

May 23, 1997

Mr. J. E. Cross
President-Generation Group
Duquesne Light Company
Post Office Box 4
Shippingport, PA 15077

SUBJECT: BEAVER VALLEY POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M98141 AND M98142)

Dear Mr. Cross:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 203 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-66 and Amendment No. 84 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-73 for the Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated March 10, 1997, which submitted Proposed Operating License Change Request Nos. 238 and 114.

These amendments modify Unit No. 1 TS 5.2.1 to add ZIRLO as fuel assembly material and add reference to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission approved Topical Report WCAP-12610, "Vantage+ Fuel Assembly Reference Core Report," to TS 6.9.1.12 for both units.

A copy of our Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,
/s/

Donald S. Brinkman, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-334/412

- Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 203 to License No. DPR-66
2. Amendment No. 84 to License No. NPF-73
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
PUBLIC
PDI-2 Reading
SVarga
JStolz

MO'Brien
DBrinkman
OGC
GHill(4)
MChatterton

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

CGrimes
RJones
ACRS
PEselgroth, RGN-I

OFFICE	PDI-2/PM <i>DB</i>	PDI-2/AA <i>MB</i>	SRXB-BC <i>29 SEA</i>	OGC <i>CP</i>	PDI-2/D
NAME	DBrinkman: <i>cw</i>	MO'Brien	<i>JLYONS</i>		JStolz
DATE	4/24/97	4/24/97	4/24/97	5/13/97	5/22/97

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

DOCUMENT NAME: BV98141.AMD

9706020192 970523
PDR ADOCK 05000334
PDR



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

May 23, 1997

Mr. J. E. Cross
President-Generation Group
Duquesne Light Company
Post Office Box 4
Shippingport, PA 15077

SUBJECT: BEAVER VALLEY POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. M98141 AND M98142)

Dear Mr. Cross:

The Commission has issued the enclosed Amendment No. 203 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-66 and Amendment No. 84 to Facility Operating License No. NPF-73 for the Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2. These amendments consist of changes to the Technical Specifications (TSs) in response to your application dated March 10, 1997, which submitted Proposed Operating License Change Request Nos. 238 and 114.

These amendments modify Unit No. 1 TS 5.2.1 to add ZIRLO as fuel assembly material and add reference to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission approved Topical Report WCAP-12610, "Vantage+ Fuel Assembly Reference Core Report," to TS 6.9.1.12 for both units.

A copy of our Safety Evaluation is also enclosed. The Notice of Issuance will be included in the Commission's biweekly Federal Register notice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Donald S. Brinkman".

Donald S. Brinkman, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-334/412

Enclosures: 1. Amendment No. 203 to
License No. DPR-66
2. Amendment No. 84 to
License No. NPF-73
3. Safety Evaluation

cc w/encls: See next page

J. E. Cross
Duquesne Light Company

Beaver Valley Power Station
Units 1 & 2

cc:

Jay E. Silberg, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, NW.
Washington, DC 20037

Director-Safety and Licensing
Department (BV-A)
Duquesne Light Company
Beaver Valley Power Station
PO Box 4
Shippingport, PA 15077

Commissioner Roy M. Smith
West Virginia Department of Labor
Building 3, Room 319
Capitol Complex
Charleston, WVA 25305

Director, Utilities Department
Public Utilities Commission
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43266-0573

Director, Pennsylvania Emergency
Management Agency
Post Office Box 3321
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3321

Ohio EPA-DERR
ATTN: Zack A. Clayton
Post Office Box 1049
Columbus, OH 43266-0149

Dr. Judith Johnsrud
National Energy Committee
Sierra Club
433 Orlando Avenue
State College, PA 16803

Duquesne Light Company
Beaver Valley Power Station
PO Box 4
Shippingport, PA 15077
ATTN: R. L. Grand, Division Vice
President, Nuclear Operations Group
and Plant Manager (BV-SOSB-7)

Bureau of Radiation Protection
Pennsylvania Department of
Environmental Resources
ATTN: Michael P. Murphy
Post Office Box 2063
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Mayor of the Borough of
Shippingport
Post Office Box 3
Shippingport, PA 15077

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Post Office Box 298
Shippingport, PA 15077

Duquesne Light Company
Beaver Valley Power Station
PO Box 4
Shippingport, PA 15077
ATTN: S. C. Jain, Vice President
Nuclear Services (BV-A)



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY

OHIO EDISON COMPANY

PENNSYLVANIA POWER COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-334

BEAVER VALLEY POWER STATION, UNIT NO. 1

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No. 203
License No. DPR-66

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duquesne Light Company, et al. (the licensee) dated March 10, 1997, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

9706020200 970523
PDR ADOCK 05000334
P PDR

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. DPR-66 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No.203, are hereby incorporated in the license. The licensee shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance, to be implemented within 60 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz for

John F. Stolz, Director
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 23, 1997

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO.203

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-66

DOCKET NO. 50-334

Replace the following pages of Appendix A, Technical Specifications, with the enclosed pages as indicated. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Remove

5-1

6-20

6-21

Insert

5-1

6-20

6-21

5.0 DESIGN FEATURES

5.1 SITE LOCATION

The Beaver Valley Power Station Unit No. 1 is located in Shippingport Borough, Beaver County, Pennsylvania, on the south bank of the Ohio River. The site is approximately 1 mile southeast of Midland, Pennsylvania, 5 miles east of East Liverpool, Ohio, and approximately 25 miles northwest of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. The exclusion area boundary has a minimum radius of 2000 feet from the center of containment.

5.2 REACTOR CORE5.2.1 FUEL ASSEMBLIES

The reactor shall contain 157 fuel assemblies. Each assembly shall consist of a matrix of Zircaloy or ZIRLO fuel rods with an initial composition of natural or slightly enriched uranium dioxide (UO_2) as fuel material. Limited substitutions of zirconium alloy or stainless steel filler rods for fuel rods, in accordance with approved applications of fuel rod configurations, may be used. Fuel assemblies shall be limited to those fuel designs that have been analyzed with applicable NRC staff approved codes and methods and shown by tests or analyses to comply with all fuel safety design bases. A limited number of lead test assemblies that have not completed representative testing may be placed in nonlimiting core regions.

5.2.2 CONTROL ROD ASSEMBLIES

The reactor core shall contain 48 full length and no part length control rod assemblies. The full length control rod assemblies shall contain a nominal 142 inches of absorber material. The nominal values of absorber material shall be 80 percent silver, 15 percent indium and 5 percent cadmium. All control rods shall be clad with stainless steel tubing.

5.3 FUEL STORAGE5.3.1 CRITICALITY

5.3.1.1 The spent fuel storage racks are designed and shall be maintained with:

- a. Fuel assemblies having a maximum U-235 enrichment as set forth in Specification 3.9.14;
- b. $K_{eff} \leq 0.95$ if fully flooded with unborated water, which includes an allowance for uncertainties as described in UFSAR Section 9.12;

CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (Continued)

4. T. M. Anderson to K. Kniel (Chief of Core Performance Branch, NRC) January 31, 1980 -- Attachment: Operation and Safety Analysis Aspects of an Improved Load Follow Package. Methodology applied for the following Specification: 3.2.1, Axial Flux Difference-Constant Axial Offset Control
5. NUREG-0800, Standard Review Plan, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Section 4.3, Nuclear Design, July 1981. Branch Technical Position CPB 4.3-1, Westinghouse Constant Axial Offset Control (CAOC), Rev. 2, July 1981. Methodology applied for the following Specification: 3.2.1, Axial Flux Difference-Constant Axial Offset Control
6. WCAP-12610-P-A, "VANTAGE+ Fuel Assembly Reference Core Report," April 1995 (Westinghouse Proprietary). Methodology applied for the following Specification: 3.2.2, Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor - $F_Q(Z)$

The core operating limits shall be determined so that all applicable limits (e.g., fuel thermal-mechanical limits, core thermal-hydraulic limits, ECCS limits, nuclear limits such as shutdown margin, and transient and accident analysis limits) of the safety analysis are met. The CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT, including any mid-cycle revisions or supplements thereto, shall be provided on issuance, for each reload cycle, to the NRC Document Control Desk.

SPECIAL REPORTS

6.9.2 Special reports shall be submitted to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Document Control Desk, within the time period specified for each report. These reports shall be submitted covering the activities identified below pursuant to the requirements of the applicable reference specification:

- a. ECCS Actuation, Specifications 3.5.2 and 3.5.3.
- b. Inoperable Seismic Monitoring Instrumentation, Specification 3.3.3.3.
- c. Inoperable Meteorological Monitoring Instrumentation, Specification 3.3.3.4.
- d. Seismic event analysis, Specification 4.3.3.3.2.
- e. Sealed source leakage in excess of limits, Specification 4.7.9.1.3.

SPECIAL REPORTS (Continued)

- f. Miscellaneous reporting requirements specified in the Action Statements for Appendix C of the ODCM.
- g. DELETED
- h. Steam Generator Tube Inservice Inspection Results Report, Specification 4.4.5.5.
- i. Liquid Hold Up Tanks, Specification 3.11.1.4.
- j. Gas Storage Tanks, Specification 3.11.2.5.
- k. Explosive Gas Monitoring Instrumentation, Specification 3.3.3.11.

6.10 RECORD RETENTION

6.10.1 The following records shall be retained for at least five (5) years:

- a. Records and logs of facility operation covering time interval at each power level.
- b. Records and logs of principal maintenance activities, inspections, repair and replacement of principal items of equipment related to nuclear safety.
- c. All Reportable Events.
- d. Records of surveillance activities, inspections and calibrations required by these Technical Specifications.
- e. Records of reactor tests and experiments.
- f. Records of changes made to Operating Procedures.
- g. Records of radioactive shipments.
- h. Records of sealed source leak tests and results.
- i. Records of annual physical inventory of all sealed source material of record.

6.10.2 The following records shall be retained for the duration of the Facility Operating License:

- a. Records and drawing changes reflecting facility design modifications made to systems and equipment described in the Final Safety Analysis Report.



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY

OHIO EDISON COMPANY

THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY

THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY

DOCKET NO. 50-412

BEAVER VALLEY POWER STATION, UNIT 2

AMENDMENT TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE

Amendment No.84
License No. NPF-73

1. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has found that:
 - A. The application for amendment by Duquesne Light Company, et al. (the licensee) dated March 10, 1997, complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations set forth in 10 CFR Chapter I;
 - B. The facility will operate in conformity with the application, the provisions of the Act, and the rules and regulations of the Commission;
 - C. There is reasonable assurance (i) that the activities authorized by this amendment can be conducted without endangering the health and safety of the public, and (ii) that such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations;
 - D. The issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public; and
 - E. The issuance of this amendment is in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51 of the Commission's regulations and all applicable requirements have been satisfied.

2. Accordingly, the license is amended by changes to the Technical Specifications as indicated in the attachment to this license amendment, and paragraph 2.C.(2) of Facility Operating License No. NPF-73 is hereby amended to read as follows:

(2) Technical Specifications

The Technical Specifications contained in Appendix A, as revised through Amendment No. 84, and the Environmental Protection Plan contained in Appendix B, both of which are attached hereto are hereby incorporated in the license. DLCO shall operate the facility in accordance with the Technical Specifications and the Environmental Protection Plan.

3. This license amendment is effective as of the date of its issuance, to be implemented within 60 days.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

John F. Stolz for

John F. Stolz, Director
Project Directorate I-2
Division of Reactor Projects - I/II
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Attachment: Changes to the Technical
Specifications

Date of Issuance: May 23, 1997

ATTACHMENT TO LICENSE AMENDMENT NO.84

FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. NPF-73

DOCKET NO. 50-412

Replace the following pages of Appendix A, Technical Specifications, with the enclosed pages as indicated. The revised pages are identified by amendment number and contain vertical lines indicating the areas of change.

Remove

6-20

6-21

Insert

6-20

6-21

CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (Continued)

2. WCAP-10266-P-A Rev. 2 / WCAP-11524-NP-A Rev. 2, "The 1981 Version of the Westinghouse ECCS Evaluation Model Using the BASH Code," Kabadi, J. N., et al., March 1987; including Addendum 1-A "Power Shape Sensitivity Studies" 12/87 and Addendum 2-A "BASH Methodology Improvements and Reliability Enhancements" 5/88. Methodology applied for the following Specification: 3.2.2, Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor- $F_Q(Z)$.
3. WCAP-8385, "POWER DISTRIBUTION CONTROL AND LOAD FOLLOWING PROCEDURES - TOPICAL REPORT," September 1974 (Westinghouse Proprietary). Methodology applied for the following Specification; 3.2.1, Axial Flux Difference-Constant Axial Offset Control.
4. T. M. Anderson to K. Kniel (Chief of Core Performance Branch, NRC) January 31, 1980 -- Attachment: Operation and Safety Analysis Aspects of an Improved Load Follow Package. Methodology applied for the following Specification: 3.2.1, Axial Flux Difference-Constant Axial Offset Control
5. NUREG-0800, Standard Review Plan, U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Section 4.3, Nuclear Design, July 1981. Branch Technical Position CPB 4.3-1, Westinghouse Constant Axial Offset Control (CAOC), Rev. 2, July 1981. Methodology applied for the following Specification: 3.2.1, Axial Flux Difference-Constant Axial Offset Control
6. WCAP-12610-P-A, "VANTAGE+ Fuel Assembly Reference Core Report," April 1995 (Westinghouse Proprietary). Methodology applied for the following Specification: 3.2.2, Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor - $F_Q(Z)$

The core operating limits shall be determined so that all applicable limits (e.g., fuel thermal-mechanical limits, core thermal-hydraulic limits, ECCS limits, nuclear limits such as shutdown margin, and transient and accident analysis limits) of the safety analysis are met. The CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT, including any mid-cycle revisions or supplements thereto, shall be provided upon issuance, for each reload cycle, to the NRC Document Control Desk.

SPECIAL REPORTS

6.9.2 Special reports shall be submitted to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Document Control Desk within the time period specified for each report. These reports shall be submitted covering the activities identified below pursuant to the requirements of the applicable reference specification:

- a. ECCS Actuation, Specifications 3.5.2 and 3.5.3.

SPECIAL REPORTS (Continued)

- b. Inoperable Seismic Monitoring Instrumentation, Specification 3.3.3.3.
- c. Inoperable Meteorological Monitoring Instrumentation, Specification 3.3.3.4.
- d. Seismic event analysis, Specification 4.3.3.3.2.
- e. Sealed source leakage in excess of limits, Specification 4.7.9.1.3.
- f. Miscellaneous reporting requirements specified in the ACTION Statements for Appendix C of the ODCM.
- g. DELETED
- h. Steam generator tube inservice inspection, Specification 4.4.5.5.
- i. Inoperable accident monitoring, Specification 3.3.3.8.
- j. Liquid Hold-Up Tanks, Specification 3.11.1.4.
- k. Gas Storage Tanks, Specification 3.11.2.5.
- l. Explosive Gas Monitoring Instrumentation, Specification 3.3.3.11.

6.10 RECORD RETENTION

6.10.1 The following records shall be retained for at least five (5) years;

- a. Records and logs of facility operation covering time interval at each power level.
- b. Records and logs of principal maintenance activities, inspections, repair and replacement of principal items of equipment related to nuclear safety.
- c. All REPORTABLE EVENTS.
- d. Records of surveillance activities, inspections and calibrations required by these Technical Specifications.
- e. Records of reactor tests and experiments.



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NOS. 203 AND 84 TO FACILITY OPERATING
LICENSE NOS. DPR-66 AND NPF-73
DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY
OHIO EDISON COMPANY
PENNSYLVANIA POWER COMPANY
THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY
THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY
BEAVER VALLEY POWER STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2
DOCKET NOS. 50-334 AND 50-412

1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated March 10, 1997, the Duquesne Light Company (the licensee) submitted a request for changes to the Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (BVPS-1 and BVPS-2), Technical Specifications (TSs). The requested changes would modify BVPS-1 TS 5.2.1 to add ZIRLO as fuel assembly material and add reference to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approved Topical Report WCAP-12610, "Vantage+ Fuel Assembly Reference Core Report," to TS 6.9.1.12 for both units.

2.0 EVALUATION

BVPS-1 TS 5.2.1 requires fuel rods to be constructed with Zircaloy. Zircaloy or stainless steel filler rods may be substituted in place of fuel rods in accordance with approved applications of fuel rod configurations. The proposed amendment would modify BVPS-1 TS 5.2.1 to also allow fuel rods to be constructed with ZIRLO and allow fuel assembly reconstitution with ZIRLO filler rods.

The NRC staff approved the ZIRLO fuel design in a safety evaluation dated July 1, 1991, to the Westinghouse Topical Report WCAP-12610 "VANTAGE+ Fuel Assembly Reference Core Report." The NRC staff also approved LOCA methodologies in another safety evaluation, dated October 9, 1991, to Westinghouse Topical Reports WCAP-12610, Appendices F, "LOCA NOTRUMP Evaluation Model: ZIRLO Modifications," and G, "LOCA Plant Specific Accident Evaluation." The July 1, 1991, safety evaluation concluded that:

1. The mechanical design bases and limits for the ZIRLO clad fuel assembly design are the same as those for the previously licensed Zircaloy-4 clad fuel assembly design, except those for clad corrosion.
2. The neutronic evaluations have shown that ZIRLO clad fuel nuclear design bases are satisfied and that key safety parameter limits are applicable. The nuclear design models and methods accurately describe the behavior of ZIRLO clad fuel.
3. The thermal and hydraulic design basis for the ZIRLO clad fuel is unchanged.
4. The methods and computer codes used in the analysis of the non-loss-of-coolant-accident licensing basis events are valid for ZIRLO clad fuel, and all licensing basis criteria will be met.

In the October 9, 1991, safety evaluation for WCAP-12610, Appendices F (dealing with large-break-loss-of-coolant-accidents) and G (dealing with small-break-loss-of-coolant-accidents), the NRC staff concluded that the loss-of-coolant-accident analyses and methods used, the same methods applied to BVPS-1, demonstrated conformance with the criteria given in 10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K. That safety evaluation stated that its conclusions were based upon the close similarity between the material properties of the ZIRLO alloy of zirconium to those of other zirconium materials that have been previously licensed for use as cladding material. Based on this similarity, the NRC staff found that it is appropriately conservative to apply the criteria of 10 CFR 50.46 and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix K, when reviewing VANTAGE+ (ZIRLO) fuel applications, including WCAP-12610, Appendices F and G. Thus, the NRC staff concludes that the use of ZIRLO fuel up to 60,000 MWD/MTU peak rod average is acceptable for BVPS-1.

The licensee has proposed to add "ZIRLO" in the fuel rod design in TS 5.2.1 in the following sentence:

... Each assembly shall consist of a matrix of Zircaloy or ZIRLO fuel rods...

Based on the above NRC staff evaluation, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed change to add ZIRLO to BVPS-1 TS 5.2.1 is acceptable.

The licensee also proposed to add reference to NRC approved Topical Report, WCAP-12610, "Vantage+ Fuel Assembly Reference Core Report," to TS 6.9.1.12 for both BVPS-1 and BVPS-2. As noted above, the NRC staff approved the analysis methods of WCAP-12610 in a safety evaluation dated October 9, 1991. Therefore, referencing this Topical Report in TS 6.9.1.12 is acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Commission's regulations, the Pennsylvania State official was notified of the proposed issuance of the amendments. The State official had no comments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

The amendments change a requirement with respect to installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (62 FR 17231). The amendments also relate to changes in recordkeeping, reporting, or administrative procedures or requirements. Accordingly, the amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9) and (10). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Donald S. Brinkman

Date: May 23, 1997