



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 12, 1999

Mr. James W. Langenbach, Vice President
and Director, TMI
GPU Nuclear, Inc.
P.O. Box 480
Middletown, PA 17057

SUBJECT: GENERIC LETTER 97-01, "DEGRADATION OF CRDM/CEDM NOZZLE AND OTHER VESSEL CLOSURE HEAD PENETRATIONS": REVIEW OF THE THREE MILE ISLAND NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 1 (TMI-1) (TAC NO. M98605)

Dear Mr. Langenbach:

Below is the NRC staff's assessment of your 30-day and 120-day responses to Generic Letter (GL) 97-01, "Degradation of CRDM/CEDM and Other Vessel Closure Head Penetrations," and your response to the staff's request for additional information (RAI) relative to the issuance of the GL. Your responses provided your proposed program and efforts to address the potential for primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC) to occur in the control rod drive mechanism (CRDM) nozzles at TMI-1.

On April 1, 1997, the staff issued GL 97-01, "Degradation of CRDM/CEDM Nozzle and Other Vessel Closure Head Penetrations," to the industry, requesting that addressees provide a description of the plans to inspect the vessel head penetrations (VHPs) at their respective pressurized-water reactor (PWR) designed plants. In the discussion section of the GL, the staff indicated that it did not object to individual PWR licensees basing their inspection activities on an integrated, industry-wide inspection program.

The Babcock and Wilcox Owners Group (B&WOG), in coordination with the efforts of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) and the other PWR Owners Groups (the Westinghouse Owners Group and Combustion Engineering Owners Group (CEOG), determined that it was appropriate for its members to develop a cooperative integrated inspection program in response to GL 97-01. Therefore, on July 25, 1997, the B&WOG submitted Topical Report BAW-2301, "B&WOG Integrated Response to Generic Letter 97-01, Degradation of Control Rod Drive Mechanism Nozzle and Other Vessel Closure Head Penetrations," on behalf of the members in the B&WOG. In this report, the B&WOG provided a description of the EPRI [Electric Power Research Institute]/Dominion Engineering CIRSE Model (crack initiation and growth susceptibility model) that was used to rank the VHPs at the participating plants in the owners group. You provided your 30-day and 120-day responses for TMI-1 on April 30, 1997, and July 29, 1997. In these responses, you indicated that you were a participant in the B&WOG's integrated program for evaluating the potential for PWSCC to occur in the VHPs of Babcock and Wilcox designed PWRs, and that you were endorsing the probabilistic susceptibility model in Topical Report BAW-2301 as being applicable to the assessment of VHPs at TMI-1.

The staff performed a review of Topical Report BAW-2301 and your responses and determined that some additional information was needed for completion of the review. Therefore, on August 28, 1998, the staff issued an RAI requesting: (1) a description of the probabilistic susceptibility ranking for a plant's VHPs to undergo PWSCC relative to the rankings for the rest of the industry; (2) a description of how the respective susceptibility models

0500 289 P

NRC FILE CENTER COP

DF01

were benchmarked; (3) a description of how the variability in the product forms, material specifications, and heat treatments used to fabricate a plant's VHPs were addressed in the susceptibility models; and (4) a description of how the models would be refined in the future to include plant-specific inspection results. As was the case for the earlier responses to the GL, the staff encouraged a coordinated, generic response to the requests in the RAI.

On December 11, 1998, NEI submitted a generic, integrated response to the RAIs on GL 97-01 on behalf of the PWR-industry and the utility members in the owners groups. In the generic submittal, NEI informed the staff that it normalized the susceptibility rankings for the industry based on a calculation of the time it would take for a VHP of a subject plant to have the same predicted probability of containing a 75-percent through-wall flaw relative as the "worst-case flawed" VHP at DC Cook Unit 2. The generic response to the RAIs also provided sufficient information to answer the information requests in the RAIs, and emphasized that the integrated program is an ongoing program that will be implemented in conjunction with EPRI, the PWR Owners Groups, the participating utilities, and the Material Reliability Projects' Subcommittee on Alloy 600. By letter dated March 21, 1999, the staff informed NEI that the integrated program was an acceptable approach for addressing the potential for PWSCC to occur in the VHPs of PWR-designed nuclear plants, and that licensees responding to the GL could refer to the integrated program as a basis for assessing the postulated occurrence of PWSCC in PWR-design VHPs.

To date, all utilities have implemented VT-2 type visual examinations of their VHPs in compliance with the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) requirements specified in Table IWB-2500 for Category B-P components. Most utilities, if not all, have also performed visual examinations as part of plant-specific boric acid wastage surveillance programs. In addition, the following plants have completed voluntary, comprehensive augmented volumetric inspections (eddy current examinations or ultrasonic testing examinations) of their CRDM nozzles:

- 1994 - Point Beach Unit 1 (Westinghouse design)
- 1994 - Oconee Unit 2 (B&W design)
- 1994 - D.C. Cook Unit 2 (Westinghouse design)
- 1996 - North Anna Unit 1 (Westinghouse design)
- 1998 - Millstone Unit 2 (Combustion Engineering (CE) design)
- 1999 - Ginna (Westinghouse design)

In addition, the following plants have completed voluntary, limited augmented volumetric inspections of their VHPs as well:

- 1995 - Palisades - eight instrument nozzles (CE design)
- 1996 - Oconee Unit 2 - reinspection of two CRDM nozzles (B&W design)
- 1997 - Calvert Cliffs Unit 2 - vessel head vent pipe (CE design)

The majority of these plants have been ranked as having the more susceptible VHPs in the industry. Of these inspections, only the inspections at D.C. Cook Unit 2 have resulted in the identification of any domestic PWSCC type flaw indications. The current program includes

November 12, 1999

J. Langenbach

- 3 -

additional commitments to perform further volumetric inspections of the CRDM nozzles at Oconee Unit 2 (a reinspection of 2-12 nozzles in 1999), Diablo Canyon Unit 2 (in 1999, a Westinghouse design), Crystal River 3 (in 2001, B&W design), Farley Unit 2 (in 2001, a Westinghouse design), and San Onofre Unit 3 (in 2002-2008, a CE design). These plants are currently ranked in either the high or moderate susceptibility categories.

On January 14, 1999, you provided your response to the staff's RAI of August 28, 1998. In your RAI response, you endorsed the NEI submittal of December 11, 1998, and indicated that you were a participant in the NEI/B&WOG integrated program. Since the additional volumetric inspections performed to date have confirmed that PWSCC is not an immediate safety concern with respect to the structural integrity of VHPs in domestic PWRs, and since we have approved the integrated program for implementation, we conclude that the integrated program provides an acceptable basis for evaluating your VHPs. You may refer to the integrated program when submitting VHP-related licensing action submittals for the remainder of the current 40-year licensing period. Furthermore, if you are considering applying for license renewal of your facilities, your application will need to address the following items: (1) an assessment of the susceptibility of your VHPs to develop PWSCC during the extended license terms for the facilities; (2) a confirmation that the VHPs at your facilities are included under the scope of your boric acid corrosion inspection program, and (3) a summary of the results of any inspections that have been completed on your VHPs prior to the license renewal application, as appropriate.

This completes the staff's efforts relative to your responses to GL 97-01 and closes TAC No. M98605. Thank you for your consideration and efforts in addressing this issue.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:
Timothy G. Colburn, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-289

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

File Center
PUBLIC
PD I-1 R/F

EAdensam, (e-mail)
WBateman

TColburn
JHarold
SPeterson

PEselgroth, RGN-I
OGC
ACRS

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDI-1\TMI-1\LTR98605.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PDI-1/PM	PDI-1/LA	PDI-1/PM	PDI-1/SC
NAME	TColburn:lcc	MO'Brien	JHarold	SPeterson
DATE	11/10/99	11/10/99	11/10/99	11/10/99

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

November 12, 1999

J. Langenbach

- 3 -

additional commitments to perform further volumetric inspections of the CRDM nozzles at Oconee Unit 2 (a reinspection of 2-12 nozzles in 1999), Diablo Canyon Unit 2 (in 1999, a Westinghouse design), Crystal River 3 (in 2001, B&W design), Farley Unit 2 (in 2001, a Westinghouse design), and San Onofre Unit 3 (in 2002-2008, a CE design). These plants are currently ranked in either the high or moderate susceptibility categories.

On January 14, 1999, you provided your response to the staff's RAI of August 28, 1998. In your RAI response, you endorsed the NEI submittal of December 11, 1998, and indicated that you were a participant in the NEI/B&WOG integrated program. Since the additional volumetric inspections performed to date have confirmed that PWSCC is not an immediate safety concern with respect to the structural integrity of VHPs in domestic PWRs, and since we have approved the integrated program for implementation, we conclude that the integrated program provides an acceptable basis for evaluating your VHPs. You may refer to the integrated program when submitting VHP-related licensing action submittals for the remainder of the current 40-year licensing period. Furthermore, if you are considering applying for license renewal of your facilities, your application will need to address the following items: (1) an assessment of the susceptibility of your VHPs to develop PWSCC during the extended license terms for the facilities; (2) a confirmation that the VHPs at your facilities are included under the scope of your boric acid corrosion inspection program, and (3) a summary of the results of any inspections that have been completed on your VHPs prior to the license renewal application, as appropriate.

This completes the staff's efforts relative to your responses to GL 97-01 and closes TAC No. M98605. Thank you for your consideration and efforts in addressing this issue.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:
Timothy G. Colburn, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-289

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

File Center

PUBLIC

PD I-1 R/F

EAdensam, (e-mail)

WBateman

TColburn

JHarold

SPeterson

PEselgroth, RGN-I

OGC

ACRS

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDI-1\TMI-1\LTR98605.wpd

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PDI-1/PM <i>TGC</i>	PDI-1/LA <i>MOB</i>	PDI-1/PM <i>JH</i>	PDI-1/SC <i>SP</i>
NAME	TColburn:lcc	MO'Brien	JHarold	SPeterson
DATE	11/10/99	11/10/99	11/10/99	11/10/99

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

additional commitments to perform further volumetric inspections of the CRDM nozzles at Oconee Unit 2 (a reinspection of 2-12 nozzles in 1999), Diablo Canyon Unit 2 (in 1999, a Westinghouse design), Crystal River 3 (in 2001, B&W design), Farley Unit 2 (in 2001, a Westinghouse design), and San Onofre Unit 3 (in 2002-2008, a CE design). These plants are currently ranked in either the high or moderate susceptibility categories.

On January 14, 1999, you provided your response to the staff's RAI of August 28, 1998. In your RAI response, you endorsed the NEI submittal of December 11, 1998, and indicated that you were a participant in the NEI/B&WOG integrated program. Since the additional volumetric inspections performed to date have confirmed that PWSCC is not an immediate safety concern with respect to the structural integrity of VHPs in domestic PWRs, and since we have approved the integrated program for implementation, we conclude that the integrated program provides an acceptable basis for evaluating your VHPs. You may refer to the integrated program when submitting VHP-related licensing action submittals for the remainder of the current 40-year licensing period. Furthermore, if you are considering applying for license renewal of your facilities, your application will need to address the following items: (1) an assessment of the susceptibility of your VHPs to develop PWSCC during the extended license terms for the facilities; (2) a confirmation that the VHPs at your facilities are included under the scope of your boric acid corrosion inspection program, and (3) a summary of the results of any inspections that have been completed on your VHPs prior to the license renewal application, as appropriate.

This completes the staff's efforts relative to your responses to GL 97-01 and closes TAC No. M98605. Thank you for your consideration and efforts in addressing this issue.

Sincerely,



Timothy G. Colburn, Sr. Project Manager, Section 1
Project Directorate I
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-289

cc: See next page

Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1

cc:

Michael Ross
Director, O&M, TMI
GPU Nuclear, Inc.
P.O. Box 480
Middletown, PA 17057

John C. Fornicola
Director, Planning and
Regulatory Affairs
GPU Nuclear, Inc.
100 Interpace Parkway
Parsippany, NJ 07054

Edwin C. Fuhrer
Manager, TMI Regulatory Affairs
GPU Nuclear, Inc.
P.O. Box 480
Middletown, PA 17057

Ernest L. Blake, Jr., Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge
2300 N Street, NW.
Washington, DC 20037

Chairman
Board of County Commissioners
of Dauphin County
Dauphin County Courthouse
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Chairman
Board of Supervisors
of Londonderry Township
R.D. #1, Geyers Church Road
Middletown, PA 17057

Wayne L. Schmidt
Senior Resident Inspector (TMI-1)
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
P.O. Box 219
Middletown, PA 17057

Regional Administrator
Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406

Robert B. Borsum
B&W Nuclear Technologies
Suite 525
1700 Rockville Pike
Rockville, MD 20852

David J. Allard, Director
Bureau of Radiation Protection
Pennsylvania Department of
Environmental Resources
P.O. Box 2063
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Dr. Judith Johnsrud
National Energy Committee
Sierra Club
433 Orlando Avenue
State College, PA 16803

Peter W. Eselgroth, Region I
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, PA 19406