

From: "Jim Wood" <jrmiajim@arkwest.com>
To: OWFN_DO.owf4_po(ANOEIS)
Date: Sun, Apr 30, 2000 1:34 PM
Subject: Fw: Ark. Nuclear One EIS Scoping of Issues

65 FR 13061
March 10, 2000
(2)

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Wood <jrmiajim@arkwest.com>
To: anoeis@nre.gov <anoeis@nre.gov>
Date: Sunday, April 30, 2000 12:16 PM
Subject: Ark. Nuclear One EIS Scoping of Issues

From: Jim Wood
Route 3 Box 1278
Dardanelle, AR 72834

April 30, 2000

To: Chief
Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Mail Stop t-6-D-59
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC

Ref: NRC Scoping of Issues to be analyzed in licensing EIS for Ark. Nuclear One, Unit 1.

In addition to previous comments regarding the above NRC solicitation of public comments for producing an EIS on licensing of ANO, Unit One, I would like to also include the following comments.

Current Situation: The off-site nuclear emergency preparedness program for ANO includes a 10 mile Emergency Preparedness Zone, parts of 3 Counties and several city governments. Capability standards for protecting the health and safety of people within this Zone functions in a very diverse Human Environment with each county area producing different capabilities. The EPZ program was made a licensing requirement through federal law following the Three Mile Island accident and NRC developed implementing regulations. NRC has oversight responsibility for this EPZ program, and thus based upon NEPA's Site-Specific EIS guidance, is clearly a part of the sphere of influence the proposed ANO licensing action exerts upon the specific Human Environment and must be included in the EIS as an Issue for full Analysis. When the off-site public safety requirements were developed by NRC leading to on the ground Radiological Emergency Response Plan's in July 1987 for each of the 3 counties that make up ANO's 10 mile EPZ, no NEPA Process environmental documentation as required by the National Environmental Policy Act and 40 CFR 1500-1508 was undertaken. Neither an Environmental Impact Statement nor Environmental Assessment was developed on ANO's off-site EPZ public safety program, which appears to be a violation of NEPA.

Therefore, to comply with environmental documentation requirements of NEPA for proposed federal ANO licensing actions, I request that NRC identify and include analysis of the site-specific Human Environment encompassed within the 10 mile EPZ and compare your findings with public safety capability licensing requirements, and treat the matter as an Issue for full analysis in your EIS documentation.

Submitted by,
Jim Wood

TELETYPE: ADM-013

E-RIDS: ADM 03
Add Tom Kanyon (TJK2)