

NMSS
Comments
5/22

[7590-01-P]

5/22/06 Final Fee Rule

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
10 CFR Parts 170 and 171
RIN: 3150-AG50

Revision of Fee Schedules; 100% Fee Recovery, FY 2000

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is amending the licensing, inspection, and annual fees charged to its applicants and licensees. The amendments are necessary to implement the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 (OBRA-90), as amended, which mandates that the NRC recover approximately 100 percent of its budget authority in Fiscal Year (FY) 2000, less amounts appropriated from the Nuclear Waste Fund (NWF). The amount to be recovered for FY 2000 is approximately \$447.0 million.

EFFECTIVE DATE: (Insert 60 days after publication in the Federal Register).

ADDRESSES: Copies of comments received and the agency work papers that support these final changes to 10 CFR Parts 170 and 171 may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555. Comments received may also be viewed via the NRC's interactive rulemaking website <http://ruleforum.llnl.gov>). This site provides the ability to upload comments as files (any format), if your web browser supports that function. For

From: Michael Layton
To: Claudia Seelig
Date: Mon, May 22, 2000 9:28 AM
Subject: Re: DRAFT FEE RULE

Claudia,

Tom and I met this morning and I left the copy of UR comments on your chair. Overall, we do not have any substantive comments (typos and such).

One correction that should be made is change "uranium mining" to "uranium milling" in the response on page 15. We do not have the authority to regulate "mining." If the commeter is referring to "in situ mining," we regulate that as a milling operation under the AEA, and reference it as "in situ uranium extraction" in the regulations.

MCL

>>> Thomas Essig 05/19 12:28 PM >>>
Claudia,

Yes, OCFO delivered a copy this morning. I asked the person delivering the package if she knew anything about it, such as when a review was needed, etc. She said no. It's a good thing you sent me an e-mail, otherwise I may have assumed that this was a package to read when I could get to it, as opposed to one of those drop-what-you-are-doing-and-review-this type of effort. We will, of course, move this to the top of the pile. I just have to note that it appears that poor planning on OCFO's part has created an emergency on my (and your) part --- I'm not real happy about that. We were aware that OCFO was working on a paper and that UR licensees' concerns and their resolution would occupy a prominent place in the package, but not that it would be dropped on us with such a short fuse. Enough of my carping.

I've given the package to Mike Layton for review and have empowered him to represent URLL in this regard. I will only be in the office for a short while on Monday and then will be attending a meeting away from the office for the rest of the day.

Tom Essig

>>> Claudia Seelig 05/19/2000 11:28 >>>
I understand OCFO delivered a copy to you this a.m. of what they will want concurrence on Monday. I have a copy also that I plan to look through - but I understand from Carlson's advance email that all the issues are NMA or UR related.

Let me know if you recommend that I have Marty concur on OCFO's package on Monday (or what changes/comments you have). I will complete my review either today or early Monday and will have to sit down with Marty Monday p.m. and bring him up to speed on any input you have or anything I indentify (I will share any changes I have with you - but I likely won't have any).

CC: Thomas Essig

From: Claudia Seelig
To: Glenda Jackson
Date: Mon, May 22, 2000 10:47 AM
Subject: Fwd: Re: DRAFT FEE RULE

please see attached regarding mining vs. milling - there are 2 places the term "uranium mining" is used on page 15, 2nd response paragraph - Michael Layton says we have no authority to regulate mining.

Distribution:

OCFO R/F

OCFO S/F

EDO R/F

MLesar, ADM

OCFO/DAF SF (LF-1.22)

DOCUMENT NAME: g:daf\lfarb\2000frule2.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	OCFO		OCFO:DAF		ADM		OGC		NMSS
NAME	GJackson, DDandois		JTurdici		MSpringer		TBRothschild		WKane
DATE	/ /00		/ /00		/ /00		/ /00		5/22/00

OFFICE	NRR		OIP		OE		EDO		DCFO		CFO	
NAME	SCollins		JLee		RBorchardt		WTravers		PRabideau		JLFunches	
DATE	/ /00		/ /00		/ /00		/ /00		/ /00		/ /00	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY