

21068

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROD. & UTIL. FAC. 50-400-LA

**From:** Laurie Weakley <llw@deltacomm.com>  
**To:** TWFN\_DO.twf1\_po(GPB)  
**Date:** Sat, Dec 11, 1999 12:37 PM  
**Subject:** CPL hearings

DOCKETED  
USING

'99 DEC 15 A9:08

Dear Judge Bollwerk,

I am writing because I was unable to attend either of the public hearings this week. I was very glad to learn that comments would be accepted by mail and email. Thank you.

OP

ADD

One concern is CP&L's chosen storage method. As I understand it, a safer method exists - dry storage. And amazingly, this method is also less expensive!

**SERVED DEC 15 1999**

My husband has worked on a Hazardous Materials Response Team, so I have a healthy respect for radioactive materials rather than fear. I have to say though, that when we chose where to live, we deliberately chose not to live in an evacuation zone much less near the largest high-level nuclear storage waste site in the nation. Considering the population base here, I would never have anticipated that as an issue.

Raleigh's ex-mayor has said that he thinks that CP&L's opponents on this issue would not be happy with any plan that CP&L proposes. I do not consider myself an opponent of CP&L and I think there is a storage method that can be acceptable to the citizens here while we work with the Federal government to create a storage site that is better for the long term. If we must store waste here, in such a high-population area, we need to do it in the safest possible way.

I am writing to urge this panel to consider the large population here and to consider this alternative storage method.

Thank you very much for your work on this important issue.

Sincerely,

Laurie Weakley  
112 Waverly Forest Lane  
Chapel Hill, NC 27516-7754

PDR. A DOCK

DS02