



Entergy Nuclear Generation Company
Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station
600 Rocky Hill Road
Plymouth, MA 02360

10 CFR 50.74

J. F. Alexander
Director
Nuclear Assessment

December 1, 1999
ENGCLtr.2.99.119

Mr. Hubert J. Miller
Regional Administrator, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Docket No. 50-293
License No. DPR-35

Subject: NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN OPERATOR OR SENIOR OPERATOR STATUS

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.74, this letter informs you of a change in status of one Entergy Nuclear Generation Company (Entergy) licensed operator, Mr. Fred C. DiCristofaro, NRC License No. SOP-11195, Docket No. 55-61794. On November 15, 1999, the operator was permanently reassigned to a non-licensed position within Entergy. The operator will no longer participate in our licensed operator requalification training program and his license will not be renewed.

If further information is required concerning this notification, please do not hesitate to contact Bob Cannon of my staff at (805) 830-8321.

Sincerely,

RLC/sc

cc: Mr. Richard J. Conte, Chief,
Operator Licensing and Human Performance Branch
Division of Reactor Safety, NRC Region I
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Sr. NRC Resident Inspector
U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission
Att: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

IEP2

299119

PDN APPROCK 0500 0293

NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN OPERATOR OR SENIOR OPERATOR STATUS

FRED C. DiCRISTOFARO
DUE DECEMBER 15, 1999
LTR. 2.99.119

EXHIBIT 5
Sheet 1 of 1

ASSESSMENT PERFORMANCE SHEET

PNPS Self-Assessment

FUNCTIONAL WORK AREA: Regulatory Affairs PERFORMANCE AREA No.: RRG 2.03
Criteria K
Attach. B
(Exhibit 4)

TEAM MEMBER: J. F. Alexander DATE: _____

ASSESSMENT METHOD: Observation Document Review Interview

CONCLUSION: Area of Strength Area for Improvement Corrective Action Req'd.
PR No. _____

PREVIOUS OBSERVATION or ACTION ITEMS: No Yes - reference document _____

OBSERVATIONS or COMMENTS

Executive review of outgoing correspondence.

Document quality - superior, good, fair, poor (circle one)

Did you have adequate time to review? Yes No

Days until due date _____

Is the regulatory strategy appropriate? Yes No N/A


Comments:

TITLE: NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN OPERATOR OR SENIOR OPERATOR STATUS

FRED C. DiCRISTOFARO

DUE DECEMBER 15, 1999

LTR. 2.99.119

<p> _____ Director Operations and Plant Manager Date <u>11/24/99</u> _____ BILL DiCROCE</p>	<p>Configuration control of plant is maintained. Plant safety, reputation, and costs have been properly considered. Organizational interfaces are properly established to support information/commitment(s). Explain:</p>
---	--

<p>_____ Deputy Group Manager Date _____</p>	<p>Technical input provided by Department is properly represented in letter. Scope and schedule of commitment(s) can be met with existing resources. Impact on existing analyses/operations has been appropriately considered. Explain:</p>
---	--

<p>_____ Department Manager Date _____</p>	<p>Technical input provided by Department is properly represented in letter. Scope and schedule of commitment(s) can be met with existing resources. Impact on existing analyses/operations has been appropriately considered. Explain:</p>
---	--

<p>_____ Date _____</p>	<p>My basis for recommending approval is: Explain:</p>
----------------------------------	---

<p>_____ Date _____</p>	<p>My basis for recommending approval is: Explain:</p>
----------------------------------	---

CORRESPONDENCE REVIEW SIGNATURE SHEET #1

TITLE: NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE IN OPERATOR OR SENIOR OPERATOR STATUS

FRED C. DICRISTOFARO
DUE DECEMBER 15, 1999
LTR. 2.99.119

<p>_____ Vice President and Station Director</p> <p>Date _____</p> <p>T. A. SULLIVAN</p>	<p>Effect on safety and reliability of the plant has been evaluated adequately. Information is accurate, complete, and consistent with NUORG business planning strategy. Preserves PNPS reputation for conservative decision making.</p> <p>Explain: Industry Review Group Document.</p>
---	--

<p>_____ Director, Design Engineering</p> <p>Date _____</p> <p>H. V. OHEIM</p>	<p>Effect on safety and reliability of the plant has been evaluated adequately. Information is accurate, complete, and consistent with NUORG business planning strategy. Preserves PNPS reputation for conservative decision making.</p> <p>Explain:</p>
---	--

<p>_____ Director Nuclear Assessment</p> <p>Date <u>12/1/99</u></p> <p>J. F. ALEXANDER</p>	<p>Consistent with NUORG strategy governing regulatory activities. Information is accurate and complete. Information has received proper review for factual content, commitment ownership, and fiscal oversight.</p> <p>Explain:</p>
---	--

<p>_____ Regulatory Affairs Superintendent</p> <p>Date <u>12/1/99</u></p> <p>C. S. BRENNION</p>	<p>Applicable regulatory documents have been considered in content of letter. Information is consistent with other regulatory strategies and commitments. Information is accurate and complete. Information is consistent with design and Licensing Basis.</p> <p>Explain:</p>
--	--

<p>_____ Regulatory Affairs Engineer or Correspondence Team Leader</p> <p>Date _____</p> <p>R. L. CANNON</p>	<p>Information is accurate and complete. Source documents verified. Commitments are identified and owners assigned. Letter is grammatically correct and free of typographical errors.</p> <p>Explain:</p>
---	---