



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 9, 1999

Mr. Robert G. Byram
Senior Vice President-Generation
and Chief Nuclear Officer
PP&L, Inc.
2 North Ninth Street
Allentown, PA 18101

**SUBJECT: COMPLETION OF LICENSING ACTION FOR GENERIC LETTER 98-04,
SUSQUEHANNA STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (SSES), UNITS 1 AND 2
(TAC NOS. MA4108 AND MA4109)**

Dear Mr. Byram:

On July 14, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. The NRC issued GL 98-04 to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the NRC staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii).
 - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components (SSCs) during a postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident (DB LOCA), the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:
 - (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.

NRC FILE CENTER COPY

DF01

PDL ADDCN 05000387

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
 - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for SSES Units 1 and 2. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 12, 1999. The staff reviewed your response and concluded that you provided all the requested information; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 closed for your facilities. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Victor Nerses at 301-415-1484.

Sincerely,



Victor Nerses, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-387 and 50-388

cc: See next page

Susquehanna Steam Electric Station,
Units 1 & 2

Bryan A. Snapp, Esq.
Assoc. General Counsel
PP&L, Inc.
2 North Ninth Street, GENTW3
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Terry L. Harpster
Manager - Nuclear Licensing
PP&L, Inc.
2 North Ninth Street, GENA61
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Senior Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 35, NUCSA4
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

Director-Bureau of Radiation
Protection
Pennsylvania Department of
Environmental Protection
P.O. Box 8469
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8469

Richard W. Osborne
Allegheny Electric Cooperative, Inc.
212 Locust Street
P.O. Box 1266
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1266

PP&L, Inc.
Nuclear Records (w/enclosure)
Attn: G. DallaPalu
2 North Ninth Street, GENA62
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Robert F. Saunders
Vice President-Nuclear Site Operations
Susquehanna Steam Electric Station
PP&L, Inc.
Box 467, NUCSA4
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

Herbert D. Woodeshick
Special Office of the President
PP&L, Inc.
Rural Route 1, Box 1797
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

George T. Jones
Vice President-Nuclear Engineering & Support
PP&L, Inc.
2 North Ninth Street, GENA61
Allentown, PA 18101-1179

Dr. Judith Johnsrud
National Energy Committee
Sierra Club
433 Orlando Avenue
State College, PA 16803

Board of Supervisors
Salem Township
P.O. Box 405
Berwick, PA 18603-0035

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
 - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for SSES Units 1 and 2. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 12, 1999. The staff reviewed your response and concluded that you provided all the requested information; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 closed for your facilities. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Victor Nerses at 301-415-1484.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Victor Nerses, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1
 Project Directorate I
 Division of Licensing Project Management
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-387 and 50-388

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

File Center	RPulsifer	EAdensam,(e-mail)	CCowgill,RGN-I
PUBLIC	CLauron	OGC	ACRS
PD I-1 R/F	JDavis	ESullivan	VNerses
ADromerick (A)			

DOCUMENT NAME:G:\PDI-1\SUSQ1&2\GL98-04.WPD

INDICATE IN BOX: "C"=COPY W/O ATTACHMENT/ENCLOSURE, "E"=COPY W/ATT/ENCL, "N"=NO COPY

OFFICE	PDI-1/PM	PDI-1/LA	EMCB/SC	PDI-1/SC(A)
NAME	VNerses:lcc	MO'Brien	ESullivan	ADromerick
DATE	12/7/99	12/7/99	12/7/99	12/8/99

- (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
 - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, you provided a letter dated November 11, 1998, for SSES Units 1 and 2. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on November 12, 1999. The staff reviewed your response and concluded that you provided all the requested information; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 closed for your facilities. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Victor Nerses at 301-415-1484.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Victor Nerses, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1
 Project Directorate I
 Division of Licensing Project Management
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-387 and 50-388

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

File Center	RPulsifer	EAdensam,(e-mail)	CCowgill,RGN-I
PUBLIC	CLauron	OGC	ACRS
PD I-1 R/F	JDavis	ESullivan	VNerses
ADromerick (A)			

DOCUMENT NAME:G:\PDI-1\SUSQ1&2\GL98-04.WPD

INDICATE IN BOX: "C"=COPY W/O ATTACHMENT/ENCLOSURE, "E"=COPY W/ATT/ENCL, "N"=NO COPY

OFFICE	PDI-1/PM	PDI-1/LA	EMCB/SC	PDI-1/SC(A)
NAME	VNerses:lcc	MO'Brien	ESullivan	ADromerick
DATE	1 /99	12/7/99	12/7/99	12/18/99