



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 2, 1999

Mr. John Paul Cowan
Vice President, Nuclear Operations
Florida Power Corporation
ATTN: Manager, Nuclear Licensing (SA2A)
Crystal River Energy Complex
15760 W. Power Line Street
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

SUBJECT: GENERIC LETTER 97-01, "DEGRADATION OF CRDM/CEDM NOZZLE AND OTHER VESSEL CLOSURE HEAD PENETRATIONS": REVIEW OF THE RESPONSES FOR CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3 (TAC NO. M98558)

Dear Mr. Cowan:

This letter provides the NRC staff's assessment of your letters dated May 1 and July 29, 1997, which provided your 30-day and 120-day responses to Generic Letter (GL) 97-01, "Degradation of CRDM/CEDM and Other Vessel Closure Head Penetrations," and your letter of January 14, 1999, which provided your response to the staff's request for additional information (RAI) dated October 2, 1998, relative to the issuance of the GL. Your responses provided your proposed program and efforts to address the potential for primary water stress corrosion cracking (PWSCC) to occur in the control rod drive mechanism (CRDM) nozzles at Crystal River Unit 3.

On April 1, 1997, the staff issued GL 97-01, "Degradation of CRDM/CEDM Nozzle and Other Vessel Closure Head Penetrations," to the industry, requesting that addressees provide a description of the plans to inspect the vessel head penetrations (VHPs) at their respective pressurized-water reactor (PWR) designed plants. In the discussion section of the GL, the staff indicated that it did not object to individual PWR licensees basing their inspection activities on an integrated, industry-wide inspection program.

The Babcock and Wilcox Owners Group (B&WOG), in coordination with the efforts of the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) and the other PWR Owners Groups (the Westinghouse Owners Group [WOG] and Combustion Engineering Owners Group [CEOG]), determined that it was appropriate for its members to develop a cooperative integrated inspection program in response to GL 97-01. Therefore, on July 25, 1997, the B&WOG submitted Topical Report BAW-2301, "B&WOG Integrated Response to Generic Letter 97-01, Degradation of Control Rod Drive Mechanism Nozzle and Other Vessel Closure Head Penetrations," on behalf of the members in the B&WOG. In this report, the B&WOG provided a description of the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)/Dominion Engineering CIRSE Model (crack initiation and growth susceptibility model) that was used to rank the VHPs at the participating plants in the owners group. In your GL 97-01 responses, you indicated that you were a participant in the B&WOG's integrated program for evaluating the potential for PWSCC to occur in the VHPs of Babcock and Wilcox designed PWRs, and that you were endorsing the probabilistic susceptibility model in Topical Report BAW-2301 as being applicable to the assessment of VHPs at Crystal River Unit 3.

ARC FILE CENTER COPY
DFOI

The October 2, 1998, RAI was issued to request: (1) a description of the probabilistic susceptibility ranking for a plant's VHPs to undergo PWSCC relative to the rankings for the rest of the industry; (2) a description of how the respective susceptibility models were benchmarked; (3) a description of how the variability in the product forms, material specifications, and heat treatments used to fabricate a plant's VHPs were addressed in the susceptibility models; and (4) a description of how the models would be refined in the future to include plant-specific inspection results. As was the case for the earlier responses to the GL, the staff encouraged a coordinated, generic response to the requests in the RAI.

On December 11, 1998, NEI submitted a generic, integrated response to the RAIs on GL 97-01 on behalf of the PWR-industry and the utility members in the owners groups. In the generic submittal, NEI informed the staff that it normalized the susceptibility rankings for the industry. The generic response to the RAIs also provided sufficient information to answer the information requests in the RAIs, and emphasized that the integrated program is an ongoing program that will be implemented in conjunction with EPRI, the PWR Owners Groups, the participating utilities, and the Material Reliability Projects' Subcommittee on Alloy 600. By letter dated March 21, 1999, the staff informed NEI that the integrated program was an acceptable approach for addressing the potential for PWSCC to occur in the VHPs of PWR-designed nuclear plants, and that licensees responding to the GL could refer to the integrated program as a basis for assessing the postulated occurrence of PWSCC in PWR-design VHPs.

To date, all utilities have implemented VT-2 type visual examinations of their VHPs in compliance with the American Society of Mechanical Engineers' requirements specified in Table IWB-2500 for Category B-P components. Most utilities, if not all, have also performed visual examinations as part of plant-specific boric acid wastage surveillance programs. In addition, the following plants have completed voluntary, comprehensive augmented volumetric inspections (eddy current examinations or ultrasonic testing examinations) of their CRDM nozzles:

- o 1994 - Point Beach Unit 1 (Westinghouse design)
- o 1994 - Oconee Unit 2 (B&W design)
- o 1994 - D.C. Cook Unit 2 (Westinghouse design)
- o 1996 - North Anna Unit 1 (Westinghouse design)
- o 1998 - Millstone Unit 2 (a CE design)
- o 1999 - Ginna (a Westinghouse design)

In addition, the following plants have completed voluntary, limited augmented volumetric inspections of their VHPs as well:

- o 1995 - Palisades - eight instrument nozzles (CE design)
- o 1996 - Oconee Unit 2 - reinspection of two CRDM nozzles (B&W design)
- o 1997 - Calvert Cliffs Unit 2 - vessel head vent pipe (CE design)

The majority of these plants have been ranked as having the more susceptible VHPs in the industry. Of these inspections, only the inspections at D.C. Cook Unit 2 have resulted in the identification of any PWSCC type flaw indications. The current program includes additional commitments to perform further volumetric inspections of the CRDM nozzles at Oconee Unit 2 (a reinspection of 2-12 nozzles in 1999), Diablo Canyon Unit 2 (in 1999), Crystal River 3 (in

2001), Farley Unit 2 (in 2001), and San Onofre Unit 3 (in 2002-2008). These plants are currently ranked in either the high or moderate susceptibility categories.

In your RAI response, you endorsed the NEI submittal of December 11, 1998, and indicated that you were a participant in the NEI/B&WOG integrated program. Since the additional volumetric inspections performed to date have confirmed that PWSCC is not an immediate safety concern with respect to the structural integrity of VHPs in domestic PWRs, and since we have approved the integrated program for implementation, we conclude that the integrated program provides an acceptable basis for evaluating your VHPs. You may refer to the integrated program when submitting VHP-related licensing action submittals for the remainder of the current 40-year licensing period. Furthermore, if you are considering applying for license renewal of your facilities, your application will need to address the following items: (1) an assessment of the susceptibility of your VHPs to develop PWSCC during the extended license term for the facility; (2) a confirmation that the VHPs at your facility are included under the scope of your boric acid corrosion inspection program, and (3) a summary of the results of any inspections that have been completed on your VHPs prior to the license renewal application, as appropriate.

This completes the staff's efforts relative to your responses to GL 97-01. Thank you for your consideration and efforts in addressing this issue.

Sincerely,



L. A. Wiens, Senior Project Manager, Section 2
Project Directorate II
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-302

cc: See next page

Mr. John Paul Cowan
Florida Power Corporation

CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT NO. 3

cc:

Mr. R. Alexander Glenn
Corporate Counsel (MAC-BT15A)
Florida Power Corporation
P.O. Box 14042
St. Petersburg, Florida 33733-4042

Chairman:
Board of County Commissioners
Citrus County
110 North Apopka Avenue
Inverness, Florida 34450-4245

Mr. Charles G. Pardee, Director
Nuclear Plant Operations (PA4A)
Florida Power Corporation
Crystal River Energy Complex
15760 W. Power Line Street
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

Ms. Sherry L. Bernhoff, Director
Nuclear Regulatory Affairs (NA2H)
Florida Power Corporation
Crystal River Energy Complex
15760 W. Power Line Street
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

Mr. Michael A. Schoppman
Framatome Technologies Inc.
1700 Rockville Pike, Suite 525
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Senior Resident Inspector
Crystal River Unit 3
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
6745 N. Tallahassee Road
Crystal River, Florida 34428

Mr. William A. Passetti, Chief
Department of Health
Bureau of Radiation Control
2020 Capital Circle, SE, Bin #C21
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1741

Mr. Gregory H. Hainon
Director, Quality Programs (SA2C)
Florida Power Corporation
Crystal River Energy Complex
15760 W. Power Line Street
Crystal River, Florida 34428-6708

Attorney General
Department of Legal Affairs
The Capitol
Tallahassee, Florida 32304

Mr. Joe Myers, Director
Division of Emergency Preparedness
Department of Community Affairs
2740 Centerview Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2100

2001), Farley Unit 2 (in 2001), and San Onofre Unit 3 (in 2002-2008). These plants are currently ranked in either the high or moderate susceptibility categories.

In your RAI response, you endorsed the NEI submittal of December 11, 1998, and indicated that you were a participant in the NEI/B&WOG integrated program. Since the additional volumetric inspections performed to date have confirmed that PWSCC is not an immediate safety concern with respect to the structural integrity of VHPs in domestic PWRs, and since we have approved the integrated program for implementation, we conclude that the integrated program provides an acceptable basis for evaluating your VHPs. You may refer to the integrated program when submitting VHP-related licensing action submittals for the remainder of the current 40-year licensing period. Furthermore, if you are considering applying for license renewal of your facilities, your application will need to address the following items: (1) an assessment of the susceptibility of your VHPs to develop PWSCC during the extended license term for the facility; (2) a confirmation that the VHPs at your facility are included under the scope of your boric acid corrosion inspection program, and (3) a summary of the results of any inspections that have been completed on your VHPs prior to the license renewal application, as appropriate.

This completes the staff's efforts relative to your responses to GL 97-01. Thank you for your consideration and efforts in addressing this issue.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:
 L. A. Wiens, Senior Project Manager, Section 2
 Project Directorate II
 Division of Licensing Project Management
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-302

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

File Center	ACRS
PUBLIC	LWert, RII
Crystal R/F	
PDII-2SC (A)	
BClayton	
LWiens	
OGC	

DOCUMENT NAME: G:\PDII-2\CRYSTAL\LTR98558.WPD

To receive a copy of this document, indicate in the box: "C" = Copy without attachment/enclosure "E" = Copy with attachment/enclosure "N" = No copy

OFFICE	PDII-2\PM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PDII-2\LA	<input type="checkbox"/>	PDII-2\SC (A)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
NAME	LWiens	<i>[Signature]</i>	BClayton	<i>[Signature]</i>		<i>[Signature]</i>			
DATE	11/1/99		11/1/99		11/1/99		11/ /99		11/ /99

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY