



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 203 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-31
AND AMENDMENT NO. 197 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-41
FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
TURKEY POINT UNIT NOS. 3 AND 4
DOCKET NOS. 50-250 AND 50-251

1.0 Introduction

By letter dated April 26, 1999, Florida Power and Light Company (FPL or the licensee) proposed amendments to revise the Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 Facility Operating Licenses (OL) and the Technical Specifications (TS). FPL requested in its proposed amendments to (a) remove part of license condition 3.L that is obsolete; (b) update the TS Index to remove inconsistencies; (c) correct TS Figure number 3.1.2.5; (d) correct TS 3/4.1.2.5 to remove inconsistencies; (e) correct TS Table 4.7-1 numbers; and (f) correct TS 3/4.7.6 to remove inconsistencies. The proposed changes represent an administrative update to the Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 Facility Operating Licenses and to the TS.

2.0 Evaluation

Each of the proposed changes is stated and discussed below in detail.

(a) Remove part of License Condition 3.L for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 Facility Operating Licenses

FPL proposed to remove part of License Condition 3.L of the Operating Licenses for Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 regarding the Security Plan, Guard Training and Qualification Plan, and Safeguards Contingency Plan.

The part that FPL proposed to remove reads as follows: "The plans, which contain Safeguards Information protected under Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 73.21, are entitled: "Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4 Security Plan," with revisions submitted through April 13, 1988; "Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4, Training and Qualification Plan," with revisions submitted through December 18, 1986; and "Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4 Safeguards Contingency Plan," with revisions submitted through July 15, 1985. Changes made in accordance with 10 CFR 73.55 shall be implemented in accordance with the schedule set forth therein."

The basis for FPL's proposal is that the three plans have been amended after the dates shown above pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(p). Therefore, the dates shown above are obsolete. Consequently, deletion of the part of condition 3.L, which references these plans and their associated dates does not change the plans or how these plans are implemented.

The staff has reviewed FPL's proposal and finds that, although the above dates may not represent the current revisions, due to FPL changes made pursuant to 10 CFR 50.54(p)(2), they do record the last revision reviewed and approved by the NRC. Deletion of the reference dates is not necessary for the licensee to revise the plans as allowed by the regulations. It is stated in 10 CFR 50.54(p)(1) that a licensee may make no change which would decrease the effectiveness of the security plan, or guard training and security plan without prior approval of the Commission.

Based on the above, the staff has concluded that the reference dates provide an easily identifiable benchmark for NRC approved revisions to the plans, and do not impose an unnecessary regulatory burden on the licensee. Therefore, the proposed changes to Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 License Conditions are denied.

(b) Update the TS Index

FPL proposed to update the TS Index for both units to make it representative of the TS text.

The TS Index was not consistently updated with the issuance of license amendments. As a result, the index does not represent the TS text. The revision will update the Index to represent the latest information in the TS text, TS Tables and Figures, and to renumber the TS Index pages sequentially. The staff finds that this administrative change is acceptable.

(c) Correct TS FIGURE 3.1.2.5 number, "BORIC ACID TANK MINIMUM VOLUME"

FPL proposed to revise the number for TS FIGURE 3.1.2.5 "BORIC ACID TANK MINIMUM VOLUME" to TS Figure 3.1-2.

TS Figure number 3.1.2.5 is inconsistent with the numbering system of TS figures. The sequential figure number for the "BORIC ACID TANK MINIMUM VOLUME" should be 3.1-2. The proposed change is administrative in nature. Therefore, the staff finds this change acceptable.

(d) Correct TS 3/4.1.2.5, BORATED WATER SOURCES - OPERATING

FPL proposed to change TS 3/4.1.2.5 to delete the reference to TS Figure 3.1.2.5 and to replace it with the reference to TS Figure 3.1-2.

The figure, "BORIC ACID TANK MINIMUM VOLUME," is referenced in TS 3/4.1.2.5 as 3.1.2.5. Based on Item 3 above the number of this TS Figure should be changed to 3.1-2. No other TS sections contain references to this TS Figure. The staff finds that this administrative change is acceptable.

(e) Correct TS TABLE 4.7-1 number, "SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL"

FPL proposed to revise the number for TS TABLE "SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL" from 4.7-1 to 4.7-2.

The number 4.7-1 has been given to two different Tables. The table which is referenced in TS 3/4.7.6 should be given the sequential number of 4.7-2 instead of 4.7-1. The proposed change is administrative in nature. Therefore, the staff finds this change acceptable.

(f) Correct TS 3/4.7.6, "SNUBBERS"

FPL proposes to change TS 3/4.7.6 to delete the reference to TS Table 4.7-1 and to replace it with a reference to TS Table 4.7-2.

This change is a result of Item e above. The table, "SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL," is referenced in TS 3/4.7.6 as 4.7-1. The number 4.7-1 should be changed to 4.7-2. No other TS sections contain references to the "SNUBBER VISUAL INSPECTION INTERVAL" TS Table. The proposed change is administrative in nature. Therefore, the staff finds this change acceptable.

3.0 STATE CONSULTATION

Based upon a letter dated March 8, 1991, from Mary E. Clark of the State of Florida, Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services, to Deborah A. Miller, Licensing Assistant, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the State of Florida does not desire notification of issuance of license amendments.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

These amendments involve a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The NRC staff has determined that the amendments involve no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that the amendments involve no significant hazards consideration, and there has been no public comment on such finding (64 FR 29711). Accordingly, these amendments meet the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of the amendments.

5.0 CONCLUSION

Based on the staff evaluation in Section 2.0 above, the staff concludes that the proposed Technical Specifications changes are acceptable except as noted in 2(a).

The Commission has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and (3) the issuance of the amendments will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Principal Contributor: Kahtan N. Jabbour

Date: December 20, 1999