRR/LPL3-2/PM	NRR/LPL3-2/LA	OE .	OGC NLO	NRR/LPL3-2/BC
NAME	BMozafari	KGoldstein*	REul	MSpencer subject to edits	JZimmerman
DATE	02/10/12	02/15/12	02/15/12	02/14/12	2/16/12
OFFICE	NRR/DSS/D	NRR/DORL/D	NRR/D	NRR/DORL/D	
NAME	WRuland	MEvans	ELeeds	MEvans	
		(AHowe for)		AHowe for	
DATE	02/16/12	02/16/12	02/16/12	02/16/12	
		OFFICAL	PECOPO CORV		

OFFICAL RECORD COPY