



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

50-282/306

December 21, 1999

Mr. Roger O. Anderson, Director  
Nuclear Energy Engineering  
Northern States Power Company  
414 Nicollet Mall  
Minneapolis, MN 55401

SUBJECT: PRAIRIE ISLAND NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 -  
COMPLETION OF LICENSING ACTION FOR GENERIC LETTER 98-04  
(TAC NOS. MA4088 AND MA4089)

Dear Mr. Anderson:

On July 14, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. The NRC issued GL 98-04 to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the NRC staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures, or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii).
  - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components during a postulated design-basis loss-of-coolant accident, the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:
    - (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.

DF01

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

PRC APPROX 0500 0282

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(b)(5), "Long-term cooling," and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for Prairie Island. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a telephone call on December 13, 1999, from C. Lauron (NRC) and myself to J. Kivi and M. Gruber of your staff. The NRC staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, GL 98-04 is closed for your facility. Thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (301) 415-1392.

Sincerely,



Tae Kim, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate III  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306

cc: See next page

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(b)(5), "Long-term cooling," and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for Prairie Island. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a telephone call on December 13, 1999, from C. Lauron (NRC) and myself to J. Kivi and M. Gruber of your staff. The NRC staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, GL 98-04 is closed for your facility. Thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (301) 415-1392.

Sincerely,

Tae Kim, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
 Project Director ~~III~~ by:  
 Division of Licensing Project Management  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306

cc: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION:**

File Center            OGC            JDavis  
 PUBLIC                ACRS            RPulsipher  
 PDIII-1 Reading      CLauron        RLanksbury, RIII

TO RECEIVE A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT, INDICATE "C" IN THE BOX

OFFICE	PDIII-1/PM	C	PDIII-1/LA	C	EMCB/BC	PDIII-1/SC	C
NAME	TJKim <i>TJK</i>		RBouling <i>RB</i>		ESullivan <i>ES</i>	CCraig <i>CC</i>	
DATE	12/16/99		12/15/99		12/17/99	12/21/99	

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDIII-1\PRAIRIE\GL9804LTR.WPD

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46(b)(5), "Long-term cooling," and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for Prairie Island. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a telephone call on December 13, 1999, from C. Lauron (NRC) and myself to J. Kivi and M. Gruber of your staff. The NRC staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, GL 98-04 is closed for your facility. Thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (301) 415-1392.

Sincerely,

Tae Kim, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
 Project Director signed by:  
 Division of Licensing Project Management  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306

cc: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION:**

File Center            OGC                    JDavis  
 PUBLIC                ACRS                   RPulsipher  
 PDIII-1 Reading      CLauron                RLanksbury, RIII

TO RECEIVE A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT, INDICATE "C" IN THE BOX

OFFICE	PDIII-1/PM	C	PDIII-1/LA	C	EMCB/BC	PDIII-1/SC	C
NAME	TJKim <i>TJK</i>		RBouling <i>RB</i>		ESullivan <i>ES</i>	CCraig <i>CC</i>	
DATE	12/16/99		12/15/99		12/17/99	12/21/99	

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDIII-1\PRAIRIE\GL9804LTR.WPD

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant,  
Units 1 and 2

cc:

J. E. Silberg, Esquire  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge  
2300 N Street, N. W.  
Washington, DC 20037

Plant Manager  
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant  
Northern States Power Company  
1717 Wakonade Drive East  
Welch, MN 55089

Adonis A. Neblett  
Assistant Attorney General  
Office of the Attorney General  
455 Minnesota Street  
Suite 900  
St. Paul, MN 55101-2127

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Resident Inspector's Office  
1719 Wakonade Drive East  
Welch, MN 55089-9642

Regional Administrator, Region III  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
801 Warrenville Road  
Lisle, IL 60532-4351

Mr. Stephen Bloom, Administrator  
Goodhue County Courthouse  
Box 408  
Red Wing, MN 55066-0408

Commissioner  
Department of Public Service  
121 Seventh Place East  
Suite 200  
St. Paul, MN 55101-2145

Site Licensing  
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant  
Northern States Power Company  
1717 Wakonade Drive East  
Welch, MN 55089

Tribal Council  
Prairie Island Indian Community  
ATTN: Environmental Department  
5636 Sturgeon Lake Road  
Welch, MN 55089

Site General Manager  
Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant  
Northern States Power Company  
1717 Wakonade Drive East  
Welch, MN 55089

November 1999