

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROPOSED RULE **PR 20**  
(64FR35090)

142

**From:** "Nimmo, Elsa (CA35)" <Elsa.Nimmo@HMX.Honeywell.com>  
**To:** "SZWoods, (Susanne Woods), NRC, 10/99" <SRW@nrc...  
**Date:** Fri, Oct 29, 1999 12:57 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Proposed changes to Parts 30, 31, 32, etc.

DOCKETED  
USNRC  
99 DEC 6 10:20

Msg bounced -- try leaving out file w/ attachment to letter:

<<PC2210.RTF>>

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
RULES AND REGULATIONS  
ADJUTANT GENERAL

> -----Original Message-----

> **From:** Nimmo, Elsa (CA35)  
> **Sent:** Friday, October 29, 1999 9:33 AM  
> **To:** 'SZWoods, (Susanne Woods), NRC, 10/99'; 'JZHickey (John Hickey),  
> NRC, 10/99'; 'LZRakovan, (Lance Rakovan), NRC, 10/99'; 'DZBroaddus, (Doug  
> Broaddus), NRC, 10/99'; 'CRM@nrc.gov'  
> **Subject:** Proposed changes to Parts 30, 31, 32, etc.

> Although the comment period for proposed changes to Parts 30, 31, 32, etc.  
> has ended, I'm forwarding a copy of a letter Honeywell-Measurex (& others)  
> sent to the NY Dept of Labor.

> It is relevant the issue of state variations in the definition of GL  
> devices & compatibility levels for 10 CFR 31.5 & 31.6.

> In the letter, Honeywell-Measurex & a number of other companies filed for  
> an exception in NY. This is an obvious example of the transboundary  
> implications to 10 CFR 31.5 & 31.6. As Honeywell-Measurex & many others  
> stated in oral and written comments, requiring Agreement States to adopt  
> program elements that are identical to 31.5 and 31.6 is justified.

> << File: PC2210.RTF >> << File: NYJPG.DOC >>

> Sorry if I am boring everyone with the same story over & over again, but  
> we find variations in these particular portions of state regulations to be  
> very detrimental -- they certainly undermine our ability to establish a  
> comprehensive, consistent radiation safety program. We want to do  
> everything we can to make sure our problems are not ignored.

> Best regards,  
> Elsa Nimmo  
> Honeywell-Measurex

PDR. PR 20 64FR35090

DS10

28 October 1999

Mr. Peter Chiefari  
Assistant Division Director, Safety & Health  
New York State Dept. of Labor  
Building #12  
State Office Building Campus  
Albany, NY 12240

Subject: Application for Variation from Section 38.15(b) of 12 NYCRR 38 for Activities to  
be Conducted in New York

Dear Mr. Chiefari:

As described in Section 38.2 of 12 NYCRR 38, we request a variation from a portion of the regulations. Specifically, we request a variation from Section 38.15(b), which states:

*Any holder of a license or permit issued by the State Department of Health, the New York City Department of Health, the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, any Agreement State, or any licensing nonagreement State which authorizes the holder to manufacture, install or service a device of the type which is generally licensed and specified in Table 3, Item (b) of this Part (rule), may install or service such device without obtaining a license from the commissioner, provided that:*

- (1) *such person shall file a report with the commissioner within 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter in which any device is transferred to or installed within the commissioner's jurisdiction. Such report shall contain the name and address of each person receiving such a device, shall identify the type of device or devices so transferred, and shall state the quantity and type of radioactive material contained in such device or devices;*
- (2) *any such device is installed and serviced in accordance with the terms of the license or permit issued to such person;*
- (3) *such person shall assure that any labels required to be affixed to any such device shall bear a statement that reads "Removal of this label is prohibited"; and*

- (4) *the person to whom such holder transfers any such device or on whose premises such holder installs or services any such device has a copy of the general license requirements or the equivalent requirements outlined in Table 3, Item (b) of this Part (rule).*

While in all other ways remaining subject to each condition stated in Section 38.15(b) above, we request permission to conduct the described activities involving any device which New York, any other Agreement State, or the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has approved for distribution to General Licensees.

**Reasons for request:**

1. Apparently unintended effect of recently adopted regulations: With the New York's recent elimination of certain devices from those that may be held under a General License, Section 38.15(b) no longer authorizes us to provide installation or on-going service to New York users of several of our devices (e.g. devices containing > 1 mCi of Sr-90 or Am-241).

The New York Department of Labor used a notice (dated 9 June 1999; copy attached) to inform manufacturers and distributors of generally licensed devices about eliminating the general license for certain devices. From the content of that notice and discussions with Radiological Health Unit staff members, we understand that the Department of Labor did not specifically intend to alter the regulations that had permitted manufacturers and distributors to conduct service to devices in New York.

2. Operating under reciprocity not feasible: Reciprocity [Section 38.15(a)] normally requires filing for permission a minimum of seven days in advance of the activity and, is limited to 30 days of work per calendar year. In many cases, we have employees who live and report to work on a daily basis at end-user sites in New York State. The 30-day limit is insufficient to permit the required tests for source leakage and for shutter and indicator function. Advance scheduling is also nearly impossible because (in many cases), the device is essential to the customer's process and is kept running as many hours per day as possible. This means that the safety tests are "scheduled" on the fly when there is an interruption in the customer's operation.

For these reasons, the reciprocity provisions are too restrictive to be useful on an ongoing basis.

3. Not productive in terms of safety: In order to continue to provide service to all of our customers in New York, we will need to apply for and obtain Specific Licenses. Since we already have very detailed licenses approved by NRC or by other Agreement States, we see no improvement to safety that is likely to result from this process. Radiation Safety

personnel employed by the Department of Labor and by manufacturer/distributors will spend time on the license application and on each later amendment and renewal. Whether regulator or device-distributor, that time could far more be productively be spent on issues that will truly improve safety.

Note that each person listed below represents a separate NRC or Agreement State specific licensee and this exception request is on behalf of each of those licensees. (Additional copies of the letter with the individual signatures will follow this one.)

We hope you will approve our request. Please contact us if we can provide further information on this request or the nature of our businesses.

Sincerely,

Elsa Nimmo  
Radiation Safety Officer  
Honeywell-Measurex Corporation  
One Results Way  
Cupertino, CA 95014  
Phone: (408) 864-7860, menu option 4  
[elsa.nimmo@hmx.honeywell.com](mailto:elsa.nimmo@hmx.honeywell.com)

Joseph P. Allgeier  
Manager, Radiological Operations Center  
ABB Industrial Systems Inc.  
650 Ackerman Road  
Columbus, OH 43202-1502  
Phone: (614) 261-2000  
[joe.allgeier@us.abb.com](mailto:joe.allgeier@us.abb.com)

Gary L Caines,  
Radiological Operations Manager/  
NASO Safety Coordinator  
Honeywell Inc. IAC  
3079 Premiere Pkwy  
Duluth, GA 30097  
Phone: (770) 689-0186  
Fax: (770) 689-0002  
Pager: (800) 936-1276  
[gary.caines@iac.honeywell.com](mailto:gary.caines@iac.honeywell.com)

Dennis P. Clum, CHP  
Steutcher, Anderson, Clum and Associates,  
Ltd.  
Interim Radiation Safety Officer  
Industrial Research Measurement Systems,  
Inc. (IRMS)  
Grove City, Ohio  
Cell phone: (614) 323-3270  
Home phone: (614) 873-0493  
[Clum.15@osu.edu](mailto:Clum.15@osu.edu)

Dwayne Holland, P.E.  
President  
Scan Technologies, Inc.  
2915 Courtyards Drive, Suite B  
Norcross, GA 30071  
Phone: (770) 447-8008  
Fax: (770) 447-8038  
[Dholland.scantech@worldnet.att.net](mailto:Dholland.scantech@worldnet.att.net)

Charles Bayles  
Radiation Safety Officer  
Automation and Control Technology, Inc.  
650 Ackerman Road  
P.O. Box 82186  
Columbus, OH 43202-2186  
Phone: (614) 261-2581  
[charles.bayles@us.abb.com](mailto:charles.bayles@us.abb.com)

John I.H. Patterson, Ph.D.  
President  
Metorex Inc.  
250 Phillips Boulevard  
Ewing, NJ 08618-1425  
Phone: (609) 406-9000 ext. 122  
[john.patterson@metorexusa.com](mailto:john.patterson@metorexusa.com)

Cathleen Roughan  
Regulatory Affairs & Safety Manager  
AEA Technology QSA Inc.  
40 North Avenue  
Burlington, MA 01803  
Phone: (781) 272-2000 ext. 210  
[kate.roughan@aeat.co.uk](mailto:kate.roughan@aeat.co.uk)

Chris Fitz  
Radiation Safety Officer  
NDC Systems, Inc.  
5314 North Irwindale Avenue  
Irwindale, CA 91706  
Phone: (626) 960-3300  
[chrisf@ndc.com](mailto:chrisf@ndc.com)

Ron Gonos  
Radiation Safety Officer  
Honeywell Inc.  
Commercial Flight Systems  
P.O. Box 21111  
Phoenix, AZ 85036-1111  
Phone: (602) 436-1728  
[rgonos@casaz.honeywell.az.com](mailto:rgonos@casaz.honeywell.az.com)

**CC:** Charles Burns, Associate Radiophysicist, NY Dept. of Labor

**Attachment:** *Notice to Manufacturers & Distributors of Radioactive Sources Under  
General License (9 June 1999)*