

**From:** Cynthia Sochor  
**To:** Kimberly Leigh  
**Date:** Thu, Jul 22, 1999 5:10 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Brunswick

This is how I would have proceeded:

1. The licensee needs to get the information together that NMFS needs to reinitiate consultation (this is the PMs responsibility or PM back-up) make sure they do this
2. The NRC and NMFS lawyers need to work out the apparent disregard for agency cooperation in the Section 7 consultation process (someone you or the PM or the PM backup needs to talk to OGC regarding this) Alan is passing this on to Len Wiens so you can follow-up with him to see if he needs you to get the ball rolling. The problem has more or less been solved by the new NMFS policy of letting their headquarters look at the draft prior to release to the NRC; however, we need to make sure that NMFS knows that the NRC does not think the process was executed properly and that there is documentation of our problems with the resolution of the consultation process (ask Barry what he thinks about this).
3. Brunswick needs to get language in their license regarding incorporation of the latest ITS ASAP because right now Brunswick does not have an exemption to ESA and they are taking turtles and that's not a good thing. We need the licensee to submit a license amendment!

With the numbers Alan obtained from the licensee on the lethal takes this year it doesn't seem to matter whether NMFS changed the take limits to a biennial period or not; Brunswick would still have had to reinitiate consultation with NMFS.

They are keeping me very busy over here, but it's great experience, thanks for asking!

Good luck,

Cynthia

Barry any comments?

>>> Kimberly Leigh 07/22 2:38 PM >>>

What were you going to do next in regards to Brunswick?  
?

Talk with Anne and have her talk to NMFS lawyers?

Hope it is going well over there.....

**CC:** Barry Zalcman

B/8