

*File Center*



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 22, 1999

Mr. J. V. Parrish  
Chief Executive Officer  
Energy Northwest  
P.O. Box 968 (Mail Drop 1023)  
Richland, WA 99352-0968

SUBJECT: COMPLETION OF LICENSING ACTION FOR GENERIC LETTER 98-04,  
"POTENTIAL FOR DEGRADATION OF THE EMERGENCY CORE COOLING  
SYSTEM AND THE CONTAINMENT SPRAY SYSTEM AFTER A LOSS-OF-  
COOLANT ACCIDENT BECAUSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND PROTECTIVE  
COATING DEFICIENCIES AND FOREIGN MATERIAL IN CONTAINMENT,"  
WNP-2 (TAC NO. MA4118)

Dear Mr. Parrish:

On July 14, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. The NRC issued GL 98-04 to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the NRC staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii).
  - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components (SSCs) during a postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident (DB LOCA), the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:
    - (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.

**NRC FILE GENERIC LETTER**

*DF01*

*PDR ADOCK 05000397*

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
- (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(b)(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for WNP-2. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. The staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for WNP-2. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at 301-415-1424.

Sincerely,

<sup>/s/</sup>  
 Jack Cushing, Project Manager, Section 2  
 Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning  
 Division of Licensing Project Management  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-397

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

File Center	CLauron
PUBLIC	JDavis
PDIV-2 Reading	OGC
SRichards	ACRS
RPulsifer	LSmith, Region IV

To receive a copy of this document, indicate "C" in the box							
OFFICE	PDIV-2/PM	C	PDIV-2/LA	C	SC:EMCB	C	PDIV-2/SC
NAME	JCushing:am		EPeyton		ESullivan		SDembek
DATE	12/22/99		12/22/99		12/22/99		12/22/99

DOCUMENT NAME: G:/PDIV-2/WNP-2/MAP4118

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY


- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(b)(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for WNP-2. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. The staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for WNP-2. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at 301-415-1424.

Sincerely,



Jack Cushing, Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-397

cc: See next page

Nuclear Project No. 2

cc:

Mr. Greg O. Smith (Mail Drop 927M)  
Vice President, Generation  
Energy Northwest  
P. O. Box 968  
Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Mr. Albert E. Mouncer (Mail Drop 1396)  
Chief Counsel  
Energy Northwest  
P.O. Box 968  
Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Ms. Deborah J. Ross, Chairman  
Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council  
P. O. Box 43172  
Olympia, Washington 98504-3172

Mr. D. W. Coleman (Mail Drop PE20)  
Manager, Regulatory Affairs Manager  
Energy Northwest  
P.O. Box 968  
Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Mr. Paul Inserra (Mail Drop PE20)  
Manager, Licensing  
Energy Northwest  
P.O. Box 968  
Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Regional Administrator, Region IV  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Harris Tower & Pavilion  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400  
Arlington, Texas 76011-8064

Chairman  
Benton County Board of Commissioners  
P.O. Box 69  
Prosser, Washington 99350-0190

Senior Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P.O. Box 69  
Richland, Washington 99352-0069

Mr. Rodney L. Webring (Mail Drop PE08)  
Vice President, Operations Support/PIO  
Energy Northwest  
P. O. Box 968  
Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Thomas C. Poindexter, Esq.  
Winston & Strawn  
1400 L Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005-3502

Mr. Bob Nichols  
Executive Policy Division  
Office of the Governor  
P.O. Box 43113  
Olympia, Washington 98504-3113