



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 9, 1999

Mr. Robert G. Byram  
Senior Vice President-Generation  
and Chief Nuclear Officer  
PP&L, Inc.  
2 North Ninth Street  
Allentown, PA 18101

SUBJECT: COMPLETION OF LICENSING ACTION FOR GENERIC LETTER 98-04,  
SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (SSES), UNITS 1 AND 2  
(TAC NOS. MA4108 AND MA4109)

Dear Mr. Byram:

On July 14, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. The NRC issued GL 98-04 to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the NRC staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii).
  - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components (SSCs) during a postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident (DB LOCA), the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:
    - (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.

**NRC FILE CENTER COPY**

DF01

PDL ADDCN 05000387

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for SSES Units 1 and 2. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 12, 1999. The staff reviewed your response and concluded that you provided all the requested information; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 closed for your facilities. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Victor Nerses at 301-415-1484.

Sincerely,



Victor Nerses, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate I  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-387 and 50-388

cc: See next page

Susquehanna Steam Electric Station,  
Units 1 & 2

Bryan A. Snapp, Esq.  
Assoc. General Counsel  
PP&L, Inc.  
2 North Ninth Street, GENTW3  
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Terry L. Harpster  
Manager - Nuclear Licensing  
PP&L, Inc.  
2 North Ninth Street, GENA61  
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Senior Resident Inspector  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
P.O. Box 35, NUCSA4  
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

Director-Bureau of Radiation  
Protection  
Pennsylvania Department of  
Environmental Protection  
P.O. Box 8469  
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8469

Richard W. Osborne  
Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc.  
212 Locust Street  
P.O. Box 1266  
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1266

PP&L, Inc.  
Nuclear Records (w/enclosure)  
Attn: G. DallaPalu  
2 North Ninth Street, GENA62  
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Regional Administrator, Region I  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
475 Allendale Road  
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Robert F. Saunders  
Vice President-Nuclear Site Operations  
Susquehanna Steam Electric Station  
PP&L, Inc.  
Box 467, NUCSA4  
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

Herbert D. Woodeshick  
Special Office of the President  
PP&L, Inc.  
Rural Route 1, Box 1797  
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

George T. Jones  
Vice President-Nuclear Engineering & Support  
PP&L, Inc.  
2 North Ninth Street, GENA61  
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Dr. Judith Johnsrud  
National Energy Committee  
Sierra Club  
433 Orlando Avenue  
State College, PA 16803

Board of Supervisors  
Salem Township  
P.O. Box 405  
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for SSES Units 1 and 2. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 12, 1999. The staff reviewed your response and concluded that you provided all the requested information; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 closed for your facilities. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Victor Nerses at 301-415-1484.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Victor Nerses, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1  
 Project Directorate I  
 Division of Licensing Project Management  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-387 and 50-388

cc: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION:**

<del>File Center</del>	RPulsifer	EAdensam,(e-mail)	CCowgill,RGN-I
PUBLIC	CLauron	OGC	ACRS
PD I-1 R/F	JDavis	ESullivan	VNerses

ADromerick (A)

DOCUMENT NAME:G:\PDI-1\SUSQ1&2\GL98-04.WPD

INDICATE IN BOX: "C"=COPY W/O ATTACHMENT/ENCLOSURE, "E"=COPY W/ATT/ENCL, "N"=NO COPY

OFFICE	PDI-1/PM	PDI-1/LA	EMCB/SC	PDI-1/SC(A)
NAME	VNerses:lcc	MO'Brien	ESullivan	ADromerick
DATE	12/7/99	12/7/99	12/7/99	12/8/99

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for SSES Units 1 and 2. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 12, 1999. The staff reviewed your response and concluded that you provided all the requested information; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 closed for your facilities. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Victor Nerses at 301-415-1484.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Victor Nerses, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1  
 Project Directorate I  
 Division of Licensing Project Management  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-387 and 50-388

cc: See next page

**DISTRIBUTION:**

File Center	RPulsifer	EAdensam,(e-mail)	CCowgill,RGN-I
PUBLIC	CLauron	OGC	ACRS
PD I-1 R/F	JDavis	ESullivan	VNerses
ADromerick (A)			

DOCUMENT NAME:G:\PDI-1\SUSQ1&2\GL98-04.WPD

INDICATE IN BOX: "C"=COPY W/O ATTACHMENT/ENCLOSURE, "E"=COPY W/ATT/ENCL, "N"=NO COPY

OFFICE	PDI-1/PM	PDI-1/LA	EMCB/SC	PDI-1/SC(A)
NAME	VNerses:lcc	MO'Brien	ESullivan	ADromerick
DATE	1 /99	12/7/99	12/7/99	12/18/99