



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 4, 1999

Mr. W. R. McCollum, Jr.  
Vice President, Oconee Site  
Duke Energy Corporation  
7800 Rochester Highway  
Seneca, SC 29672

SUBJECT: OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2 AND 3 RE: COMPLETION OF LICENSING ACTION FOR GENERIC LETTER 98-04, "POTENTIAL FOR DEGRADATION OF THE EMERGENCY CORE COOLING SYSTEM AND THE CONTAINMENT SPRAY SYSTEM AFTER A LOSS-OF-COOLANT ACCIDENT BECAUSE OF CONSTRUCTION AND PROTECTIVE COATING DEFICIENCIES AND FOREIGN MATERIAL IN CONTAINMENT (TAC NOS. MA4074, MA4075, AND MA4076)

Dear Mr. McCollum:

On July 14, 1998, the NRC issued Generic Letter (GL) 98-04, "Potential for Degradation of the Emergency Core Cooling System and the Containment Spray System After a Loss-of-Coolant Accident Because of Construction and Protective Coating Deficiencies and Foreign Material in Containment," to all holders of operating licenses or construction permits. Its purpose was to determine the status of containment coating programs.

In GL 98-04, the staff specifically requested that the licensees provide information outlined below for each of their facilities.

- (1) A summary description of the plant-specific program or programs implemented to ensure that Service Level 1 protective coatings used inside the containment are procured, applied, and maintained in compliance with applicable regulatory requirements and the plant-specific licensing basis for the facility. Include a discussion of how the plant-specific program meets the applicable criteria of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, as well as information regarding any applicable standards, plant-specific procedures or other guidance used for (a) controlling the procurement of coatings and paints used at the facility; (b) the qualification testing of protective coatings; and (c) surface preparation, application, surveillance, and maintenance activities for protective coatings. Maintenance activities refer to rework of degraded coatings, removing degraded coatings to sound coatings, correctly preparing the surfaces, applying new coating, and verifying the quality of coatings.
- (2) Information demonstrating compliance with item (i) or item (ii) below:
  - (i) For plants with licensing-basis requirements for tracking the amount of unqualified coatings inside the containment and for assessing the impact of potential coating debris on the operation of safety-related systems, structures, and components during a postulated design basis loss of coolant accident, the following information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance:

NRC FILE CENTER 4001

PDR ADOCK

DF01

- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
  - (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

By letter dated November 11, 1998, Duke Energy Corporation responded to GL 98-04 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. Clarification was provided during a phone call on May 20, 1999. The staff has reviewed your response and concluded that all requested information requested by GL 98-04 has been provided. Therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for Oconee.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:  
 David E. LaBarge, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
 Project Directorate II  
 Division of Licensing Project Management  
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270 and 50-287

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

File Center	HBerkow	OGC	CLauron
PUBLIC	REmch	ACRS	JDavis
PDII-1 Reading	CHawes	PSkinner, RII	
JZwolinski	DLaBarge	RPulsifer	

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDII-1\OCONEE\LTRA4074.WPD \*See previous concurrence  
 INDICATE IN BOX: "C"=COPY W/O ATTACHMENT/ENCLOSURE, "E"=COPY W/ATT/ENCL, "N"=NO COPY

OFFICE	PDII-1:PM	PDII-1:LA	SC: EMCB*	PDII-1:SC
NAME	DLaBarge	CHawes	ESULLIVAN	REmch
DATE	11/4/99	11/4/99	11/04/99	11/14/99

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

- (a) The date and findings of the last assessment of coatings and the planned date of the next assessment of coatings.
  - (b) The limit for the amount of unqualified protective coatings allowed in the containment and how this limit is determined. Discuss any conservatism in the method used to determine this limit.
  - (c) If a commercial-grade dedication program is being used at your facility for dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications inside the containment, discuss how the program adequately qualifies a coating for Service Level 1. Identify what standards or other guidance are currently being used to dedicate containment coatings at your facility.
- (ii) For plants without the above licensing-basis requirements, information shall be provided to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46b(5), "Long-term cooling" and the functional capability of the safety-related containment spray system as set forth in your licensing basis. If a licensee can demonstrate this compliance without quantifying the amount of unqualified coatings, this is acceptable. The following information shall be provided:

If a commercial-grade dedication program is not being used at your facility for qualifying and dedicating commercial-grade coatings for Service Level 1 applications, provide the regulatory and safety basis for not controlling these coatings in accordance with such a program. Additionally, explain why the facility's licensing basis does not require such a program.

By letter dated November 11, 1998, Duke Energy Corporation responded to GL 98-04 for the Oconee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. Clarification was provided during a phone call on May 20, 1999. The staff has reviewed your response and concluded that all requested information requested by GL 98-04 has been provided. Therefore, we consider GL 98-04 to be closed for Oconee.

Sincerely,



David E. LaBarge, Senior Project Manager, Section 1  
Project Directorate II  
Division of Licensing Project Management  
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-269, 50-270 and 50-287

cc: See next page

Oconee Nuclear Station

cc:

Ms. Lisa F. Vaughn  
Legal Department (PBO5E)  
Duke Energy Corporation  
422 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Mr. Rick N. Edwards  
Framatome Technologies  
Suite 525  
1700 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, Maryland 20852-1631

Manager, LIS  
NUS Corporation  
2650 McCormick Drive, 3rd Floor  
Clearwater, Florida 34619-1035

Senior Resident Inspector  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory  
Commission  
7812B Rochester Highway  
Seneca, South Carolina 29672

Virgil R. Autry, Director  
Division of Radioactive Waste Management  
Bureau of Land and Waste Management  
Department of Health and Environmental  
Control  
2600 Bull Street  
Columbia, South Carolina 29201-1708

Mr. L. E. Nicholson  
Compliance Manager  
Duke Energy Corporation  
Oconee Nuclear Site  
7800 Rochester Highway  
Seneca, South Carolina 29672

Ms. Karen E. Long  
Assistant Attorney General  
North Carolina Department of  
Justice  
P. O. Box 629  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

L. A. Keller  
Manager - Nuclear Regulatory  
Licensing  
Duke Energy Corporation  
526 South Church Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Mr. Richard M. Fry, Director  
Division of Radiation Protection  
North Carolina Department of  
Environment, Health, and  
Natural Resources  
3825 Barrett Drive  
Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-7721

Mr. Steven P. Shaver  
Senior Sales Engineer  
Westinghouse Electric Company  
5929 Carnegie Blvd.  
Suite 500  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28209