



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 9, 1999

Mr. Thomas F. Plunkett  
President - Nuclear Division  
Florida Power and Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

**SUBJECT: COMPLETION OF LICENSING ACTION FOR GENERIC LETTER 98-04, "POTENTIAL FOR DEGRADATION OF THE EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM AND THE CONTAINMENT SPRAY SYSTEM AFTER A LOSS-OF-COOLANT ACCIDENT BECAUSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND PROTECTIVE COATING DEFICIENCIES AND FOREIGN MATERIAL IN CONTAINMENT," DATED JULY 14, 1998 - ST. LUCIE NUCLEAR PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2 (TAC NOS. MA4103 AND MA4104)**

Dear Mr. Plunkett:

On July 14, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. The NRC issued GL 98-04 to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the NRC staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii).
  - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components (SSCs) during a postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident (DB LOCA), the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:

**NRC FILE CENTER COP**

DF01

PDR ADOCK

- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
  - (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 9, 1998, for Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 15, 1999. The staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided, therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for your facility. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (301) 415-1496.

Sincerely,

Original signed by K.Jabbour  
 Kahtan N. Jabbour, Senior Project Manager, Section 2  
 Project Directorate II  
 Division of Licensing Project Management  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

|               |          |           |            |
|---------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| File Center   | RCorreia | RPulsifer | ESullivan  |
| PUBLIC        | BClayton | CLauron   | OGC        |
| ST. Lucie R/F | KJabbour | JDavis    | ACRS       |
|               |          |           | LWert, RII |

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDII-2\Turkey\gl9804closeout.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

|        |                     |                    |                     |                    |         |
|--------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|
| OFFICE | PDII-2VPM           | PDII-2LA           | EMCB\SC             | PDII-2\SC          |         |
| NAME   | KJabbour <i>KNS</i> | BClayton <i>BC</i> | ESullivan <i>ES</i> | RCorreia <i>RC</i> |         |
| DATE   | 12/1/99             | 12/1/99            | 12/1/99             | 12/1/99            | 12/1/99 |


- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
  - (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 4, 1998, for St. Lucie Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 17, 1999. The staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for your facility. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (301) 415-1496.

Sincerely,



Kahtan N. Jabbour, Senior Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-335 and 50- 389

cc: See next page

**Mr. T. F. Plunkett  
Florida Power and Light Company**

**ST. LUCIE PLANT**

**cc:  
Senior Resident Inspector  
St. Lucie Plant  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P.O. Box 6090  
Jensen Beach, Florida 34957**

**Mr. R. G. West  
Plant General Manager  
St. Lucie Nuclear Plant  
6351 South Ocean Drive  
Jensen Beach, Florida 34957**

**Joe Myers, Director  
Division of Emergency Preparedness  
Department of Community Affairs  
2740 Centerview Drive  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100**

**E. J. Weinkam  
Licensing Manager  
St. Lucie Nuclear Plant  
6351 South Ocean Drive  
Jensen Beach, Florida 34957**

**M. S. Ross, Attorney  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420**

**Mr. John Gianfrancesco  
Manager, Administrative Support  
and Special Projects  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420**

**Mr. Douglas Anderson  
County Administrator  
St. Lucie County  
2300 Virginia Avenue  
Fort Pierce, Florida 34982**

**Mr. Rajiv S. Kundalkar  
Vice President - Nuclear Engineering  
Florida Power & Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420**

**Mr. William A. Passetti, Chief  
Department of Health  
Bureau of Radiation Control  
2020 Capital Circle, SE, Bin #C21  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1741**

**Mr. J. Kammel  
Radiological Emergency  
Planning Administrator  
Department of Public Safety  
6000 SE. Tower Drive  
Stuart, Florida 34997**

**J. A. Stall, Site Vice President  
St. Lucie Nuclear Plant  
6351 South Ocean Drive  
Jensen Beach, Florida 34957**



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 9, 1999

Mr. Thomas F. Plunkett  
President - Nuclear Division  
Florida Power and Light Company  
P.O. Box 14000  
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

**SUBJECT: COMPLETION OF LICENSING ACTION FOR GENERIC LETTER 98-04, "POTENTIAL FOR DEGRADATION OF THE EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM AND THE CONTAINMENT SPRAY SYSTEM AFTER A LOSS-OF-COOLANT ACCIDENT BECAUSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND PROTECTIVE COATING DEFICIENCIES AND FOREIGN MATERIAL IN CONTAINMENT," DATED JULY 14, 1998 - TURKEY POINT PLANT, UNITS 3 AND 4 (TAC NOS. MA4111 AND MA4112)**

Dear Mr. Plunkett:

On July 14, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. The NRC issued GL 98-04 to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the NRC staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii).
  - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components (SSCs) during a postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident (DB LOCA), the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:

December 9, 1999

- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
  - (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 4, 1998, for St. Lucie Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 17, 1999. The staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for your facility. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (301) 415-1496.

Sincerely,  
 Original signed by:  
 Kahtan N. Jabbour, Senior Project Manager, Section 2  
 Project Directorate II  
 Division of Licensing Project Management  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-335 and 50- 389

cc: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION:**

|               |          |           |            |
|---------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| File Center   | RCorreia | RPulsifer | ESullivan  |
| PUBLIC        | BClayton | CLauron   | OGC        |
| ST. Lucie R/F | KJabbour | JDavis    | ACRS       |
|               |          |           | LWert, RII |

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDII-2\St. Lucie\gl9804slcloseout.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

|        |                     |                     |                     |                    |   |         |
|--------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---|---------|
| OFFICE | PDII-2\PM           | PDII-2\LA           | EMCB\SC             | PDII-2\SC          | C |         |
| NAME   | KJabbour <i>KJS</i> | BClayton <i>Bar</i> | ESullivan <i>ES</i> | RCorreia <i>RC</i> |   |         |
| DATE   | 12/9/99             | 12/1/99             | 12/9/99             | 12/1/99            |   | 12/ /99 |

- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
  - (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 9, 1998, for Turkey Point Plant, Units 3 and 4. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 15, 1999. The staff has reviewed your response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided, therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for your facility. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (301) 415-1496.

Sincerely,



Kahtan N. Jabbour, Senior Project Manager, Section 2  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-250 and 50-251

cc: See next page

**Mr. T. F. Plunkett**  
**Florida Power and Light Company**

**cc:**

**M. S. Ross, Attorney**  
**Florida Power & Light Company**  
**P.O. Box 14000**  
**Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420**

**Mr. Robert J. Hovey, Site**  
**Vice President**  
**Turkey Point Nuclear Plant**  
**Florida Power and Light Company**  
**9760 SW. 344th Street**  
**Florida City, FL 33035**

**County Manager**  
**Miami-Dade County**  
**111 NW 1 Street, 29th Floor**  
**Miami, Florida 33128**

**Senior Resident Inspector**  
**Turkey Point Nuclear Plant**  
**U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission**  
**9762 SW. 344<sup>th</sup> Street**  
**Florida City, Florida 33035**

**Mr. William A. Passetti, Chief**  
**Department of Health**  
**Bureau of Radiation Control**  
**2020 Capital Circle, SE, Bin #C21**  
**Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1741**

**Mr. Joe Myers, Director**  
**Division of Emergency Preparedness**  
**Department of Community Affairs**  
**2740 Centerview Drive**  
**Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100**

**TURKEY POINT PLANT**

**Attorney General**  
**Department of Legal Affairs**  
**The Capitol**  
**Tallahassee, Florida 32304**

**Plant Manager**  
**Turkey Point Nuclear Plant**  
**Florida Power and Light Company**  
**9760 SW. 344th Street**  
**Florida City, FL 33035**

**Mr. Steve Franzone**  
**Licensing Manager**  
**Turkey Point Nuclear Plant**  
**9760 SW. 344th Street**  
**Florida City, FL 33035**

**Mr. John Gianfrancesco**  
**Manager, Administrative Support**  
**and Special Projects**  
**P.O. Box 14000**  
**Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420**

**Mr. Rajiv S. Kundalkar**  
**Vice President - Nuclear Engineering**  
**Florida Power & Light Company**  
**P.O. Box 14000**  
**Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420**