



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 9, 1999

Mr. John Paul Cowan
Vice President, Nuclear Operations
Florida Power Corporation
ATTN: Manager, Nuclear Licensing (SA2A)
Crystal River Energy Complex
15760 W. Power Line Street
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

SUBJECT: COMPLETION OF LICENSING ACTION FOR GENERIC LETTER 98-04,
"POTENTIAL FOR DEGRADATION OF THE EMERGENCY CORE COOLING
SYSTEM AND THE CONTAINMENT SPRAY SYSTEM AFTER A LOSS-OF-
COOLANT ACCIDENT BECAUSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND PROTECTIVE
COATING DEFICIENCIES AND FOREIGN MATERIAL IN CONTAINMENT,"
DATED JULY 14, 1998; CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3 (TAC NO. MA4037)

Dear Mr. Cowan:

On July 14, 1998, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. The NRC issued GL 98-04 to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the NRC staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii).
 - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components

0500302 P

DF01

November 9, 1999

(SSCs) during a postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident (DB LOCA), the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:

- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
 - (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
 - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, Florida Power Corporation (FPC) provided a letter dated November 6, 1998, for Crystal River Unit 3. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on July 20, 1999. The staff has reviewed the FPC response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for your facility. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (301) 415-1495.

Sincerely,
Original signed by:
 L. A. Wiens, Senior Project Manager, Section 2
 Project Directorate II
 Division of Licensing Project Management
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-302

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

File Center

PUBLIC

Crystal R/F

PDII-2\SC (A)

BClayton

LWiens

RPulsifer

CLauron

OGC

ACRS

PFredrickson, RII

ESullivan

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDII-2\Crystal\LTRA4037.WPD

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PDII-2\PM	C	PDII-2\LA		PDII-2\SC (A)		EmCB\sc		
NAME	LWiens <i>EW</i>		BClayton <i>BC</i>		<i>KN</i>		ESULLIVAN <i>ES</i>		
DATE	11/9/99		11/8/99		11/9/99		11/9/99		11/ /99

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

(SSCs) during a postulated design basis loss-of-coolant accident (DB LOCA), the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:

- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
 - (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
 - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system (CSS) as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

In response to GL 98-04, Florida Power Corporation (FPC) provided a letter dated November 6, 1998, for Crystal River Unit 3. This submittal provided the information requested by GL 98-04. Clarification was provided during a phone call that took place on July 20, 1999. The staff has reviewed the FPC response and has concluded that all requested information has been provided; therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for your facility. We thank you for your prompt and complete response.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at (301) 415-1495.

Sincerely,



L. A. Wiens, Senior Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate II
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-302

cc: See next page

Mr. John Paul Cowan
Florida Power Corporation

CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT NO. 3

cc:

Mr. R. Alexander Glenn
Corporate Counsel (MAC-BT15A)
Florida Power Corporation
P.O. Box 14042
St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042

Chairman
Board of County Commissioners
Citrus County
110 North Apopka Avenue
Inverness, Florida 34450-4245

Mr. Charles G. Pardee, Director
Nuclear Plant Operations (PA4A)
Florida Power Corporation
Crystal River Energy Complex
15760 W. Power Line Street
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

Ms. Sherry L. Bernhoft, Director
Nuclear Regulatory Affairs (NA2H)
Florida Power Corporation
Crystal River Energy Complex
15760 W. Power Line Street
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

Mr. Michael A. Schoppman
Framatome Technologies Inc.
1700 Rockville Pike, Suite 525
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Senior Resident Inspector
Crystal River Unit 3
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
6745 N. Tallahassee Road
Crystal River, Florida 34428

Mr. William A. Passetti, Chief
Department of Health
Bureau of Radiation Control
2020 Capital Circle, SE, Bin #C21
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1741

Mr. Gregory H. Halnon
Director, Quality Programs (SA2C)
Florida Power Corporation
Crystal River Energy Complex
15760 W. Power Line Street
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

Attorney General
Department of Legal Affairs
The Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Mr. Joe Myers, Director
Division of Emergency Preparedness
Department of Community Affairs
2740 Centerview Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100