



*File Center*

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 22, 1999

Mr. Gregg R. Overbeck  
Senior Vice President, Nuclear  
Arizona Public Service Company  
P. O. Box 52034  
Phoenix, AZ 85072-2034

SUBJECT: CLOSEOUT OF GENERIC LETTER 98-04 FOR THE PALO VERDE NUCLEAR  
GENERATING STATION, UNITS 1, 2, AND 3 (TAC NOS. MA4079, MA4080  
AND MA4081)

Dear Mr. Overbeck:

On July 14, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. The NRC issued GL 98-04 to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the NRC staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements, and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii).

**NRC FILE CENTER COPY**

  - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components during a postulated design-basis loss-of-coolant accident, the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:
    - (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.

*DFOI*

*PDZ A000 05000528*

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
- (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(b)(5), "Long-term cooling," and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 10, 1998, for the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarifying information was provided to the staff during a phone call held on November 2, 1999. The staff has reviewed your November 10 response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for Palo Verde. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

Sincerely,

/s/

Mel B. Fields, Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-528, 50-529, and 50-530

cc: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION:**

File Center	LSmith, Region IV	RidsOgcRp	JDavis
PUBLIC	SRichards	ACRS	
PDIV-2 Reading	RPulsifer	CLauron	*See Previous Concurrence

To receive a copy of this document, indicate "C" in the box							
OFFICE	PDIV-2/PM	C	PDIV-2/LA	C	EMCB		PDIV-2/SC
NAME	MFields:am		CJamerson		TSullivan*		SDembek
DATE	12/22/99		12/22/99		12/15/99		12/22/99


DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDIV-2\PaloVerde\Ltra4079.wpd  
OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(b)(5), "Long-term cooling," and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 10, 1998, for the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarifying information was provided to the staff during a phone call held on November 2, 1999. The staff has reviewed your November 10 response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for Palo Verde. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

Sincerely,



Mel B. Fields, Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate IV & Decommissioning  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-528, 50-529, and 50-530

cc: See next page

Palo Verde Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3

cc:

Mr. Steve Olea  
Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 W. Washington Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Douglas Kent Porter  
Senior Counsel  
Southern California Edison Company  
Law Department, Generation Resources  
P.O. Box 800  
Rosemead, CA 91770

Senior Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P. O. Box 40  
Buckeye, AZ 85326

Regional Administrator, Region IV  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Harris Tower & Pavillion  
611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400  
Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Chairman  
Maricopa County Board of Supervisors  
301 W. Jefferson, 10th Floor  
Phoenix, AZ 85003

Mr. Aubrey V. Godwin, Director  
Arizona Radiation Regulatory Agency  
4814 South 40 Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85040

Ms. Angela K. Krainik, Director  
Regulatory Affairs  
Arizona Public Service Company  
P.O. Box 52034  
Phoenix, AZ 85072-2034

Mr. John C. Horne  
Vice President, Power Generation  
El Paso Electric Company  
2702 N. Third Street, Suite 3040  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

Mr. David Summers  
Public Service Company of New Mexico  
414 Silver SW, #1206  
Albuquerque, NM 87102

Mr. Jarlath Curran  
Southern California Edison Company  
5000 Pacific Coast Hwy Bldg DIN  
San Clemente, CA 92672

Mr. Robert Henry  
Salt River Project  
6504 East Thomas Road  
Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Terry Bassham, Esq.  
General Counsel  
El Paso Electric Company  
123 W. Mills  
El Paso, TX 79901

Mr. John Schumann  
Los Angeles Department of Water & Power  
Southern California Public Power Authority  
P.O. Box 51111, Room 1255-C  
Los Angeles, CA 90051-0100

August 18, 1999