



Duke Energy Corporation
526 South Church Street
P.O. Box 1006
Charlotte, NC 28201-1006

December 14, 1999

U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attention: Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: Duke Energy Corporation
Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1, and 2
Docket Numbers 50-413 and 50-414
Monthly Performance and Operation Status-November, 1999

Please find attached information concerning the performance and operation status of the Catawba Nuclear Station for the month of November, 1999.

Any questions or comments may be directed to Roger A. Williams at (704) 382-5346.

Sincerely,

Terry Dimmery, Manager
Nuclear Business Support

Attachment
XC:

L. A. Reyes, Regional Administrator
USNRC, Region II

Peter Tam, Project Manager
USNRC, ONRR

INPO Records Center

Ms. Margaret Aucoin
Nuclear Assurance Corporation

Dottie Sherman, ANI Library
American Nuclear Insurers

Darrell Roberts, Senior Resident Inspector

JE24

POL ADDON 05000413

Document Control Desk
U.S. NRC - Catawba

bx:

K. S. Canady (EC08H)
T. E. Mooney (EC090)
B. J. Horsley (PB01C)
T. E. Hunter (ON0102)
C. N. Green (MG01OP)
Jeanette Meares (CN02OP)
L. A. Keller (EC050)
D. R. Groux (ON01VP)
D. M. Patton (EC07C)
M. J. Brown (PB02L)
L. R. Kimray (EC05P)
G. R. Peterson (CN01VP)
Pete Herran (CN01EG)
E. G. LaCasse (CN01BM)
Gary Gilbert (CN01RC)
Ron Jones (CN01SM)
S. F. Hatley (CN03MC)
M. K. Nazar (ON01VP)
K. E. Nicholson (CN01RC)
RGC Site Licensing File
ELL (EC050)

Operating Data Report

Docket No. 50-413
 Date December 14, 1999
 Completed By Roger Williams
 Telephone 704-382-5346

Operating Status

- 1. Unit Name: Catawba 1
- 2. Reporting Period: November 1, 1999 - November 30, 1999
- 3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 3411
- 4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 1305 *
- 5. Design Electrical Rating (Net Mwe): 1145
- 6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 1192
- 7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 1129
- 8. If Changes Occured in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3-7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons:

Notes: *Nameplate Rating (GrossMWe) calculated as 1450.000 MVA * .90 power factor per Page iii, NUREG-0020.

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe): _____

10. Reason for Restrictions, If any: _____

	This Month	YTD	Cumulative
11. Hours in Reporting Period	720.0	8016.0	126433.0
12. Number of Hours Reactor was Critical	720.0	7262.1	101472.7
13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	0.0
14. Hours Generator On-Line	720.0	7244.3	100033.1
15. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours	0.0	0.0	0.0
16. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH)	2333330	126545782	430803986
17. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	837515	8662084	116397221
18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH)	794204	8206052	109648419
19. Unit Service Factor	100.0	90.4	79.1
20. Unit Availability Factor	100.0	90.4	79.1
21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net)	97.7	90.7	76.6
22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net)	96.3	89.4	75.7
23. Unit Forced Outage Rate	0.0	0.0	6.8
24. Shutdown Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date and Duration of Each)			

25. If ShutDown At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup

26. Units in Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation)

	Forecast	Achieved
Initial Criticality	_____	_____
Initial Electricity	_____	_____
Commercial Operation	_____	_____

UNIT SHUTDOWNS

DOCKET NO. 50-413
UNIT NAME: Catawba 1
DATE: December 14, 1999
COMPLETED BY: Roger Williams
TELEPHONE: 704-382-5346

REPORT MONTH: November, 1999

No.	Date:	Type F - Forced S - Scheduled	Duration Hours	(1) Reason	(2) Method of Shutdown R/X	Licensed Event Report No.	Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
			No	Outages	for the Month		

Summary:

(1) Reason

- A - Equipment failure (Explain)
- B - Maintenance or Test
- C - Refueling
- D - Regulatory restriction
- E - Operator Training/License Examination
- F - Administrative
- G - Operator Error (Explain)
- H - Other (Explain)

(2) Method

- 1 - Manual
- 2 - Manual Trip/Scram
- 3 - Automatic Trip/Scram
- 4 - Continuation
- 5 - Other (Explain)

MONTHLY REFUELING INFORMATION REQUEST

1. Facility name: Catawba Unit 1
2. Scheduled next refueling shutdown: October 2000
3. Scheduled restart following refueling: November 2000

THE PROJECT MANAGER HAS BEEN ADVISED BY SEPARATE COMMUNICATION OF ANY T.S. CHANGE OR LICENSE AMENDMENT. THEREFORE, QUESTIONS 4 THROUGH 6 WILL NO LONGER BE MAINTAINED IN THIS REPORT.

4. Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment?

If yes, what will these be?

If no, has reload design and core configuration been reviewed by Safety Review Committee regarding unreviewed safety questions?

5. Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information.
6. Important licensing considerations (new or different design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in design or new operating procedures).
7. Number of Fuel assemblies (a) in the core: 193
(b) in the spent fuel pool: 784
8. Present licensed fuel pool capacity: 1418
Size of requested or planned increase: ---
9. Projected date of last refueling which can be accommodated by present license capacity:
November 2009

DUKE POWER COMPANY

DATE: December 14, 1999

Name of Contact: R. A. Williams

Phone: (704) - 382-5346

UNIT SHUTDOWNS

DOCKET NO. 50-414

UNIT NAME: Catawba 2

DATE: December 14, 1999

COMPLETED BY: Roger Williams

TELEPHONE: 704-382-5346

REPORT MONTH: November, 1999

No.	Date:	Type F - Forced S - Scheduled	Duration Hours	(1) Reason	(2) Method of Shutdown R/X	Licensed Event Report No.	Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
			No	Outages	for the Month		

Summary:

(1) Reason

- A - Equipment failure (Explain)
- B - Maintenance or Test
- C - Refueling
- D - Regulatory restriction

- E - Operator Training/License Examination
- F - Administrative
- G - Operator Error (Explain)
- H - Other (Explain)

(2) Method

- 1 - Manual
- 2 - Manual Trip/Scram
- 3 - Automatic Trip/Scram
- 4 - Continuation
- 5 - Other (Explain)

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION

MONTHLY OPERATING STATUS REPORT

OCTOBER 1999

1. Personnel Exposure -

The total station liquid release for OCTOBER has been compared with the Technical Specifications maximum annual dose commitment and was less than 10 percent of this limit.

The total station gaseous release for OCTOBER has been compared with the Technical Specifications maximum annual dose commitment and was less than 10 percent of this limit.