From: Peter Tam

**To:** INTernet:leonardm@nimo.com, INTernet:wolniakd@nim...

**Date:** Tue, Dec 19, 2000 8:40 AM

Subject: Nine Mile Unit 1, Proposed Amendment Re. Hydrostatic Testing (TAC M0090)

Steve:

This is regarding your September 26, 2000, NMP-1 submittal. On page 4 of 8 of your analysis you state that potential for failed fuel is minimized since a large primary system break would quickly depressurize the reactor vessel allowing the core spray system to maintain core cooling. This sounds like a contradiction to TS 3.1.3, which states that the ECCS must be operable at greater than 212 degrees F except for hydrostatic testing with the reactor not critical.

Please call me to set up a conference call with our reviewer Richard Lobel. This e-mail does not formally request for additional information, nor does it state a formal NRC staff position. During the conference call we will discuss disposition (e.g., need for formal RAI, revised submittal) of the comment we made in the above paragraph.

Peter S. Tam
Project Directorate I-1
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
(Tel. 301-415-1451)

CC: Richard Lobel

**Mail Envelope Properties** 

(3A3F6569.501:10:20510)

Subject: Nine Mile Unit 1, Proposed Amendment Re. Hydrostatic Testing (TAC

M0090)

Creation Date: Tue, Dec 19, 2000 8:40 AM

From: Peter Tam

Created By: OWFN\_DO.OWF4\_PO:PST

RecipientsActionDate & TimePost Office INTernetTransferred12/19 8:41 AM

leonardm (INTernet:leonardm@nimo.com) wolniakd (INTernet:wolniakd@nimo.com)

Post Office OWFN\_DO.owf2\_po Delivered 12/19 8:41 AM

RML CC (Richard Lobel)

Domain.Post Office Delivered Route

INTernet:nimo.com

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 2094 Tuesday, December 19, 2000 8:40 AM

**Options** 

Auto Delete:NoExpiration Date:NoneNotify Recipients:YesPriority:Standard

Reply Requested: No

Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No

Security: Standard

To Be Delivered: Immediate

Status Tracking: Delivered & Opened