

NOV 15 1999

Robert M. Hallisey, Director  
Radiation Control Program  
Department of Public Health  
174 Portland Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Boston, MA 02114

Dear Mr. Hallisey:

I am enclosing for your information a comment submitted by Commissioner Diaz following your briefing before the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission on October 20, 1999. You will recall that due to telecommunications difficulties, Commissioner Diaz was unable to ask questions or make comments during the briefing. It was noted, however, that he would submit any questions and comments in writing following the briefing.

Sincerely,

**Original Signed By:**  
**PAUL H. LOHAUS**  
Paul H. Lohaus, Director  
Office of State Programs

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc: Organization of Agreement States  
Executive Committee Members

Distribution:  
DIR RF (9-238)  
SECY  
SDroggitis  
OAS File  
CRCPD File

DCD (SP03)  
PDR (YES✓)

**DOCUMENT NAME: G:\ROV\DIASFOLLOWUP.wpd**

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	OSP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	OSFDD	<input type="checkbox"/>	OSP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
NAME	ROVirgilio:gd	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	FCombs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PHLohaus	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
DATE	11/9/99	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11/10/99	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11/15/99	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

OSP FILE CODE: SP-O-12 SP-C-7

PDR STPRG

NRC FILE CENTER COPY 81



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 15, 1999

Robert M. Hallisey, Director  
Radiation Control Program  
Department of Public Health  
174 Portland Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Boston, MA 02114

Dear Mr. Hallisey:

I am enclosing for your information a comment submitted by Commissioner Diaz following your briefing before the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission on October 20, 1999. You will recall that due to telecommunications difficulties, Commissioner Diaz was unable to ask questions or make comments during the briefing. It was noted, however, that he would submit any questions and comments in writing following the briefing.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Paul H. Lohaus".

Paul H. Lohaus, Director  
Office of State Programs

Enclosure:  
As stated

cc: Organization of Agreement States  
Executive Committee Members

Follow-up Questions/comments as a Result of the October 20, 1999, OAS/CRCPD Briefing:

For Mr. David Walter:

1. You stated during your presentation that you were concerned with the number of hours of required training and experience for the use of I-131 that is included in the draft final rule changes to 10 CFR Part 35. Specifically, you indicated that you did not believe 80 hours of training and experience was sufficient due to the risks associated with the handling and use of I-131. Currently, the draft final rule requires only 80 hours of training and experience (10 CFR 35.932 and 35.934). Would you please provide the data or information concerning users' performance that leads you to believe that 80 hours of training and experience is not sufficient. The NRC staff stated during the October 21 briefing on 10 CFR Part 35 that 80 hours was sufficient based on the performance history of endocrinologist. Would you please discuss whether you believe there should be different requirements for the use of I-131 at large institutions compared to use at endocrinologists' offices.
2. You stated during your presentation that you were concerned with the reporting requirement for unintended doses to an embryo/fetus or nursing child that is included in the draft final rule changes to 10 CFR Part 35. On the eighth slide of your presentation, you indicate that very few diagnostic doses result in doses greater than 500 mrem. Therefore, you stated that a reporting threshold of 500 mrem would have only a small impact. Would you please provide the data, information, or a reference to such data or information, that would show that very few diagnostic doses would result in doses greater than 500 mrem to an embryo/fetus or nursing child.

For Mr. Robert Hallisey:

3. You stated during your presentation that CRCPD would be establishing a panel to review the role of CRCPD in national materials rulemaking activities and the structure of the national materials program. I commend CRCPD for initiating development of such a panel. In developing the charter for the panel, I recommend that CRCPD consider having the panel address how Federal requirements (e.g., Office of Management and Budget approvals, environmental impact statements, posting information in the Federal Register for public comment) can continue to be met as the number of Agreement States increases.

ENCLOSURE