OCT 3 0 1979



HEMORANDUM FOR:

A. Schwencer, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch 41 Division of Operating Reactors

REGULATORY DOCKET FLE MON

FROM: V. Noonan, Chief, Engineering Branch Division of Operating Reactors

SUBJECT: OPERATING BASIS EARTHQUAKE REANALYSIS OF PIPING SYSTEMS, SURRY POWER STATION UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. 11542, 11543)

Reference: VEPCO letter (W. C. Spencer) to NRC (D. G. Eisenhut) on the same subject dated September 13, 1979

Plant Name: Surry Power Station, Units 1 and 2 Licensee: Virginia Electric and Power Company NSSS Vendor: Westinghouse Docket Humber: 50-280 and 50-281 Operating Reactors Branch and Project Manager: ORB-1, D. Neighbors Description of Task: Review licensee's response to NRC letter of Hay 25, 1979 concerning the determination of new OBE level and the proposed 25 percent "bump factor" for OBE pipe reanalysis Review Status: Complete for this subject only

The Engineering Branch has reviewed the Virginia Electric and Power Company's response, contained in the referenced letter, to the NRC letter of May 25, 1979. Our comments are described as follows:

- The 25 percent "bump factor" is considered adequate for the OBE reanalysis of piping systems. This is based on reasonable variations in soil properties and figures 1 through 6 contained in the referenced letter.
- 2. Equation 1 of the referenced letter is considered acceptable provided that the soil damping values used to generate seismic stresses for the new level of OBE (a_n) do not change substantially over those for the original level of OBE (a_0) . Since the damping values are a function of soil strain and thus input excitations, an approximate range of variation in the excitation level has to be chosen such

+7161 >				
Contact: A.	Lee			
50 SATE	7099	 •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
305C PORME \$18 (9-76) 205CHE 6548	. X v.a. e		7911	. 495
		vr 5 29)	150 '
		 ,		•

• . .

OCT 3 0 1979

-2-

A. Schwencer

that the damping values can be considered constant. A 15 percent variation in OBE is judged to be a reasonable range. An increase over this range would probably change the damping values substantially and thus invalidate Equation 1 of the referenced letter. In these cases VEPCO will have to justify that the damping values do not change significantly or other approaches will have to be adopted.

· #

Original signed by:

Vincent S. Noonan, Chief Engineering Branch Division of Operating Reactors

R ORIGINAL -

nr5 294

- cc: D. Eisenhut R. Tedesco L. Shao W. Gammill K. Wichman P. T. Kuo D. Heighbors
 - A. Lee A. Hafiz

	EB: POB	EPrede	EB:DOR: G ^	 	
	AL EF AK	Kilichman	VNoonar	 	
8478 P	10/20179	10/2-279	10/2-179	 	

388C PORME 318 (9-76) MINCHE 8540