



50-321/366

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 15, 1999

Mr. H. L. Sumner, Jr.  
Vice President - Nuclear  
Hatch Project  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
Post Office Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

SUBJECT: EDWIN I. HATCH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 - SITE-SPECIFIC  
WORKSHEETS FOR USE IN THE NRC'S SIGNIFICANCE DETERMINATION  
PROCESS

Dear Mr. Sumner:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you with one of the key implementation tools to be used by the NRC in the revised reactor oversight process, which is currently expected to be implemented at Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, in April 2000. Included in the enclosed Risk-Informed Inspection Notebook are the Significance Determination Process (SDP) worksheets that inspectors will be using to risk characterize inspection findings. The SDP is discussed in more detail below.

On January 8, 1999, the NRC staff described to the Commission plans and recommendations to improve the reactor oversight process. These recommendations were contained in SECY-99-007, "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REACTOR OVERSIGHT PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS" (Available on the NRC's Web Site [www.nrc.gov/NRC/COMMISSION/SECYS/index.html](http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/COMMISSION/SECYS/index.html)). The new process, developed with stakeholder involvement, is designed around a risk-informed framework, which is intended to focus both the NRC's and licensee's attention and resources on those issues of more risk significance.

The performance assessment portion of the new process involves the use of both licensee submitted performance indicator (PI) data and inspection findings that have been appropriately categorized based on their risk significance. In order to properly categorize an inspection finding, the NRC has developed the SDP. This process was also described to the Commission in SECY 99-007A, "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE REACTOR OVERSIGHT PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS (FOLLOW-UP TO SECY-99-007)," dated March 22, 1999, also available on the above-noted Web Site.

The SDP for power operations involves evaluating an inspection finding's impact on the plant's capability to: limit the frequency of initiating events; ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of mitigating systems; and to ensure the integrity of the fuel cladding, reactor coolant system, and containment barriers. The SDP involves the use of three tables. Table 1 is the estimated likelihood for initiating event occurrence during the degraded period. Table 2 describes how the significance is determined based on remaining mitigation system capabilities, and Table 3 provides the bases for the failure probabilities associated with the remaining mitigation equipment and strategies.

DFOI

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

POR A DOCK

H. L. Sumner

- 2 -

As a result of the recently concluded Pilot Plant review effort, the NRC has determined that site-specific risk data is needed in order to provide a repeatable determination of the significance of an issue. Therefore, the NRC has contracted with Brookhaven National Lab (BNL) to develop site-specific worksheets to be used in the SDP review. These enclosed worksheets were developed based on your Individual Plant Examination (IPE) submittal that was requested by Generic Letter 88-20. The NRC plans to use this site-specific information in evaluating the significance of issues identified at your facility when the revised reactor oversight process is implemented industry-wide. It is recognized that the IPE utilized during this effort may not contain current information. Therefore, the NRC or its contractor will conduct a site visit in the near future to discuss with your staff any changes that may be appropriate. We are not requesting written comments on the NRC's work product.

We will coordinate our efforts through your licensing or risk organizations as appropriate. If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1419.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Leonard N. Olshan, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-321 and 50-366

Enclosure: Risk-Informed Inspection Notebook

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Central File  
PUBLIC  
PDII-1 R/F  
ACRS  
PSkinner, RII  
William Dean

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDII-1\HATCH\SPECWORKS.LTR.WPD

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICE	LA:PDII-1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PM:PDII-1	SC:PDII-1	D:PDII		
NAME	CHawes <i>CMH</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LOlshan:mw	REmch <i>RLB</i>	HBerkow		
DATE	12/9/99	12/9/99	12/10/99	1/99		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

H. L. Sumner

- 2 -

As a result of the recently concluded Pilot Plant review effort, the NRC has determined that site-specific risk data is needed in order to provide a repeatable determination of the significance of an issue. Therefore, the NRC has contracted with Brookhaven National Lab (BNL) to develop site-specific worksheets to be used in the SDP review. These enclosed worksheets were developed based on your Individual Plant Examination (IPE) submittal that was requested by Generic Letter 88-20. The NRC plans to use this site-specific information in evaluating the significance of issues identified at your facility when the revised reactor oversight process is implemented industry-wide. It is recognized that the IPE utilized during this effort may not contain current information. Therefore, the NRC or its contractor will conduct a site visit in the near future to discuss with your staff any changes that may be appropriate. We are not requesting written comments on the NRC's work product.

We will coordinate our efforts through your licensing or risk organizations as appropriate. If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 415-1419.

Sincerely,



Leonard N. Olshan, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-321 and 50-366

Enclosure: Risk-Informed Inspection Notebook

cc w/encl: See next page

Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant

cc:

Mr. Ernest L. Blake, Jr.  
Shaw, Pittman, Potts  
and Trowbridge  
2300 N Street, NW.  
Washington, DC 20037

Mr. D. M. Crowe  
Manager, Licensing  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

Resident Inspector  
Plant Hatch  
11030 Hatch Parkway N.  
Baxley, Georgia 31531

Mr. Charles H. Badger  
Office of Planning and Budget  
Room 610  
270 Washington Street, SW.  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Harold Reheis, Director  
Department of Natural Resources  
205 Butler Street, SE., Suite 1252  
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Steven M. Jackson  
Senior Engineer - Power Supply  
Municipal Electric Authority  
of Georgia  
1470 Riveredge Parkway, NW  
Atlanta, Georgia 30328-4684

Charles A. Patrizia, Esquire  
Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker  
10th Floor  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue  
Washington, DC 20004-9500

Chairman  
Appling County Commissioners  
County Courthouse  
Baxley, Georgia 31513

Mr. J. D. Woodard  
Executive Vice President  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
P. O. Box 1295  
Birmingham, Alabama 35201-1295

Mr. P. W. Wells  
General Manager, Edwin I. Hatch  
Nuclear Plant  
Southern Nuclear Operating  
Company, Inc.  
U.S. Highway 1 North  
P. O. Box 2010  
Baxley, Georgia 31515

Mr. R. D. Barker  
Program Manager  
Fossil & Nuclear Operations  
Oglethorpe Power Corporation  
2100 East Exchange Place  
P. O. Box 1349  
Tucker, Georgia 30085-1349

cc: Mr. Steve Floyd  
Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI)  
1776 I Street NW., Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20006

Mr. David Lochbaum  
Nuclear Safety Engineer  
Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS)  
1616 P Street NW., Suite 310  
Washington, DC 20036-1495