



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

December 3, 1999

Mr. Samuel L. Newton
Vice President, Operations
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation
185 Old Ferry Road
Brattleboro, VT 05301

SUBJECT: VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION - SITE SPECIFIC
WORKSHEETS FOR USE IN THE NRC'S SIGNIFICANCE DETERMINATION
PROCESS

Dear Mr. Newton:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you with one of the key implementation tools to be used by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in the revised reactor oversight process, which is currently expected to be implemented at Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station in April 2000. Included in the enclosed Risk-Informed Inspection Notebook are the Significance Determination Process (SDP) worksheets that inspectors will be using to risk characterize inspection findings. The SDP is discussed in more detail below.

On January 8, 1999, the NRC staff described to the Commission plans and recommendations to improve the reactor oversight process. These recommendations were contained in SECY-99-007, "RECOMMENDATION FOR REACTOR OVERSIGHT PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS" (Available on the NRC's Web Site www.nrc.gov/NRC/COMMISSION/SECYS/index.html). The new process, developed with stakeholder involvement, is designed around a risk-informed framework, which is intended to focus both the NRC's and licensee's attention and resources on those issues of more risk significance.

The performance assessment portion of the new process involves the use of both licensee submitted performance indicator (PI) data and inspection findings that have been appropriately categorized based on their risk significance. In order to properly categorize an inspection finding, the NRC has developed the SDP. This process was also described to the Commission in SECY 99-007A, "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE REACTOR OVERSIGHT PROCESS IMPROVEMENTS (FOLLOW-UP TO SECY-99-007)," dated March 22, 1999, also available on the above noted Web Site.

The SDP for power operations involves evaluating an inspection finding's impact on the plant's capability to: limit the frequency of initiating events; ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of mitigating systems; and to ensure the integrity of the fuel cladding, reactor coolant system, and containment barriers. The SDP involves the use of three tables. Table 1 is the estimated likelihood for initiating event occurrence during the degraded period. Table 2 describes how the significance is determined based on remaining mitigation system capabilities and Table 3 provides the bases for the failure probabilities associated with the remaining mitigation equipment and strategies.

94211
NRC FILE CENTER CAP

PDR ADOCK

DF01

As a result of the recently concluded Pilot Plant review effort, the NRC has determined that site-specific risk data is needed in order to provide a repeatable determination of the significance of an issue. Therefore, the NRC has contracted with Brookhaven National Lab (BNL) to develop site-specific worksheets to be used in the SDP review. The enclosed worksheets were developed based on your Individual Plant Examination (IPE) submittal that was requested by Generic Letter GL 88-20. The NRC plans to use this site-specific information in evaluating the significance of issues identified at your facility when the revised reactor oversight process is implemented industry wide. It is recognized that the IPE utilized during this effort may not contain current information. Therefore, the NRC or its contractor will conduct a site visit in the near future to discuss with your staff any changes that may be appropriate. We are not requesting written comments on the NRC's work product.

We will coordinate our efforts through your licensing or risk organizations as appropriate. If you have any questions, please contact Richard Croteau of my staff at (301) 415-1475.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

Elinor G. Adensam, Director
 Project Directorate I
 Division of Licensing Project Management
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Risk-Informed Inspection Notebook

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

| | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|------|
| File Center | OGC | ACRS |
| PUBLIC | W. Dean | |
| PDI-2 Reading | E. Adensam (e-mail) | |
| J. Clifford | T. Clark | |
| C. Anderson, RGN-I | R. Croteau | |

FILENAME: G:\PDI-2\Vermont\VYsitespecificworksheet.wpd

| OFFICE | PDI-2/PM | PDI-2/LA | PIPB/BC | PDI-2/SC | PDI-2/D |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| NAME | RCroteau | TClark | WDean | JClifford | EAdensam |
| DATE | 12/12/99 | 12/12/99 | 12/13/99 | 12/16/99 | 12/13/99 |

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

As a result of the recently concluded Pilot Plant review effort, the NRC has determined that site-specific risk data is needed in order to provide a repeatable determination of the significance of an issue. Therefore, the NRC has contracted with Brookhaven National Lab (BNL) to develop site-specific worksheets to be used in the SDP review. The enclosed worksheets were developed based on your Individual Plant Examination (IPE) submittal that was requested by Generic Letter GL 88-20. The NRC plans to use this site-specific information in evaluating the significance of issues identified at your facility when the revised reactor oversight process is implemented industry wide. It is recognized that the IPE utilized during this effort may not contain current information. Therefore, the NRC or its contractor will conduct a site visit in the near future to discuss with your staff any changes that may be appropriate. We are not requesting written comments on the NRC's work product.

We will coordinate our efforts through your licensing or risk organizations as appropriate. If you have any questions, please contact Richard Croteau of my staff at (301) 415-1475.

Sincerely,



Elinor G. Adensam, Director
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: Risk-Informed Inspection Notebook

cc w/encl: See next page

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station

cc:

Regional Administrator, Region I
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Mr. David R. Lewis
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037-1128

Mr. Richard P. Sedano, Commissioner
Vermont Department of Public Service
112 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05620-2601

Mr. Michael H. Dworkin, Chairman
Public Service Board
State of Vermont
112 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05620-2701

Chairman, Board of Selectmen
Town of Vernon
P.O. Box 116
Vernon, VT 05354-0116

Mr. Richard E. McCullough
Operating Experience Coordinator
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station
P.O. Box 157
Governor Hunt Road
Vernon, VT 05354

G. Dana Bisbee, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
33 Capitol Street
Concord, NH 03301-6937

Chief, Safety Unit
Office of the Attorney General
One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor
Boston, MA 02108

Ms. Deborah B. Katz
Box 83
Shelburne Falls, MA 01370

Mr. Raymond N. McCandless
Vermont Department of Health
Division of Occupational
and Radiological Health
108 Cherry Street
Burlington, VT 05402

Mr. Gautam Sen
Licensing Manager
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power
Corporation
185 Old Ferry Road
Brattleboro, VT 05301

Resident Inspector
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 176
Vernon, VT 05354

Director, Massachusetts Emergency
Management Agency
ATTN: James Muckerheide
400 Worcester Rd.
P.O. Box 1496
Framingham, MA 01701-0317

Jonathan M. Block, Esq.
Main Street
P. O. Box 566
Putney, VT 05346-0566

David Lochbaum
Union of Concerned Scientists
1616 P Street, NW, Suite 310
Washington, DC 20036-1495

Steve Floyd
Nuclear Energy Institute
1776 I Street, NW, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20006-3708